

**FISHLAKE
NATIONAL FOREST**

**LAND and RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT**

PLAN

INTERMOUNTAIN REGION



FOREST SERVICE

UNITED STATES

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE



PREFACE

This Land and Resource Management Plan has been developed for the Fishlake National Forest. For detailed information pertaining to the development of this plan, contact:

Forest Supervisor
Fishlake National Forest
115 East 900 North
Richfield, Utah 84701

A. Applicable Laws and Regulations

The principle acts providing direction in developing this Land and Resource Management Plan are:

1. Organic Act of June 4, 1897.
2. Multiple Use and Sustained Yield Act of 1960.
3. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969.
4. Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976.
5. Forest Rangeland Resources Planning Act (RPA) of 1974, as amended by National Forest Management Act (NFMA) of 1976.

RPA requires the Forest Service to conduct an assessment or inventory of the Nation's renewable resources and develop a program for use of the resources. The assessment includes the determination of the capability of all National Forest lands to provide various goods and services. It also includes an estimation of future demands for those goods and services.

The central element of the Act is the institution of land and resource management planning as a basic means to achieve effective use and production of renewable resources and a proper balance of the use of NFS lands.

Section 6 of the Act requires the Secretary of Agriculture to prescribe NFS land and resource management planning regulations. The standards and guidelines in these regulations must be incorporated into NFS land and resource management plans.

The Forest Plan will supersede previous land management plans prepared by the Forest under some of the foregoing legislation. For example, the Multiple Use Plan prepared by each Ranger District in the early 1960's and the Salina Unit Plan will no longer be applicable when the Record of Decision for the Final Environmental Impact Statement for this plan is issued.

Changes in planning policies and procedures have accelerated during the past few years and will continue into the future. These policies and procedures are evolving so rapidly that significant changes have occurred between the start and finish of individual Forest Plans. It is unrealistic to expect the rapid evolution in planning policies and technologies to stop. Furthermore, it is inappropriate to consider stopping or slowing the Forest Planning process

pending a solidification of these policies and procedures. In addition, considerations such as the National Forest Management Act, Forest Service policies, and public demand require Forest Plans to be completed as rapidly as possible.

Areas of the Forest reviewed in the Roadless Area Review and Evaluation (RARE II) Final Environmental Impact Statement and not designated by Congress in the Utah Wilderness Act as wilderness will be managed for other resources than wilderness. The need to evaluate additional land areas for wilderness in the development and approval of this Forest Plan has been eliminated by the Utah Wilderness Act.

B. Public Review and Appeal

If any particular provision of this proposed action, or the application thereof to any person or circumstances, is held invalid, the remainder of the proposed action and the application of such provision to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

The right to request an administrative appeal of the Regional Forester's decision to approve a Forest Plan is contained in 36 CFR 211.18, which describes the appeal process.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter</u>	<u>Page</u>
PREFACE	P - 1
A. Applicable Laws and Regulations	1
B. Public Review and Appeal	2
 I. FOREST PLAN INTRODUCTION	
A. Purpose of the Forest Plan	I - 1
B. Relationship of the Forest Plan to Other Documents	1
C. Plan Structure	2
D. Forest Description	3
 II. ANALYSIS OF THE MANAGEMENT SITUATION SUMMARY	
A. Social and Economic Characteristics	II - 1
1. Introduction	1
2. Cultural Descriptors	4
3. Economic Indicators	6
4. Expected Future	15
5. Revenue Dispersements	16
B. Physical and Biological Setting	19
1. Geology	19
2. Climate	19
3. Flora and Fauna	20
C. Resource Elements	21
1. Recreation	21
2. Wilderness	26
3. Wildlife and Fish	27
4. Range	39
5. Timber	43
6. Water	47
7. Minerals	52
8. Protection	59
9. Lands	62
10. Soils	65
11. Facilities	67
12. Energy Transportation Corridors	72
D. Research Needs	80

III. PLAN RESPONSES TO ISSUES, CONCERNS, & OPPORTUNITIES

1. Recreation Sites and Facilities	III - 1
2. Managing Recreation Use	1
3. Mineral and Energy Development	2
4. Livestock and Wildlife Forage Resource	2
5. Wildlife and Fish Habitat For Game and Nongame Species	2
6. Road System Expansion and Closures	2
7. Commercial and Fuelwood Timber Resources	2
8. Watershed Condition, Water Quality, and Water Production	3
9. Mixed Public and Private Land Ownership	3
10. Roadless Area Reevaluation	3

IV. FOREST MANAGEMENT DIRECTION

A. Implementation	IV - 1
B. Forest Direction	2
1. Goals	2
2. Objectives	6
C. Management Requirements (Forest Direction)	10
D. Management Area Direction (Prescriptions)	49

V. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FOREST PLAN

A. Implementation Direction	V - 1
B. Monitoring and Evaluation Program	2
C. Revision and Amendment	14

VI. GLOSSARY

VI - 1

VII. APPENDICES

A. Ten Year Timber Sale Program	A- 1
B. Lands Capable, Available, Suitable	B- 1
C. Recreation Construction and Reconstruction	C- 1
D. Wildlife	D- 1
E. Range	E- 1
F. Trail Construction and Reconstruction	F- 1
G. Utility and Transportation Corridors	G- 1
H. Mineral Stipulation	H- 1
I. Facilities Construction and Reconstruction	I- 1
J. Arterial & Collector Road Construction & Reconstruction	J- 1
K. Landownership	K- 1
L. Fire Management	L- 1
M. Visuals	M- 1
N. ROW Plan	N- 1
O. Coal Unsuitability	O- 1

P.	Travel Plan	P- 1
Q.	Soil and Watershed Improvement Needs	Q- 1
R.	Cultural Resources	R-1

VIII.	REFERENCES	VIII- 1
-------	------------	---------

IX.	INDEX	IX- 1
-----	-------	-------

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

<u>FIGURES</u>	PAGE
Fig. I-1 Vicinity Map - Fishlake National Forest	I- 4
Fig. II-2 Fishlake Forest Zone of Influence	II- 2
Fig. II-3 HRU Map (boundaries)	II- 3
Fig. II-4 Population of Sevier SRU	II- 7
Fig. II-5 Mix of Employment	II-10
Fig. II-6 WFUD's Demand Projections	II-38
<u>TABLES</u>	
CHAPTER II: ANALYSIS OF THE MANAGEMENT SITUATION SUMMARY	
Table II-1 % Employment by Sector/HRU	II- 9
Table II-2 Per Capita Income	II-12
Table II-3 Median Income for Families	II-13
Table II-4 Population Counts 1980 Census	II-14
Table II-5 In Lieu Taxes to Counties	II-16
Table II-6 25% Fund Payments by County	II-17
Table II-7 Gross Receipts and 25% Fund Pmts	II-18
Table II-8A Ecological Indicator MIS	II-29
Table II-8B High Interest MIS	II-29
Table II-9 Species Considered for MIS But not Used	II-30
Table II-10 Community Types and Their Current Trends	II-32
Table II-11 DWR Mule Deer Buck Harvest Objectives	II-36
Table II-12 DWR Elk Objectives	II-36
Table II-13 Permitted AUM's	II-40
Table II-14 Allowable Sale and Timber Sale	II-44
Table II-15 Present and Future Forest Condition	II-46
Table II-16 Timber Productivity Classification	II-47
Table II-17 Division of Ownership of Land	II-62
Table II-18 Watershed Condition Inventory	II-65
Table II-19 Soil Loss	II-66
Table II-20 Existing Energy Transportation Systems	II-74
Table II-21 Existing Fed., State, Interstate Highways	II-75
Table II-22 Energy Transportation ROW's	II-76
Table II-23 Highway ROW's	II-78
CHAPTER IV: MANAGEMENT DIRECTION	
Table IV-1 Objectives of Fishlake National Forest	IV- 8
Table IV-2 Management Area Summary	IV-50
CHAPTER V: IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FOREST PLAN	
Table V-1 Monitoring Requirements	V- 4

CHAPTER I

FOREST PLAN INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose of the Forest Plan

The Forest Plan guides all natural resource management activities and establishes management standards and guidelines for the Fishlake National Forest. It describes resource management practices, levels of resource production and management, and the availability and suitability of lands for resource management.

The Forest Plan embodies the provisions of the National Forest Management Act, the Regulations, and other guiding documents. The prescriptions and standards and guidelines are a statement of the Plan's management direction; however, the project outputs, services, and rates of implementation are dependent on the annual budgeting process.

B. Relationship of the Forest Plan to Other Documents

Development of the Forest Plan takes place within the framework of Forest Service Regional and National planning. The relationship among the different planning levels is shown as follows:

Congressional Acts

National level

Forest Service planning through the
Renewable Resource Assessment and Program (RPA)

Regional planning level through the
Regional Guide for the Intermountain Region

Forest planning level through the
Fishlake National Forest
Land & Resource Management Plan

The RPA Program sets the National direction and output levels for the National Forest system lands. It is based on suitability and comparability information from each Forest Service Region.

Each Forest Service Region distributes its share of national production targets to each of its Forests. The share each National Forest receives is based on detailed information gathered at the Forest level.

The Forest Service Region also prepares a Regional Guide which contains standards and guidelines to direct Forest Management. The Standards and Guidelines contained in the Regional Guide for the Intermountain Region guide the development of Forest standards and guidelines unless there is a determination of a situation requiring a variation. The standards and guidelines in this plan amplify those in the Regional Guide.

The Land and Resource Management Plan validates or provides a basis for changing production levels assigned by the Region. Activities and projects are planned and implemented by the Forest to carry out the direction developed in the Forest Plan. Information from all the National Forests in the Region was used in developing the Intermountain Regional Guide.

The Forest Plan is the selected alternative of the EIS and is based on the various considerations which have been addressed in the EIS. The planning process and the analysis procedure which were used in developing this Plan, as well as the other alternatives that were considered, are described or referenced in the EIS. Assessment of the environmental consequences of implementing land management actions will be through the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) procedures as spelled out in the implementing regulations (40 CFR 1500 - 1508). Environmental analysis for activities and projects will be tiered to the accompanying EIS as provided for in 40 CFR 1502.20. The local project environmental analysis will use the data and evaluations in the Plan and EIS as its basis.

C. Plan Structure

This plan provides the long term direction for managing the Fishlake National Forest. It contains the overall directions and activities which will be required to achieve the desired state of the Forest. Management area maps indicate where the activities will occur.

The Forest Plan contains management direction for the Fishlake National Forest. The EIS described the alternatives considered in arriving at that direction and assessed the environmental effects of implementing the Plan and other alternatives.

The Forest Plan is organized into five chapters:

- Chapter I. Forest Plan Introduction
- Chapter II. Analysis of the Management Situation Summary
- Chapter III. Plan Responses to Issues, Concerns, and Opportunities
- Chapter IV. Forest Management Direction
- Chapter V. Implementation of the Forest Plan

The Chapter titled "Forest Management Direction" deals with the multiple use goals and objectives. It also lists the management practices and standards and guidelines for management of specific areas. The "Implementation of the Forest Plan" chapter deals with the means to implement the plan and evaluate and monitor the effects of management practices.

Maps displaying the various resources and associated management activities can be found in the accompanying map packet. By studying the maps concurrently with the Forest Plan, the reader can better understand the proposed action.


D. Forest Description

The Fishlake National Forest is located in central Utah surrounding the town of Richfield, which is about 140 airline miles south of Salt Lake City (See Figure I-1). The Forest contains 1.5 million acres, crossing parts of the Wasatch, Awapa, Sevier, and Fishlake Plateaus as well as all of the Tushar Mountains and the Canyon and Pahvant Ranges. Portions of the Utah counties covered by the Forest are: Beaver, Garfield, Iron, Juab, Millard, Piute, Sanpete, Sevier, and Wayne.

Major access to the vicinity of the Forest is provided by two interstate highways and one U.S. highway. I-70 crosses the Forest in an east-west direction in Clear Creek and Salina Canyons. I-15, linking Salt Lake City with Las Vegas, passes east of the Canyon Range, through Scipio Pass, then west of the Pahvant Range and Tushar Mountains. U.S. Highway 89, also coming south from Salt Lake City, runs through the Sevier River Valley, which separates the eastern and western halves of the Forest.

The Forest Supervisor is headquartered in Richfield, Utah, while Ranger District offices are located in Fillmore, Loa, Beaver, and Richfield, Utah.

FIGURE I - 1



VICINITY MAP
FISHLAKE NATIONAL FOREST

CHAPTER II

ANALYSIS OF THE MANAGEMENT SITUATION SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

This chapter describes the present condition of each Forest resource. Future demand for Forest resources, the Forest's ability to supply that demand, and the expected future condition of the resources are summarized.

Information in this chapter was drawn primarily from the Analysis of the Management Situation, approved in March of 1982. Copies of the analysis are available in the Fishlake Forest Supervisor's Office, Richfield, Utah.

A. Social and Economic Characteristics

1. Introduction


In describing the current social and economic conditions in the Fishlake Forest's Zone of Influence (see Figure II-2) and assessing potential impacts, a system called Socially Responsive Management (SRM), proposed by the Foundation for Urban and Neighborhood Development of Denver, Colorado, was used. Key to this approach is the Social Analysis Unit, which is defined as a geographical area used to describe current and possible future social, economic, and institutional conditions at the local, regional, and national level. The two units used in this Forest Plan are the Human Resource Unit (HRU) and the Social Resource Unit (SRU).

Human Resource Units are used to design, implement and monitor management actions that respond to changing social conditions at the local level. Social Resource Units perform the same function at the regional level and thus contain one or more Human Resource Units, which are the basic building blocks. The Human Resource Units are the units of social analysis called for in Estimating Social Effects: Region 4 Social Analysis guidelines for project LMP. The procedures for characterizing and delineating Human Resource Units are described in FUND, (1979).

In using the Socially Responsive Management approach to social impact analysis, seven cultural descriptors and four economic indicators are used. These are: public and their organizations, settlement patterns, work routines, communication networks, supporting services, recreational activities, and geographical boundaries. The geographical boundaries are shown on Figure II-3. The other descriptors are discussed below. The four economic indicators are: population change, employment mix, wage structure, and local labor supply. These also are discussed below.

The descriptions of the cultural descriptors and economic indicators were made by first collecting the data for the six HRU's in the Forest's zone of influence and then generalizing them to the Sevier Social Resource Unit, which contains the Beaver, Delta, Fillmore, Fremont, Piute, and Richfield Human Resource Units.

FIGURE II - 2




VICINITY MAP

FISHLAKE NATIONAL FOREST

—— Sevier Social Resource Unit which is the zone of influence of the Fishlake National Forest.

FIGURE II - 3



2. Cultural Descriptors

a. Publics and Their Organizations

The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints (Mormons) with its system of religious and social institutions is the major organization within the Sevier Social Resource Unit. Most of the Human Resource Units are about 85 percent Mormon; however, the Piute HRU is 68 percent Mormon. While a diversity of economic interests are represented within the church, its emphasis on family unity, conservatism, and agricultural and small business employment is a powerful influence in the area.

Livestock permittees, water users, senior citizens and local businessmen are the major publics in the area that have associations to promote their interests. Hunters, fishers, campers and picnickers are also significant publics. In the past they often lacked formal organizations to promote their interests, but they are becoming increasingly organized.

Other publics and their attendant formal and informal organizations are present in only one or two of the Human Resource Units of the Social Resource Unit. These range from the small but tightly knit groups of Asian Americans and Paiute Indians in the Fillmore HRU, to the California emigrants in the Richfield and Piute HRU's. This latter group comprises both retired people looking for a safe, amenable place to live, and former residents returning home to utilize new employment opportunities. These two groups form publics with distinct perceptions about Forest management.

b. Settlement Patterns

The Sevier Social Resource Unit was mainly settled by Mormon pioneers between 1850 and 1880. Most of these pioneers were recent European immigrants who were sent to colonize by the Mormon Church. Following church policy, the societies they created were agricultural with a tight, cohesive social structure that centered around their religion. Farmers and shopkeepers alike lived in the towns, the farmers commuting to their farms. This pattern has led to the lack of outlying farm houses typical of most of agricultural America. The towns thus had to be located near the centers of agricultural areas, which meant in valleys near water sources, usually mountain streams.

Between 1900 and the Second World War the population of most of the SRU showed a gradual increase of about 40 percent. The one exception to this trend was in the Piute Human Resource Unit, which experienced a hardrock mining boom around 1920.

Between the end of the Second World War and 1970, the SRU showed a population decline of about 20 percent as a result of the widespread migration from rural to urban areas and the lack of jobs in the area. This out migration occurred at different rates in different Human Resource Units. These population trends reversed themselves once

again starting about 1970. Thus the 1980 census showed a 33 percent growth over the 1970 one. Again, the rate of change was different for the different Human Resource Units. The Richfield Human Resource Unit had the highest growth rate, due mainly to creation of jobs in the non-agricultural sectors of government, service, and small business. In the near future, industrial jobs related to coal mining near Salina and electricity generation near Delta should bring a new wave of settlers into the Sevier SRU. These immigrations tend to diversify the culture of the Sevier SRU.

c. Work Routines

Most jobs in the Sevier area are in the government, trade, agricultural and services sectors. Because of the high percentage of government, trade, and service workers, there is only a minor seasonal change in the number of jobs. Since most of the agriculture is live-stock raising, it also produces few seasonal fluctuations. With the expected increase in the mining and manufacturing sectors, the percentage of seasonal change should become even lower. However, the more industrialized economy could have multiyear fluctuations reflecting national trends.

The few changes in this lack of seasonality would be in the tourist industry, where motels, campgrounds, etc. receive more business from people traveling to such areas as Fish Lake or the nearby National Parks. In areas like the Fremont HRU, ranchers tend to harvest alfalfa in the summer and then supplement their income from other sources such as timbering in other seasons.

d. Communication Networks

Formal communication networks (newspapers, radio and television) are readily accessible to all residents of the Sevier SRU. Seven weekly newspapers are published within the SRU. There are three local radio stations. Daily newspapers, television, and several radio stations located in the Salt Lake area also cover the SRU. Because Salt Lake is the media hub for the Intermountain West, the media there are more attuned to events in outlying areas than is normally the case.

e. Supporting Services

Law enforcement is handled by police departments in the larger towns such as Beaver, Fillmore, and Richfield, and also by County Sheriff's Departments and the State Highway Patrol. The Forest has had cooperative agreements for law enforcement with the sheriffs in Beaver, Millard, Sevier, and Wayne Counties. Volunteer Fire Departments in the towns provide fire protection for private property. There is a fire protection offset agreement between the Forest and Utah Division of State Lands and Forestry for the portion of the Forest north of Interstate 70 and east of Salina. This offset agreement in turn brings in the County Fire Wardens.

Sevier County has three ambulances, while others are stationed at Beaver, Fillmore and Loa. These are manned by volunteer Emergency

Medical Technicians. Hospitals serving the area are located at Beaver, Fillmore, and Richfield, but the more difficult cases are transferred to the Salt Lake or Utah Valleys.

Government services are obtained in the county seats of Beaver, Fillmore, Junction, Loa, and Richfield.

Elementary education is provided at small community schools scattered throughout the area. High school students must commute to schools at Bicknell, Salina, Richfield, Monroe, Junction, Beaver, Fillmore, or Delta.

Informal support services are important in the area. The various programs and organizations of the Mormon Church continue to be a leading support service.

f. Recreation Activities

Agriculture-related activities such as rodeos, brandings, 4-H Clubs and county fairs provide recreation for HRU residents. Church activities and high school and community sporting events are popular and receive active support.

Local residents participate in the same recreation activities that attract non-residents to the area. The opening of the hunting seasons for deer and elk almost have the status of a State holiday rivaling July 24th. Throughout the summer, waters from the high elevation Fish Lake to the lower elevation Lake Powell are heavily used by residents and non-residents alike. Other recreational activities such as picnicking, camping, and four-wheel driving are also practiced. Many of the 116 summer homes at Fish Lake are owned by residents of the Richfield area, but increasingly they are being purchased by people from outside the Sevier SRU.

One recreation phenomenon unique to the Utah area is group camping. Church, other group outings, and family reunions that may attract over 50 people are very popular during the summer months.


3. Economic Indicators

a. Population

The population of the Sevier Social Resource Unit (primarily the citizens of Beaver, Millard, Piute, Sevier and Wayne Counties in Utah) grew from approximately 22,000 in 1900 to 31,000 in 1940. During the next two decades the population declined from 31,000 to 23,000 due to the shift in population from rural to urban settings. Since 1970 the population has grown back to 31,000 (see Figure II-4).

FIGURE II - 4

POPULATION OF THE SEVIER SOCIAL RESOURCE UNIT



A comparison with population growth in the State of Utah shows a sharp contrast with the Sevier Social Resource Unit.

<u>Years of Comparison</u>	<u>Utah</u>	<u>Sevier SRU</u>
1900 to 1980	+428%	+45%
1900 to 1940	+ 99%	+45%
1940 to 1970	+ 92%	-25%
1940 to 1980	+165%	0%
1970 to 1980	+ 38%	+33%

The State of Utah has grown steadily while the population of the Sevier SRU has fluctuated in a narrow band for the past 40 years.

The next two decades should see a large population increase in the Sevier SRU. The population should reach 64,000 by the year 2000 if a minimum of planned development takes place. This 106 percent increase compares with the state of Utah's "high development scenario" population growth of 71 percent. (Utah State Planning Coordinator, 1980).

The population in the Sevier Social Resource Unit is approximately 98 percent white. Individual county percentages vary from 95.4 percent to 99.4 percent.

b. Labor and Employment

The structure of the Sevier Social Resource Unit varies by Human Resource Unit. Percentages of the total workforce by sector and HRU are shown in Table 1. The Richfield HRU has a more diverse economy and is more industrialized than the other HRU's. Piute and Fremont are both heavily dependent upon agriculture and have less diverse economies than the Richfield HRU. Delta is currently heavily agricultural but with the addition of the Intermountain Power Project that economy's structure will shift toward being more industrial.

TABLE II-1
PERCENTAGE OF EMPLOYMENT BY SECTOR
IN EACH HRU OF THE SEVIER SOCIAL RESOURCE UNIT

	HRU 1/ Beaver Delta Fillmore Fremont Piute Richfield					
1. Employees on non-agricultural payroll...	68.4	58.1	71.1	47.7	56.3	74.0
Manufacturing..	6.2	9.3	7.0	4.1	7.5	7.9
Mining.....	4.7	3.0	3.4	4.7	2.7	1.3
Contract Construction.....	2.2	2.4	2.5	3.9	3.4	5.9
Transportation, Comm. & Public Utilities.....	3.4	8.2	3.1	.5	1.9	4.5
Trade.....	19.6	17.1	17.4	6.6	3.6	20.8
Finance, Insurance, & Real Estate.....	1.6	1.6	1.6	.6	.2	2.7
Services.....	8.4	4.1	4.6	3.1	.5	10.4
Government.....	22.1	12.4	31.5	24.3	36.4	20.6
2. "All other" 2/ non-agricultural employment.....	12.6	11.6	14.2	23.4	19.7	13.1
3. Agricultural Employment 3/..	19.00	30.3	14.7	28.9	34.0	12.9

1/ County data were disaggregated to the various HRU's. County data were supplied by Utah State Employment Security.

2/ "All Other" refers to self-employed, domestic workers, and unpaid workers in family businesses.

3/ Estimate of agricultural proprietors and agricultural laborers.

Figure 5 showing mix of employment displays the agricultural/non-agricultural ratios of the HRU's. An HRU with a rate of less than one agricultural worker to three non-agricultural workers is considered an agricultural economy. A ratio of one agricultural worker to seven non-agricultural workers is considered a non-agricultural economy. The ratios in between denote transitional economies. For the Sevier SRU as a whole the ratio is 4.5 non-agricultural to one agricultural worker which places it in the transitional area.

FIGURE II - 5

MIX OF EMPLOYMENT

1980 data

Argricultural
1:1 - 1:3


Transitional
1:4 - 1:6

Non-Argicultural
1:7 +


Richfield 1:6.8



Piute 1:1.9




Delta 1:2.3



Fillmore 1:5.8



Fremont 1:2.5



Beaver 1:5.2



The structure of the local HRU's can and does change over time. The general tendency for the entire Sevier SRU is a shift from agricultural toward non-agricultural. For example the agricultural/non-agricultural ratios for the Richfield HRU have shifted as follows:

Richfield HRU		
Year	Ratio	Characterization
1950	1:1.2	Agricultural
1960	1:3.2	Agricultural
1970	1:4.5	Transitional
1980	1:6.8	Non-Agricultural

The Richfield HRU has had sharply expanded government, trade and service sectors in the past 30 years. This tendency toward a non-agricultural economy has not been the result of large increases in manufacturing or mining, but rather the development of Richfield as a regional service center. The shift will continue as the mining sector increases in importance in the next 20 years.

The important point to remember is that change in the structural characterization toward non-agricultural can occur without significant mining or industrial development and that very significant mining and industrial development is expected in most of the Sevier SRU.

The result of the shift is an economy that is more diverse and less dependent upon Forest Service production of forest and range commodity products. The transition can be spurred by mining and oil and gas development on National Forest lands.

There are three HRU's in the Sevier Social Resource Unit that are heavily based on agriculture. For example, looking at the Fremont HRU:

Fremont HRU		
Year	Ratio	Characterization
1950	1:0.5	Agricultural
1960	1:0.9	Agricultural
1970	1:1.8	Agricultural
1980	1:2.5	Agricultural

The characterization of the Fremont HRU as an agricultural economy means that the economy is heavily dependent upon National Forest production of forest and range commodity outputs. The economy is not diverse and actions taken by the Forest Service have a significant impact on this HRU.

c. Future Development

There are many projects that could potentially have a significant impact on the structure of the HRU's. The projects include:

<u>Project</u>	<u>HRU</u>	<u>Note</u>
Intermountain Power Project	Delta	Used for population forecast
Coal mining development	Richfield	Used for population forecast
Oil and gas development	Potentially All	Not used for population forecast
Mineral and Uranium Development	Piute	" "
	Beaver	" "
	Milford	" "
Geothermal development	Beaver	" "

d. Wages and Income

Two measures of income highlight the fact that wages and incomes in the Sevier Social Resource Unit are below average (see Tables II-2 and II-3). Measured by per capita income, the six HRU's of the Sevier SRU vary from 63 to 79 percent of the national average because of larger families. Total family income of the six HRU's ranges from 61 to 80 percent of the national average.

TABLE II-2
PER CAPITA INCOME (1977)

<u>Area</u>	<u>Amount</u> <u>(1979 dollars)</u>	<u>Percent of</u> <u>National Average</u>
United States	\$5,751	100%
Utah	\$5,135	89%
Beaver HRU	\$4,431	77%
Delta HRU	\$3,761	65%
Fillmore HRU	\$3,761	65%
Fremont HRU	\$3,640	63%
Piute HRU	\$3,722	60%
Richfield HRU	\$4,523	79%

TABLE II-3
MEDIAN INCOME FOR FAMILIES (1970)

<u>Area</u>	<u>Amount</u> <u>(1970 dollars)</u>	<u>Percent of</u> <u>National Average</u>
United States	\$9,590	100%
Utah	\$9,320	97%
Beaver HRU	\$7,289	76%
Delta HRU	\$6,819	71%
Fillmore HRU	\$6,819	71%
Fremont HRU	\$5,836	61%
Piute HRU	\$7,486	78%
Richfield HRU	\$7,668	80%

The wage scale of miners, powerplant workers, carpenters and other workers that will be the primary beneficiaries of increased development indicates that incomes will increase dramatically in the next 20 years. The Bureau of Economic Analysis predicts that Utah's per capita income will grow at the second fastest rate in the nation.

e. Local Labor Supply

Employment participation rates per 100 people over 15 years of age are as follows:

<u>HRU</u>	<u>1980</u>	<u>1981</u>
Beaver	60.14	59.73
Delta	62.28	60.00
Fillmore	62.28	60.00
Fremont	53.73	53.33
Piute	54.35	54.26
Richfield	63.30	62.79
State of Utah	59.49	57.95

The figures above show that only the Fremont and Piute HRU's have the capacity to increase employment and participation rates to the state averages. The rest of the HRU's participation rates are greater than the state average. The base populations of Fremont and Piute HRU's combined with the high (compared to the State) employment participation rates indicates that creation of large numbers of new jobs will require immigration of labor from outside the area.

f. Implications of Economic Analysis

The factors that influence the Sevier SRU are (1) rapid population increase in an area that has not grown rapidly during the last 40 years, (2) a transition in several HRU's from agricultural toward non-agricultural, (3) a series of prospective mining and industrial

developments that will have a major impact on the area if they are initiated, and (4) a change in the per capita incomes due to the influx of workers from development of the area.

The demands for resources from the Fishlake National Forest will vary according to the severity of the impact. In HRU's that are most influenced by this change, the Forest will see a large increase in the demand for recreation. In HRU's that remain agriculturally based, the community will continue to look to the Forest as a major source of incomes.

g. Minorities

The Census Bureau classifies the population of the Sevier SRU as follows:

TABLE II-4
POPULATION COUNTS FOR 1980 CENSUS
UTAH P.L. 94-171

RACE	COUNTY				
	<u>Beaver</u>	<u>Millard</u>	<u>Piute</u>	<u>Sevier</u>	<u>Wayne</u>
Total Pop.	4,378	8,970	1,329	14,727	1,1911
Percentage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
White	4,316	8,557	1,300	14,452	1,186
Percentage	98.6%	95.4%	99.4%	98.1%	98.7%
Black	0	1	0	0	2
Percentage	0.0%	0.01%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Indian	27	137	5	178	18
Percentage	0.62%	1.5%	0.38%	1.2%	0.94%
Asian	24	135	1	20	2
Percentage	0.55%	1.5%	0.08%	0.14%	0.10%
Hispanic	85	157	17	175	24
Percentage	1.9%	1.8%	1.3%	1.2%	1.32%
Other	11	140	2	77	3
Percentage	0.25%	1.6%	0.15%	0.52%	0.16%

On April 3, 1980, Congress adopted the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah Restoration Act (PL96-227) which allowed up to 15,000 acres of reservation land to be established in Beaver, Iron, Washington, Millard and Sevier Counties. On February 7, 1984, Public Law 98-219 designated the lands to be held in trust. This latter Act provided the Paiutes exclusive use of a tract of land on the shore of Fish Lake for two, two week periods, one is at the beginning of June and the other at the end of September.

4. Expected Future

The baseline population of the counties in the Sevier Social Resource Unit is anticipated to increase by 64 percent by the year 2000 (Utah State Planning Coordinator, 1980). This population growth is slightly more than the baseline population growth projected for the rest of the state.

The Bureau of Economic and Business Research (1981) estimated the population impact of the Intermountain Power Project (IPP) in West Millard County, the location of the Delta Human Resource Unit, will peak in 1986 at 4,027 and then decrease to 2,630 in the year 2000.

A third factor in the growth of the population of the Sevier Social Resource Unit is coal development. Allen Fawcett in Population Impacts Resulting From Coal Mining in the Six-County Area (1979) estimated a range of coal production in the Sevier Social Resource Unit of between 9.2 and 10.0 million tons a year. If the total production were 5.0 million in the year 2000, the total population of the area can be expected to increase by 7,500.

Oil and gas production is possible from the area. One million two hundred thousand acres of the Fishlake National Forest are currently under oil and gas leases and additional government and private lands are being explored. A major find could increase the population of the Sevier SRU by increased work for development of that resource. The timing and the extent of development depends on both the demand for oil and gas and the luck of the wildcatters in finding it.

Minerals such as uranium, molybdenum, alunite, gold, and silver are found in the Sevier SRU. Development of a major mine to obtain any of these resources will have a significant local impact. The timing and size of a mineral development will depend on the size of the deposits and world and national economic conditions.

Fishlake National Forest lands will be influenced a number of ways from the expected development. The need to manage mineral resources will require more time and money. The demand for recreation will increase dramatically as population and per capita incomes increase. Conflicts between recreation and other resources will increase. There will be a need for more protection of resources from trespass and vandalism.

The growth in the local economy will create many problems; it will also create an opportunity for the Fishlake National Forest to respond to that growth. If expected changing demand is responded to in a timely manner, the land can be managed with a minimum of resource damage. Several HRU's in the Sevier SRU will experience boom type growth if expected and possible development takes place. The Forest Service has the opportunity to anticipate and respond to these changes.

5. Revenue Dispersements

In lieu taxes paid to the state for distribution to local counties, resulting from Public Law 95-565, are listed in Table II-5. The payment is based upon a standard valuation of \$.10 an acre, or \$.75 an acre less certain adjustments. In either case the maximum amount paid is also based upon the population of the counties. Finally the funds must be appropriated. For example in fiscal year 1979, the total funds appropriated equaled 87.676 percent of the maximum funds payable.

TABLE II-5
IN LIEU TAXES DISTRIBUTED TO COUNTIES UNDER PL94-565

<u>ENTITLEMENT LAND ACREAGE (NOTE 1)</u>			
<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>FISHLAKE (ACRES)</u>	<u>TOTAL GOVERNMENT (ACRES)</u>	<u>FISHLAKE ACRES PERCENT OF TOTAL (FEDERAL ACRES)</u>
BEAVER	137,906	1,287,605	10.7
GARFIELD	3,344	2,607,999	.1
IRON	2,297	1,220,803	.2
JUAB	20,788	1,538,094	1.4
MILLARD	306,956	3,342,691	9.2
PIUTE	188,787	350,860	53.8
SANPETE	1,941	530,743	.4
SEVIER	685,551	951,467	72.1
WAYNE	<u>76,909</u>	<u>1,274,138</u>	<u>6.0</u>
TOTAL	1,424,479	13,104,400	10.9

<u>PL94-565 PAYMENTS SECTIONS 1&3 FY 1979 - (NOTE 2)</u>			<u>(NOTE 3)</u>
<u>TOTAL GROSS PAYMENT (DOLLARS)</u>	<u>FISHLAKE PROPORTION (DOLLARS)</u>		<u>ACTUAL FY 79 PAYMENT 87.676% PRORATED (DOLLARS)</u>
BEAVER	199,496	21,346	18,715
GARFIELD	171,445	171	150
IRON	141,091	882	773
JUAB	245,471	3,437	3,013
MILLARD	328,000	30,176	26,457
PIUTE	57,755	31,014	27,192
SANPETE	387,968	1,552	1,361
SEVIER	393,265	283,544	248,600
WAYNE	<u>92,562</u>	<u>5,554</u>	<u>4,870</u>
TOTAL	2,317,053	377,676	331,131

NOTES

1. Total Government acres are from an enclosure to a letter, 1920 Land and Resource Planning, Subject: Payment in Lieu of Taxes, date October 3, 1980. The Fishlake acreage is from internal documents.
2. Total gross payments are from the same letter referenced in Note 1 above. The actual amount paid is subject to appropriation by Congress and previous years' payments, etc. The payment, subject to a maximum based upon population, is computed by taking the higher of 75 cents an acre less certain adjustments, or 10 cents an acre.
3. The actual payment was 87.676% of the total gross payment. This column is the amount that is from Fishlake National Forest. The amount was estimated by taking the total payment and adjusting for the percentage of Fishlake National Forest lands in the County.

A second source of funds to the local counties is the 25 percent payment to counties under the Act of May 23, 1908. The following table is a breakdown of 25 percent fund payments by county.

TABLE II-6
25 PERCENT FUND PAYMENTS BY COUNTY

<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>ACRES</u>	<u>FY 80 PAYMENT (DOLLARS)</u>	<u>FY 81 PAYMENT (DOLLARS)</u>
Beaver	137,859	9,210.15	8,728.89
Garfield	3,344	223.41	211.73
Iron	2,297	153.46	145.44
Juab	20,788	1,388.82	1,316.25
Millard	306,956	20,507.26	19,435.70
Piute	188,514	12,594.33	11,936.25
Sanpete	1,941	129.68	122.90
Sevier	685,551	45,801.48	43,407.41
Wayne	76,909	5,138.17	4,867.69

The source of the receipts and the corresponding payments by functions are as follows:

TABLE II-7
GROSS RECEIPTS AND 25% FUND PAYMENTS BY FUNCTION

<u>FUNCTION</u>	<u>FY 81 RECEIPTS (DOLLARS)</u>	<u>FY 81 PAYMENTS (DOLLARS)</u>
KNUTSON-VANDENBURG	20,373	5,093
SALE AREA IMPROVEMENT		
DEPOSITS		
TIMBER	22,621	5,655
LAND USES	2,287	572
RECREATION (SPECIAL USES)	26,134	6,533
POWER	4,018	1,005
MINERALS	30,415	7,604
RECREATION	23,744	5,936
(LAND & WATER CONS. FUND)		
GRAZING	<u>231,106</u>	<u>57,776</u>
TOTALS	360,697	90,174

A far more significant source of funds to the state and the local counties comes from the Minerals Leasing Act of 1920. The state and local counties can share up to 50 percent of total receipts from lease sales, bonuses, royalties and rentals. Forty percent goes to the Bureau of Reclamation, and the remaining 10 percent of receipts goes to the U.S. Treasury.

Royalties and rentals are broken down as follows:

	<u>FY 81 (DOLLARS)</u>
Coal	1,351,520/year
Oil and Gas	850,000
Geothermal	<u>23,435</u>
Total	2,224,955/year

Oil and gas rental will increase to approximately \$1,200,000 as all lands leased pay \$1.00/acre/year. If any production occurs, the royalty payments from oil and gas production could contribute large sums of money to the fund.

Coal rental and royalty payments should approach \$4,000,000 as the minimum royalty payment per ton increases to \$1.80 or 8 percent of the value of the coal.

B. PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGIC SETTING

1. GEOLOGY

The eastern half of the Fishlake Forest is located in the High Plateaus Section of the Colorado Plateaus Physiographic Province, while the western half is located in the Basin and Range Province. Though the eastern and western halves of the Forest are different physiographically, geological differences are between the northern and southern halves. The southern half of the Forest is underlain by extrusive igneous rocks. The Tushar and Monroe Mountains are composed of Tertiary volcanics while Tertiary and Quaternary lava flows cover the area of the Forest north of Loa. The northern half of the Forest is underlain by sedimentary rocks. Most of these are nearly flat-lying Tertiary shales, limestones, and sandstones. However, the western edge of the Pahvant Range and most of the Canyon Range is underlain by moderately to steeply dipping Paleozoic sedimentary rocks.

Basin and Range type block faulting, present along the edges of several of the mountains, is responsible for much of the topography. Portions of the Forest are in the overthrust belt as Laramide thrusting is present in the Pahvant Range. Alpine glaciation in the Tushars, plateau glaciation around Fish Lake, and landsliding have also formed the present landscape.

2. CLIMATE

The Fishlake Forest is affected by two major storm paths approaching the basin from nearly opposite directions. During the winter and spring months, frontal storm systems from the Pacific Northwest predominate. During the late summer and early fall, thunderstorms move in from the south and southwest. The frontal storms move in from the north or northwest and affect mostly the north half of the Forest. The summer storms moving in from the south to southwest occur in isolated areas and are of greater intensity than the Pacific storms. The summer storms have produced as much as 2.8 inches of moisture in two hours and have the potential to produce devastating floods.

Precipitation varies from 8 to 10 inches at the Forest boundary to 40 inches at the highest elevations. Most of the precipitation received between October and April is in the form of snow. This period of precipitation amounts to about two-thirds of the yearly total at any given location.

The growing season varies from 120 days at the Forest boundary to 20 days at the higher elevations.

Sunny skies prevail most of the year. During December the Sevier Basin receives 50 percent of the possible sunshine. More sunshine prevails during the summer and fall, when the average is about 78 percent.

Wind speeds are usually light to moderate, although strong winds do occur. Tornadoes are rare.

3. FLORA AND FAUNA

A variety of ecosystems from high desert through transitional alpine are present on the Fishlake Forest. Riparian areas also span this range from alpine lakes and streams to desert springs and washes.

Major tree species on the Forest include aspen, juniper, pinyon pine, Engelmann spruce, alpine fir, white fir, ponderosa pine, Douglas fir, and cottonwood. Growing sites range from those relatively high in productivity, to dry grass, to barren.

Reflecting the wide range of climatic and floral types on the Forest, there is also a diversity of wildlife. Approximately 83 species of mammals, 177 of birds, 30 of reptiles and amphibians, and 16 of fish inhabit the area. The aquatic resources are numerous, with approximately 700 miles of streams and 4,500 acres of lakes and reservoirs.

Big game hunting is an extremely popular pursuit on the Fishlake. Elk and mule deer are the principal game species. Other game mammals and birds, such as sage grouse, forest grouse, cottontails, bear and mountain lion, as well as waterfowl, are also hunted. The Forest provides year-round range for deer and elk. Although the winter range extends to other ownerships in the valleys around the Forest, a high percentage of the use in a "normal year" is on the Forest.

The bald eagle, an endangered species, winters on the Forest around rivers, lakes, and major migration routes. The Forest also provides habitat for the threatened Utah prairie dog. The Forest has cooperated for several years in a recovery program and expects this to lead to an eventual removal of the Utah prairie dog from Federal listing. The Bonneville cutthroat trout is regionally listed as a sensitive species and is a candidate for Federally listed threatened status. It is found on the Forest on the west side of the Tushar Mountains and the south end of the Pahvant Range. The peregrine falcon (endangered species) also utilizes the Forest in very limited numbers. Only one active nesting area has been identified (See Forest Threatened and Endangered Plan). Another raptor of high interest is the osprey, which inhabits the Fish Lake-Johnson Valley Reservoir area. The Forest also provides habitat for the endangered Rydberg milkvetch.

C. RESOURCE ELEMENTS

The supply and demand conditions of primary resource elements are detailed under each resource section.

1. RECREATION

a. Physical Setting

The mountains and elevated plateaus between intervening valleys occupied by farms and small communities attract local and regional visitors. Evergreen and aspen trees interspersed with meadows; 76 perennial streams; and approximately 60 bodies of water, principally small reservoirs, provide a desirable summer setting.

Fish Lake-Johnson Valley, a 13,700 acre area including 2,500 acres of lake and 670 acres of reservoir, receives 25 percent of the total forest recreation use. Other popular areas are the Tushar Mountains, reservoirs with fisheries, and the other developed campgrounds and picnic sites.

The Recreation Opportunity Spectrum (ROS) is limited as there are no Primitive class nor Urban class settings. The principal opportunity class is Semi-primitive Motorized comprising 61 percent or 868,900 acres. Second is Roaded Natural which is 26 percent or 367,500 acres. Semi-primitive Non-motorized is 12 percent or 175,600 acres. Rural is not quite one percent or 12,200 acres. Motorized opportunities are the dominant feature as the combined acreage of Semi-Primitive Motorized and Roaded Natural classes (1,236,400 acres) is 87 percent of the Forest.

b. Social Setting

Average recreation use during the five year period 1979-1983 has been 1.3 million visitor days annually. Fiscal year 1983 was the first time use was estimated and reported by Recreation Opportunity Spectrum (ROS) classes.

1983 Estimated Use By ROS Classes

<u>Semi-Primitive Non-Motorized</u>	<u>Semi-Primitive Motorized</u>	<u>Roaded Natural</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u>
M-RVD 16.2	201.8	781.0	299.2	1,298.2
Percent 1.3	15.5	60.2	23.0	100

The social setting when addressing recreation opportunities refers to the amount of contact between individuals or groups. User density is a term describing visitor interaction and is the number of recreational visitor days per acre each season. Current user density is as follows:

1983 Estimated Use Density

<u>Semi-Primitive Non-Motorized</u>	<u>Semi-Primitive Motorized</u>	<u>Roaded Natural</u>	<u>Rural</u>
M-RVD 16.2	201.8	781.0	299.2
M-Acres 175.6	868.9	367.5	12.2
RVD/Ac. .092	.232	2.125	24.5

Except for holiday weekends, a few weekends in July and August and the deer and elk hunts, use density is generally considered low. There are favorite areas which are crowded. Also, campgrounds at Fish Lake will not accommodate all the visitors and "overflow" areas are made available during these peak use periods. Camping away from favorite areas and outside of developed sites can provide opportunities for "solitude" or being "away from the crowd" at Fish Lake. It is this "solitude" that many visitors from adjacent communities seek. This helps explain why they do not want more developed sites and facilities; they want to avoid rubbing shoulders with more visitors.

c. Sites and Facilities

General public sites were first constructed during the 1930's by the Civilian Conservation Corps. Congress funded public works projects during the 1960's resulting in construction and reconstruction of recreation facilities. Emphasis on pollution abatement programs included construction of a sewage system with lagoons to serve sites at Fish Lake. This system was completed and put into operation by the mid-1970's. Several new rest rooms were included in this construction project. The only additional site constructed since this period has been Piute Parking, a temporary facility constructed during the paving of the highway between Fish Lake and Johnson Valley reservoir.

Maintenance has been inadequate because it has not been properly funded. Water systems serving the sites were installed when "running water" facilities were simple and springs were used as the source. Safe drinking water standards have been established since these systems were built. Water systems need to be brought up to standards by reconstruction or replaced using a different source.

Developed Sites - Public Sector

<u>Kind</u>	<u>No. of Sites</u>	<u>PAOT</u>	<u>PAOT Days</u>
Campgrounds	19	2,765	396,500
Picnic Ground	9	782	122,000
Boating Site	1	135	17,100
Visitor Information	1	20	2,000
Total	30	3,702	537,600

Developed Sites - Private Sector

<u>Kind</u>	<u>No. of Sites</u>	<u>PAOT</u>	<u>PAOT Days</u>
Lodge-Resort	3	776	115,400
Rec. Residence	8	763	278,500
Total	11	1,539	393,900

d. Supply and Demand

Recreation opportunities are interdependent on the physical setting (land), social setting (number of people using the same land) and on the managerial setting (providing facilities and managing use). There is more than adequate land on which to construct more sites and facilities. Comparison of capacity (people per acre) data and current use indicates more use can be accommodated. However, the amount of increased use depends on the specific kinds of opportunities being sought and their location. There is less opportunity to increase use for activities requiring solitude.

Generally, the land base is considered adequate for the planning period. The challenge will be to have enough funding to manage the projected use and provide facilities. The recreation portion of proposed budgets to implement this plan will provide the following outputs and is compared to projected demand (computed in July, 1984).

Average Annual Plan Outputs and Projected Demand (M-RVD)*

<u>Decade</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
Plan	1,327.1	1,692.7	1,788.0	1,874.4	1,953.1
Demand	1,535.1	1,860.6	2,150.8	2,441.1	2,731.6

* Includes wildlife and fish Recreation Visitor Days.

e. Trails

With the exception of some trails which are strategically located, Forest trails are generally multiple resource oriented. Livestock distribution and movement, fire management, and administrative access are important trail functions, in addition to recreational use.

Benefiting activities need to include in their programs and budget financing for single purpose and important multiple purpose trails. Two-tracked roads and trails coincide in some areas. There are situations where relocation of trails should be done to separate traffic and enhance the recreation opportunities.

A trail management review was conducted in September, 1980. Since then the system inventory has been reduced from 1,008 miles to 89 miles. Maintenance plans prepared since the review establish four levels of maintenance to provide different kinds of opportunities and accommodate various amounts of use. Total forest operation and maintenance required funding in 1985 dollars is \$74,000 annually.

f. Wild and Scenic Rivers

No river on Fishlake National Forest has been nominated for classification as a Wild and Scenic River. A review of streams on the Forest indicates none is eligible. Thus none is considered in alternative formulation.

g. National Natural Landmarks

There are no existing ones on the Forest. A survey of Natural Landmark Areas of the Northern portion of the Colorado Plateau (Welsh and Others 1980) indicated seven potential National Landmarks on the Fishlake National Forest.

These seven sites are:

Bicknell - Shingle Mill Creek Alluvial Fan
Monroe Hot Springs
Niotche Creek Glacial Features
Salina Canyon Angular Unconformity
Sevenmile Cirques
Skinner Canyon Ignimbrite
Sunglow Campground

The first three sites were rated as needing further information while the latter four sites were rated as appearing to be nationally significant.

No action of the proposed Plan will impair their integrity prior to evaluation. In fact the proposed plan and other factors will work to maintain their integrity. For example, the Bicknell - Shingle Mill Creek alluvial fan and the Sunglow Campground areas were deemed to be in danger from off road vehicles. However, the plan proposes non-motorized recreation for these areas. An example of another factor is the Skinner Canyon Ignimbrite. This potential site was thought to be in danger since it could be used for material to build I-70 in Clear Creek Canyon. However, I-70 construction is now nearly complete and the area has not been used. The only other area thought to be in danger is the cones and spring site at Monroe Hot Springs. However, these features of the site are located off the Forest. None

of the other sites were thought to be in danger (Welsh and others, 1980).

h. Visual Resource

Only about 40 percent of the forest acreage has been intensively examined for visual condition or has visual quality objectives as part of unit plans. An extrapolation based on this information was made to determine visual quality objectives acreage for the Plan. Cost and manpower to do an intensive level reinventory will require programming the reinventory over a period of time. A reasonable work load considering annual budget would be to do one Ranger District each fiscal year. This would require four years to complete.

i. Cultural Resources

The inventory of proposed project areas for cultural resources has covered an estimated 75,000 to 90,000 acres (5% to 6%) of Fishlake National Forest System land. In a typical year (i.e., 1983), 40 to 50 surveys are conducted which might survey 5,000 acres and record 50 new sites.

At this rate of inventory, and FY 1983 was an average year, Target #2 (FSM 2361.02-2)--which calls for the inventory of all cultural resources on National Forest System land by 1990 --will not be met. As of November, 1983, the cultural resources program has inventoried the occurrence of 1,230 sites on surveyed sections of the Fishlake National Forest. One district and two sites, specifically the Gooseberry Historic District with 175 sites and the Aspen - Cloud Rockshelters, have been nominated to the National Register of Historic Places. Cultural resource properties, which include both the National Register nominations and the Forest inventory, represent the full spectrum of prehistoric and historic life in Utah.

Archaic campsites, belonging to groups of hunters and gatherers, are quite frequent on the Forest and begin the prehistoric chronicle of the Fishlake National Forest around 6500 B.C. The manifestations of later groups, including the horticultural Fremont (A.D. 750 - 1250), the nomadic Numic groups (A.D. 1150 - Historic Period) and the Mormon Pioneers (post-1850 A.D.), are also present on the Forest. Some properties, such as the Fremont village called Nawthis near Gooseberry Creek, promise to revolutionize both our thinking and textbooks of Utah prehistory.

Nawthis Village is typical of Fremont habitations because of 1) size, 2) diversity and 3) architectural anomalies. Nawthis may contain over 100 structures. Unlike the Five Fingers Ridge Village in Clear Creek Canyon, there is much diversity of architectural styles. This diversity is marked and includes an array of structures that are round, square, above-ground, lined, semi-subterranean, deep and shallow. In addition, the Heartbreak Hotel complex at Nawthis has revolutionized our definitions of Fremont Culture because we find people living in above-ground, adobe-walled surface structures joined like Pueblo I (A.D. 700-900) and II (A.D. 900-1100) structures of the

Western Anasazi Tradition found further south in the Four Corners area. Prior to the excavation of the Heartbreak complex, archeologists believed that the primary domestic or household unit among the Fremont was the pithouse.

Nawthis is also unusual in that 35 radiocarbon dates suggest that the village was occupied by a large group of people during the same time period (A.D. 900-1100). The superimposition of structures at the village is also rare which supports the assumption that the village is large and populated vs. an accretion of many structures built by a number of people over time.

Associated with the floods and wetter climate of the 1983 winter and spring, a large 15 acre livestock pasture just east of the village slumped when soils liquefied and flowed downstream. The crater, which measured as much as 15 feet in depth, exposed what have been interpreted as irrigation canals radiocarbon dated to the occupation of the village. This is the first example of Fremont irrigation discovered by scientists.

In terms of management, we should not attempt to trade this isolated parcel unless it goes to an agency like Utah State Parks which will protect the site. We should make a point of periodically monitoring on-going pothunting at the site.

2. Wilderness

No areas within the Forest have been designated as wilderness by Congress in the Utah Wilderness Act of 1984 (PL98-428). Two roadless and undeveloped studies have been undertaken during the 20 years since the 1964 Wilderness Act. These studies and acreage are listed as follows:

ROADLESS AREA REVIEW & EVALUATION (RARE) STUDIES

<u>STUDY</u>	<u>No. of AREAS</u>	<u>ACRES</u>	<u>REMARKS</u>
RARE I	24	447,860	Three "new study" areas selected totaling 86,840 gross acres.
RARE II	25	603,764	Fishlake High Top, 18,810 acres recommended for designation.

Prior to the Utah Wilderness Act of 1984, the Forest planning process had developed an inventory of lands meeting the minimum definition of wilderness, and qualified for wilderness evaluation per NFMA Regulation 219.17. The inventory contained 36 roadless areas, totalling 735,320 acres Forest-wide. This inventory and description of each area is filed with the Forest's planning records.

The Utah Wilderness Act of 1984 designated 749,500 acres state-wide as wilderness. It is estimated that these areas, in addition to the

areas that existed prior to the Act, will meet the anticipated demand for wilderness during the first planning period. At the end of this period, and during the Forest plan revision, the need for additional wilderness will be evaluated.

Management direction in this plan is in conformance with the Utah Wilderness Act.

3. Wildlife and Fish

The Fishlake is one of the most important wildlife and fisheries Forests in the state of Utah. Hunter use of all key game species is very high, while the percentage of statewide habitat is among the highest for all species except mountain goat and moose. In addition, some of the highest proportions of the statewide populations of mule deer, mountain lion, and bear inhabit this Forest. The Fishlake mule deer population is one of the largest in the national Forest system.

Four threatened or endangered species, the peregrine falcon (endangered), the bald eagle (endangered), the Utah prairie dog (threatened), and the Rydberg milkvetch (threatened), live in or utilize this Forest. In addition, two mammal, five birds, one fish, two reptile, and nine plant species are classified as "sensitive" by the Regional Forester.

An estimated 306 species of wildlife and fish inhabit the Fishlake National Forest. These are predominantly birds (177 species) and mammals (83 species). In addition there are 30 species of reptiles and amphibians, 16 species of fish, 8 of upland gamebirds, 5 big game, and 2 small game species. Approximately 120 species make primary use of riparian habitat. Forty species use old-growth forest types as primary habitat.

a. Management Indicator Species (MIS)

National Forest Management Act Regulations direct the National Forests to identify Management Indicator Species (MIS). FSM 2621.1 states:

"...Wildlife, Fish, and Plant Species (or groups of species) shall be selected to assure the maintenance of viable populations of existing native and desired non-native plants and animals; to facilitate the attainment of RPA habitat capability goals; and to represent area specific issues, concerns, and opportunities."

Two categories of MIS have been established for this Forest Plan, one for ecological indicators and another to represent species of high interest. Ecological indicator species, or guilds of species, were selected using the following criteria:

1. A strong (but not exclusive) affinity for a vegetative type.
2. A life cycle which is keyed to a vegetative type.
3. Sensitivity to habitat change.
4. Relative ease of monitoring, i.e., easily recognized and adequate numbers.

5. Somewhat representative of other species which use the same vegetation type.

Ecological indicator species and their obligate vegetative types or special habitat needs are listed in Table II-8A.

TABLE II-8A
ECOLOGICAL INDICATOR MIS
(FISHLAKE NATIONAL FOREST)

SPECIES	VEGETATION TYPE OR HABITAT NEED	ESTIMATED POPULATION
1. Goshawk	Mature (old growth) conifer	Unknown
2. Cavity Nesters*	Snags (standing dead trees)	Unknown
3. Riparian Dependent Guild**	Riparian communities	Unknown
4. Sage Nesters	Mature sagebrush (varies as different sage species vary)	Unknown
5. Macroinvertebrates	Streams (water quality)	N/A
6. Resident trout***	Streams, lakes and reservoirs	Unknown

* Includes primary & secondary species (to be monitored on a case by case basis).

** This guild includes the species dependent upon the various niches of vegetation communities found in riparian zones, ie., tall deciduous trees, willows, riparian shrubs, riparian grasses.

*** Includes brown, brook, cutthroat, rainbow and lake trout (to be monitored on a case by case basis).

Species which are categorized as high interest MIS are listed in Table II-8B. They were selected because of their threatened, endangered or sensitive status, social or economic importance, or high public interest.

TABLE II-8B
HIGH INTEREST MIS
(FISHLAKE NATIONAL FOREST)

SPECIES	VEGETATION TYPE OR HABITAT NEED	ESTIMATED POPULATION
1. Elk	General and winter range	2,000*
2. Mule deer	General and winter range	25,000*
3. Bonneville cutthroat trout	Cool, clear water with high oxygen content	5,500
4. Rydberg's milkvetch	Harsh sites at upper elevations	4,000

* Population based on the animals currently occupying the winter range found on the Forest.

Other species which were considered as MIS, but which were not selected because planned management activities would not significantly impact them are listed in Table II-9.

TABLE II-9
SPECIES CONSIDERED FOR MIS
BUT NOT USED

SPECIES	REASON FOR CONSIDERATION	VIABLE POPULATION	ESTIMATED POPULATION	TREND
1. Am. bald eagle	Endangered species	Yes	Migratory (unknown)	Up
2. Peregrine falcon	Endangered species	Yes	Migratory (unknown)	Static
3. Utah prairie dog	Endangered species	Yes	Transplanting stage	Up
4. Sage grouse	Economically important, hunted	Yes	Unknown	Static
5. Northern flying squirrel	Sensitive	Yes	Unknown	Unknown
6. Mtn. bluebird	Sensitive	Yes	Unknown	Unknown
7. Turkey	Economically important, hunted	Unknown	Transplanting Stage	Unknown
8. Cottontail rabbit	Economically important, hunted	Yes	Unknown	Up
9. Snowshoe hare	Economically important, hunted	Yes	Unknown	Up
10. White-tailed jack-rabbit	Ecological indicator, declining	Unknown	Unknown	Down
11. Forest grouse (ruffed and blue)	Hunted	Yes	Unknown	Static
12. Merlin	Sensitive	Yes	Unknown	Unknown
13. Osprey	Locally rare, high interest	Unknown	1-2 pairs	Static

It is believed that the species in Table II-9 and the rest of the species on the Forest will be well represented by the species listed in these two categories of MIS. In category two, elk, a species which has a high public interest, is wide-ranging throughout the Forest, and has wide ranging habitat needs, will represent many species of wildlife. As the Forest manages for elk habitat needs, it will adequately provide for both horizontal and vertical diversity of vegetation. In so doing other species will also be taken care of, because their requirements are usually found within the various niches of good elk habitat. When good quality elk calving grounds are provided, species which utilize the pole or sapling sized aspen or conifer and the ecotone between mountain brush and trees are also taken care of.

The same concepts apply with other wide-ranging species, such as deer, resident trout, and the riparian guild. The latter two can be used to represent aquatic and semi-aquatic species. When riparian habitats are improved the waterside vegetation diversity will provide niches for species found there. When water quality is managed to maintain a high biotic condition index for macroinvertebrates, other aquatic species will benefit.

Special habitat needs which can't be met by the above concept have been provided for by the use of MIS for special habitats, such as cavity nesters, riparian guild, sage nesters, and old growth conifer dependent species.

Several species -- bighorn sheep, otter, grizzly bear, wolves, marten, mink, and lynx -- once existed on the Forest but do not at the present time. Existing population levels of management indicator species are below their habitat capabilities. Maximum potential levels of terrestrial indicator species populations can be obtained with management techniques which will change vegetative ecological succession. Exceptions would be species dependent upon old growth sagebrush and timber. Reduction of conifer and pinyon-juniper invasion, modification of existing timber and pinyon-juniper stands, improvement of riparian zone vegetation, and rejuvenation of aspen and mountain brush will improve conditions for MIS. Trends of significant vegetative types as they relate to specific habitats associated with MIS are shown in Table II-10. Estimated population trends are also depicted. Aquatic MIS will not reach maximum potential populations with proposed management; however, population levels are expected to increase.

TABLE II-10

COMMUNITY TYPES AND THEIR CURRENT TRENDS*
POPULATION TREND OF MANAGEMENT INDICATOR SPECIES**

MANAGEMENT INDICATOR SPECIES	ASPEN	CONIFER	MEADOW	SAGE- BRUSH	MOUNTAIN BRUSH	PINYON- JUNIPER	RIPARIAN	AQUATIC	POPULA- TION TREND	SELECTION*** CRITERIA
Mule Deer	-	+	-	X	X	+	-		+	2 & 4
Elk	-	+	-	X	X	+			+	2 & 4
Rydberg's Milkvetch	-	+					-		X	1 & 3
Bonneville Cutthroat Trout								X	+	1,2 & 3
Resident Trout								-	X	2,3,& 4
Macroinverte- brates								X	X	3 & 4
Sage Nesters				-					-	3 & 4 sage nester
Cavity Nesters	-	-			-	-	-		-	3 & 4 snags
Riparian Guild							-		-	3 & 4
Goshawk		-							X	3 & 4-old growth conifer

* Habitat trends for species: - = Decreasing; x = Static; + = Increasing; "Blank" = Non-applicable.

** Population trends for species: - = Decreasing; X = Static; + = Increasing; "Blank" = Non-applicable.

*** 1 - Species on State and Federal Lists classified as Threatened, Endangered.

2 - Species commonly hunted, fished or trapped.

3 - Species with special habitat needs.

4 - Species whose population changes are believed to indicate effects of management of other species.

b. Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Animal Species

Certain wildlife and fish species that inhabit or utilize the Forest have been classified as sensitive (s), threatened (t), or endangered (e), by Federal agencies as follows:

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (U.S.F.W.S.): bald eagle (e), peregrine falcon (e), Utah prairie dog (t).

U.S.D.A. Forest Service (R-4): northern flying squirrel (s), merlin (s) mountain bluebird (s), western bluebird (s), Bonneville cutthroat trout (s), and Utah mountain kingsnake (s).

The bald eagle is a winter migrant utilizing the Forest as hunting and feeding grounds, usually near water sources. No roost areas have yet been identified on the Forest.

The peregrine falcon has historically nested on the Forest, primarily in the vicinity of cliffs adjacent to Bicknell bottoms in Wayne County. If the efforts of the Division of Wildlife Resources and the Peregrine Fund identify this Forest as a potential reestablishment site of this species, the Forest will cooperate in providing the habitat. At the present time no falcons are believed to be nesting on the Forest and are only occasionally seen during migration.

The Utah prairie dog has been reestablished on two sites on the Forest. These relocations are part of an effort to establish viable populations in accordance with the recovery plan for this species. Recent efforts have resulted in a downlisting of the species from endangered to threatened. The Forest will continue to cooperate in providing, and enhancing, habitat for this species.

The status of the merlin on the Forest is poorly understood. However, it is currently believed to be only a rare visitor to appropriate Forest habitats.

The mountain and western bluebirds are present throughout the Forest in various habitats. The Forest has recently cooperated with the U.S.F.W.S. in a bird house placement study to determine use by bluebirds in a coal study area. Population data are unavailable, but these two species appear to be well established as breeding species on the Forest.

The Bonneville cutthroat trout is listed as a sensitive species. This species inhabits four small streams on the Forest where it appears to be doing well. There are future plans to reintroduce the cutthroat into other streams on the Forest.

The Utah mountain king snake has been observed on the Forest. Population data are unavailable, but it is believed to be a well established breeding population.

c. Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Plant Species

There are 11 species of sensitive plants and two threatened species (Astragalus perianus and Townsendia aprica) on the Forest. The sensitive species are: Astragalus consobrinus, Castilleja parvula, Draba sobolifera, Epilobium nevadense, Eriogonum ostlundii, Najas caespitosus, Penstemon parvus, and Penstemon wardii. In addition, several other sensitive species occur on lands adjacent to the Forest.

Habitat for threatened and sensitive species may occur within grazing allotments. When this happens, allotment management plans will recognize and provide for the protection of these species. Sites for the threatened species have been located and mapped. They occur on small areas on the Tushar and Monroe Mountains.

d. Other Species of Special Interest

Species which are not classed in any of the above categories, but which are of special interest because of special management needs or their potential for controversy, include: mountain lion, bear, beaver, coyote, bobcat, fox, and muskrat.

These species can be classed as furbearer, predator, habitat manipulator, or sport trophy dependent upon individual viewpoints of the people involved with them. However, they are considered to be an important part of the Forest ecosystem and are to be managed as such.

e. Aquatic Habitat

Sixty-six streams, representing over 380 miles of aquatic habitat, and 49 lakes and reservoirs, providing more than 4200 acres of aquatic habitat, are known to support resident trout populations on the Fishlake National Forest. Although this habitat includes a Class I lake fishery (the highest valued waters in the state) and a Class II reservoir fishery, the majority of aquatic habitats on the Forest are producing trout at less than their potential.

The average stream rated on the Forest has a habitat condition rating of less than 50 percent of optimum based on poor pool quality, lack of streamside vegetation, and high levels of silt.

Nearly half of the lakes on the Forest, representing 80 percent of the total lake surface area, are thought to be producing trout below their potential as the result of frequent winter kills, fluctuating water levels, or competition from nongame fish.

Fishing use on the Forest has increased an estimated 23 percent in the past ten years. Overall dispersed recreation use on the Forest, which includes fishing, is expected to increase at least 30 percent from 1980 to 1990 and 130 percent from 1980 to 2030. During this same time period, under current management, fishing opportunities on the Forest will remain constant or may decrease due to new and continuing impacts from road construction, energy development, timber harvest, and live-stock grazing.

Trout production and fishing opportunities in Forest lakes and streams could be increased significantly by improving aquatic habitat conditions. Many opportunities exist to improve stream and lake fisheries through better resource coordination and management as well as direct habitat improvement.

f. State Agency Objectives

Joint objectives of the Forest Service and Utah Division of Wildlife Resources for big game on the Fishlake Forest are 82,600 deer and 3,400 elk. These objectives are for the summer range which is entirely within the proclaimed Forest boundary. Since only 90 percent of the elk winter range and 29 percent of the deer winter range is on the Forest, the Forest's winter range habitat objectives are 3,060 elk and 23,954 deer. Implementation of this plan should provide habitat for these numbers of deer and elk. Other short term objectives include the reestablishment or establishment in available habitat of selected species such as bighorn sheep, turkey, upland game species, and the expansion of Bonneville cutthroat trout populations. Long term objectives include reestablishment of pine marten and possibly moose.

Other objectives include providing a harvestable surplus of furbearers and providing relief from depredating wildlife commensurate with management of the species for viable populations and as components of the ecosystem.

TABLE II-11
UTAH DIVISION OF WILDLIFE RESOURCES OBJECTIVES
FOR MULE DEER BUCK HARVEST BY HERD UNITS
(BASED ON STATED OBJECTIVES FOR HARVEST + OR - 15%)

<u>HERD UNIT</u>	<u>OBJECTIVE*</u>	<u>5 YEAR AVERAGE (1980-1984)</u>
Salina (43)	2,250	2,128
Fishlake (44)	600	560
Last Chance (45)	300	228
1000 Lake (46)	300	237
Monroe Mountain (48)	1,500	1,634
Marysvale (49)	600	363
Oak Creek (53)	750	522
Fillmore (54)	1,100	900
Kanosh (55)	1,900	1,443
Beaver (56)	<u>1,600</u>	<u>1,554</u>
Fishlake National Forest	10,900	9,569

* Objective applies to total area of herd unit including BLM and private lands.

TABLE II-12
UTAH DIVISION OF WILDLIFE RESOURCES
OBJECTIVES FOR ELK (WAPITI)

<u>HERD UNIT</u>	<u>OBJECTIVE*</u>	<u>ESTIMATED POPULATION</u>	<u>AVG. HARVEST**</u>
Fishlake (14)	-	1,500	298
Monroe Mountain (26)	-	200	8
Beaver Mountain (24)	-	150	20
Pahvant (28)	-	150	8
Total for Fishlake NF 3,400		2,000	334

* Based on 1979 R-4 objective for entire Fishlake National Forest.


** Includes bulls and cows for last 5 years or less, dependent upon how many years the unit has been hunted.

g. Wildlife Demand

This proposed Forest plan will meet the demand for big game animals as expressed in the DWR objectives for deer and elk. These objectives are for 3,400 elk and 82,600 deer summering on the Forest. It will also meet the habitat requirements of the Utah prairie dog recovery plan. There are no similar objectives for fish or other terrestrial wildlife.

While this plan will produce DWR's big game objectives, it may not produce desired harvest levels if population grows at a high level scenario. Figure II-6 shows the projected 290 percent growth in demand for a high growth scenario compared to the 6 to 17 percent growth provided by the Forest plan. These projections are based on the current success ratio. If demand increases as shown in figure II-6 the success ratio could fall below a minimally acceptable level for the public.

FIGURE II-6



PLAN And DEMAND COMPARISON

h. Habitat Improvement

The Forest's program of habitat improvement for the last two years has been directed toward big game winter range improvement.

Habitat improvement for the last two years is as follows:

<u>Improvement</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Numbers</u>
Vegetation Manipulation (chaining, burning & cutting)	4,243	
Lake Habitat Structures		4
Stream Protection Fencing		1.5 miles
Stream Habitat Structures		88
Water Developments		2
Water Source Modifications (egress/exit ramps for water access)		16
Nest Boxes		70

Other efforts have been directed toward planting willows. Plan implementation will shift the emphasis of habitat improvement from projects that benefit big game winter range improvement to increased emphasis on fisheries, other game and non-game species habitat improvement, while maintaining the progress made in big game habitat management.

4. RANGE

Fishlake National Forest lands provide important forage for grazing animals. In 1980, over 1.3 million Forest acres were included in grazing allotments. Currently, approximately 639,856 acres are suitable for livestock grazing. Suitable grazing acres vary, depending on the class of livestock being grazed.

The Forest manages 76 range allotments; 59 are under some form of intensive management. Approximate permitted animal unit months used on the Forest by cattle and sheep since 1943 are shown in Table II-13.

TABLE II-13
APPROXIMATE PERMITTED ANIMAL UNIT MONTHS
ON FISHLAKE NATIONAL FOREST

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>SHEEP</u>	<u>CATTLE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
1943	75,616	148,572	224,188
1944	74,142	145,697	219,839
1947	48,787	126,808	175,595
1948	46,353	120,699	167,052
1949	42,366	114,244	156,610
1950	41,096	113,756	154,852
1951	40,029	115,797	155,826
1952	40,877	116,407	157,284
1964	35,530	116,023	151,553
1965	34,682	115,458	150,140
1966	35,962	112,724	148,686
1968	35,420	116,415	151,835
1969	35,247	119,321	154,568
1970	32,917	111,764	144,681
1971	33,387	112,499	145,886
1972	32,640	113,154	145,794
1973	29,504	110,365	139,869
1977	24,089	127,604	151,693
1978	22,208	120,243	142,451
1979	19,248	118,052	137,300
1980	20,769	121,618	142,387
1981	19,440	121,064	140,504
1982	19,517	118,294	137,811
1983	18,792	120,597	139,389
1984	18,811	118,089	136,900

Demand for grazing exceeds available capacity. This trend will continue as more grazing land is converted to other uses and as long as the cost of grazing on the Forest does not increase to a point that it is no longer economical for the rancher.

Current management does not attain maximum production potential due to the need to provide for multiple resource management for soil, water, wildlife, riparian habitats, recreation, timber, etc. The maximum level of production (163,600 AUM's) would require substantial funding and changes in the management of other resources. Without such funding and with multiple resource considerations given for this plan, output for the year 2030 will be 131,000 AUM's.

Under current management direction, grazing numbers would decline slightly. Current management direction provides for attaining favorable forage production with stable or upward trends.

Implementation of this plan will result in about a four percent decrease in the second decade in the numbers of permitted livestock

from current numbers. From 1978 to 1982 actual use averaged 132,600 AUM's and varied from 130,000 to 135,000 AUM's. The reduction in grazing numbers is due to three factors:

First, some grazing areas have low productivity, high livestock numbers, poor conditions, or downward trends. In order to meet the Forest's goal of providing favorable forage production with stable or upward trend, these acres need to be evaluated, and measures must be taken to stabilize trends and improve conditions.

Second, many revegetation projects need to be maintained or their benefits will be lost. Current grazing capacities were based on outputs during the most productive periods for those projects. They need adjustment to reflect current production levels. Structural improvements are also in need of maintenance or rebuilding; many are currently non-functional.

Third, a trend of conversion from sheep to cattle operations has resulted in fewer suitable grazing acres and a need for more intensive management. With fewer acres being suitable for grazing, utilization of harvestable forage has declined.

The range grazing use (livestock) objectives established by the Region are as follows:

Year	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986- 1990	1991- 2000	2001- 2010	2011- 2020	2021- 2030
MAUM's (Avg.)										
Annual	147	150	153	156	158	160	163	165	167	169

Current outputs are below the Regionally assigned output levels. These targets can not be achieved under the plan.

Grazing management is shared between the Forest Service and the grazing permittees. The Forest issues grazing permits that specify the type and number of livestock and the season of use. Allotment management plans outline the use and development of each allotment on a long-term basis. Operating plans outline annual direction. Allotments are inspected by the Forest Service for use, condition, and compliance with grazing permits, the allotment management plan, and the annual operation plan. The permittee is responsible for herding, salting, and doctoring his livestock and for maintaining improvements on his allotment.

On some allotments timing of use is critical. Since there is a limited amount of big game winter range which often is used by livestock during the spring grazing period, the amount of time that livestock can spend on these areas is restricted. On some allotments, livestock management will be changed to insure that range readiness has been achieved and to protect big game winter range.

Because riparian area management has become a major concern in recent years, management practices are being implemented which will correct

many past abuses. This includes some adjustment in livestock numbers, fencing some spring areas, and using different grazing systems. In addition, better salting procedures and proper placement of key structural improvements will improve riparian area management. This will result in improved water quality onsite and downstream.

There are 11 species of sensitive plants and two threatened species on the Forest. In addition several other sensitive species occur on land adjacent to the Forest. Habitat for these species may occur within allotments. Where this happens, allotment management plans will recognize and provide for the protection of these species. Sites for the threatened species have been located and mapped.

The Forest cooperates with permittees and Animal Damage Control, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA in controlling predators to reduce losses of livestock. The Forest Service makes recommendations to Animal Damage Control for each grazing allotment as to the need for control, methods to be used, and special precautions needed. The current program of control has consisted primarily of shooting coyotes from a helicopter in the winter. Some trapping and calling is also practiced. Control efforts are directed toward allotments where need is demonstrated.

Wild and free-roaming horses and burros do not exist on the Fishlake.

Noxious weed control is directed mostly at Scotch, musk, and Canada thistle infestations. These occur on the Fillmore, Beaver and Richfield Districts. White top and toad flax are also of concern, together with some poisonous plants that occur on all Districts. Past control efforts have helped prevent spread of these plants. Cooperation with county weed control agencies has been beneficial in past and current control efforts. Several hundred acres are being treated yearly.

Grasshopper and cricket infestations are cyclic on the Forest together with black bug infestations on many introduced range grasses. These insects take a major toll on forage in areas of concentration. The total quantity of forage available for livestock and wildlife is greatly reduced together with a reduction in quality. Leafy materials are stripped, leaving the coarser stems.

The value of coordination on allotment management has been demonstrated on the Oak Creek Cooperative Management Area. The area encompasses 316,500 acres about 15 miles north of Fillmore. It includes 117,200 acres managed by the Forest Service, 109,850 acres of private lands, 59,800 acres administered by the BLM, and 29,750 acres of State land. Cooperative management has allowed work to be performed regardless of land ownership. Examples are: chaining and spraying projects covering several land ownerships; pipelines supplying water to National Forest, BLM, and private land from single spring sources; and fences placed in more manageable locations, rather than following ownership boundaries.

5. TIMBER

a. Land Suitability

Some 386,635 acres have been classified as tentatively suitable forest land on the Fishlake National Forest. This acreage was determined in accord with regulations in 36 CFR 219.14. Suitability criteria are discussed in Appendix B (page B-1).

b. Existing Situation

Approximately 770 thousand acres of the Fishlake's 1.4 million acres, or 55 percent, are forested. Of these forested acres, about 50 percent are tentatively suitable for timber production. The Forest is selling between 2.5 and 3.0 MMBF (million board feet) annually. Due to the recent depressed lumber market, annual harvest has dropped from just over 2 million to slightly under a million board feet.

Current harvesting is on average slopes under 40 percent. Tractor logging is the only skidding method in use, but recently purchasers have expressed interest in cable logging steeper slopes.

Cutting practices have changed considerably over the years. In the early seventies spruce sales with extensive clearcutting were sold. Since 1977, the use of clearcutting has been reduced, with large spruce clearcuts no longer prescribed. Group selection, shelterwood, and small clearcuts are presently being prescribed in spruce.

Localized infestations of mountain pine beetle in ponderosa pine and Engelmann spruce beetle have inflicted light losses for several years. A moderate infestation of spruce budworm is present primarily in Douglas fir on the Beaver District. Dwarf mistletoe infects much of the Douglas fir and ponderosa pine. Rots are common in old growth spruce and aspen.

c. Supply and Opportunity

The maximum long term sustained yield is 16.3 MMBF, consisting primarily of conifer species. This plan allows an annual harvest of 3.0 MMBF in the first decade and 8.3 MMBF during the balance of the planning period. The forest lacks a major market for aspen. Nearly 236 thousand acres of aspen may be managed for timber with development of a market. This could lead to intensive management of the aspen resource. Another potential intensive management opportunity is the use of genetically improved planting stock.

The Fishlake National Forest Firewood Management Action Plan estimates the fuelwood supply to be 1,076,680 cords, as follows:

<u>TYPE</u>	<u>TOTAL CORDS</u>
Dead	968,060
Activity Fuels	43,730
Annual Mortality	53,590
Livewood Available	<u>6,300</u>
Total	1,076,680

Average annual allowable sale quantity and timber sale program quantity for the first decade is shown in table II-14 .

TABLE II-14
ALLOWABLE SALE QUANTITY AND TIMBER SALE PROGRAM QUANTITY 1/
(Annual Average For First Decade)

<u>Harvest Method</u>	<u>Allowable Sale Quantity 2/</u>	
	<u>Sawtimber</u> (MM CF)	<u>Other Products</u> (MM CF)
Regeneration Harvest:		
Clearcut	<u>.37</u>	<u>---</u>
Shelterwood and Seed Tree		
-Preparatory cut	<u>.22</u>	<u>---</u>
-Seed cut	<u>---</u>	<u>---</u>
-Removal cut	<u>---</u>	<u>---</u>
Selection	<u>---</u>	<u>---</u>
Intermediate Harvest:		
Commercial Thinning	<u>---</u>	<u>---</u>
Salvage Sanitation	<u>.01</u>	<u>---</u>
<u>Totals</u>	<u>.60</u>	<u>---</u>
	<u>Additional Sales 3/</u>	
	<u>Sawtimber</u> (MM CF)	<u>Other Products</u> (MM CF)
Total for all harvest methods	<u>---</u>	<u>2.4</u>
Allowable sale quantity <u>0.6</u> (MMCF)	<u>3.0</u> (MMBF) 4/	
Timber sale program quantity 5/ <u>0.6</u> (MMCF)	<u>3.0</u> (MMBF) 4/	

-
- 1/ To be expressed to nearest .1 MM board and cubic feet.
 - 2/ Includes only chargeable volumes from suitable lands.
 - 3/ Includes only nonchargeable volumes from suitable and/or unsuitable lands.
 - 4/ Based on local unit of measure.
 - 5/ Total of allowable sale quantity and additional sales.

The estimated maximum amount of firewood that can be supplied on a sustained basis, once the dead accumulation is gone, is 108,620 cords. Based on these estimates, it appears that a continuous supply of firewood will be available for both personal and commercial users. Firewood near existing roadways has become scarce in some areas and this trend will continue.

Christmas tree harvest over the last decade averages about 6,000 trees annually. In the last three years annual Christmas tree sales have totalled nearly 10,000 trees.

Opportunity exists in a number of areas (particularly in isolated white fir stands) for management of Christmas trees. For several years the Fishlake has been a leading Forest in the Intermountain Region in Christmas tree sales and in dollar value received from these sales.

d. Demand

Average annual production of timber over the last 29 years is 1.7 MMBF. Within this period there have been large fluctuations in annual harvest, ranging from a high of 6.6 MMBF in 1973 to a low of 120 MBF in 1967. Demand for timber is expected to slowly increase throughout the planning period (Fishlake AMS page 55).

As a result of the recent energy concern and high energy costs, firewood consumption has increased considerably. The following table shows a continual increase in personal use firewood from 1977 thru 1982. In 1983 use leveled off, partially due to easing of the energy situation and users becoming aware that gathering their own fuelwood was not as inexpensive and recreational as they thought.

=====

FREE USE PERMITS FOR FIREWOOD

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>VOLUME (MBF)</u>
1977	3,581
1978	3,579
1979	7,098
1980	5,476
1981	10,110
1982	11,140
1983	5,856*

* Charge firewood program in effect half the year produced 2,804 MBF for a total firewood harvest of 8,660 MBF in 1983. Based on this history, the demand for firewood is estimated at 17,000 cords annually (8,500 MBF per year).

=====

All of the quality Christmas trees the Forest has offered for sale have been purchased. Therefore, the demand for Christmas trees exceeds 10,000 trees, but the exact amount is unknown.

e. Present and Future Condition

Growing stock inventory, annual net growth, and age class distribution for suitable softwood lands are shown in table II-15. The productivity classification in table II-16 contains a potential growth estimate of all suitable and unsuitable lands.

TABLE II-15
PRESENT AND FUTURE FOREST CONDITION
(SOFTWOOD *)

	<u>UNIT</u>	<u>SUITABLE LAND</u>
<u>PRESENT FOREST:</u>		
Growing stock inventory	MMCF	114.0
	MMBF	570.0
Annual Net Growth	MMCF	.7
(Mortality loss included)	MMBF	3.5
<u>FUTURE FOREST (2035):</u>		
Growing stock inventory	MMCF	58.3
Annual Net Growth	MMCF	2.4
(Mortality loss included)		

AGE CLASS DISTRIBUTION

<u>AGE CLASS (YEARS)</u>	<u>PRESENT FOREST (1985)</u>	<u>FUTURE FOREST (2035)</u>
10	1670	18166
20	--	10004
30	--	11462
40	--	3796
50	--	1670
60	128	--
70	--	--
80	--	--
90	6020	--
100	--	128
110 - 140	37796	6020
150 +	<u>22358</u>	<u>16726</u>
TOTAL	67972	67972

* Inadequate information available to determine for hardwood.

TABLE II-16
TIMBER PRODUCTIVITY CLASSIFICATION

Potential Growth (cubic ft/ac/yr)	Suitable Lands (acres)	Unsuitable Lands * (acres)
Less than 20	0	490,134
20-49	0	146,701
50-84	69,697	60,972
85-119	10,275	0
120-164	0	0
165-224	0	0
225 +	0	0
TOTAL	79,972	697,807

* Extrapolated

f. Rotation Ages

Rotation ages to be applied vary by timber class and silvicultural methods:

(1) Hardwood (aspen)	80 years
(2) Softwood	
Clearcut (artificial regeneration)	110 years
Clearcut (natural regeneration)	150 years
Two Step Shelterwood	120 years
Three Step Shelterwood	130 years

6. WATER

a. Water Yield

Forest land produces an average of 611,000 acre feet of water annually. Of this, about 80 percent is delivered to the Great Basin and 20 percent to the Colorado River Basin.

Demand for water in the Sevier and Colorado Rivers already exceeds supply. As population increases and development continues, patterns of water use will change. The potential of the Forest to increase water yield by feasible means is limited. Since the Fishlake has only scattered timber resources and much of its aspen type is on potentially unstable soil, the prospect of increasing water yield by vegetative manipulation (timber harvest) is very poor. In vegetative

types at lower elevations than the conifer and aspen belt, vegetative manipulation lacks the potential to produce increased yield, as little moisture is available in excess of evapo-transpiration demand for these precipitation zones.

The maximum amount of water that can be produced would result from the complete removal of timber including both conifer and aspen on slopes less than 40 percent. Available water would increase from 611,000 acre feet per year in 1980 to 636,000 acre feet per year in 2030 for a total potential increase of 24,400 acre feet. This assumes the timber vegetation would be kept from re-establishing and brush species would be kept from invading. A complete timber removal program is not feasible. Therefore the actual production potential is much lower. By implementing this plan, water yield might increase by 177 acre feet per year over natural through timber harvest. State and private lands within the National Forest boundary supply about 57,300 acre feet of water annually. Per unit area yields are comparable to the yields produced from National Forest lands as the private and state lands are located randomly within the Forest, except for the interior exclusion in the Salina Creek drainage.

b. Water Uses

Major uses of water produced on the Forest are irrigation, livestock watering, domestic use, timber production, suitable flows for fisheries, maintenance of riparian habitats, fire control, wildlife, recreation, and energy production. All water originating on the Forest is in high demand. It is used on the Forest, as well as downstream by non-Forest users. Eighteen local communities get all or part of their municipal water from within the Forest boundary. Four other communities have water sources adjacent to the Forest boundary.

The reported consumption of water for domestic use in 1980 was 8,485 acre feet. By the year 2000 that volume is expected to increase to 17,570 acre feet per year. Proportional increases are expected from 2000 to 2030. An additional unmeasured volume of water is used at campgrounds, recreation residences, resorts, and administrative sites on the Forest. The demands for water for these uses are expected to be proportional to domestic use. Wildlife on the Forest consumes additional water. Livestock grazing on the Forest requires 285 acre feet of water per year. This quantity is not expected to change appreciably.

The newly constructed Intermountain Power Project will use 44,700 acre feet of water per year. The demands for other industrial uses are expected to increase. These demands do not include instream flow needs.

No waters on the Forest have been classified as "Outstanding Natural Resources."

c. Water Quality

The nature of National Forest management makes it more susceptible to non-point sources of water pollution than to point sources. Grazing, timber harvest, and dispersed recreation all have the potential to contribute sediments and other pollutants to streams. Presently, the only known point source on the Forest is the SUFCo coal mine in Convulsion Canyon.

Monitoring has shown that water quality on the Forest is generally high. Water leaving the Forest meets State standards of quality for designated uses. Some water bodies within the Forest boundaries do not meet state standards for cold water fisheries, due to natural factors and management impacts. Sediment is probably the most common pollutant on the Forest. There is no state standard for this parameter.

With implementation of this Plan, soil loss will decrease as the long term goals of management activities are met. This will improve water quality and watershed condition.

d. Water Rights

Until the Membres River Decision, the Forest claimed use of needed water through the reservation doctrine, and very few water rights were established through State procedures. Since that decision, national direction has been to obtain water rights through established State procedures. Currently the Forest is participating in State water adjudications on the Beaver and Eastern Colorado River basins.

Approximately 2,500 water uses have been identified on the Forest. A Forest goal is to obtain valid rights to all water used. Statements of Water-User's Claims to Diligence Rights are being prepared on all uses where this procedure is valid, and they are being submitted to the State Engineer. Where Diligence Rights are not applicable, water rights will be acquired by purchase or appropriation.

e. Instream Flows

The Forest Service Manual directs Forests to determine and obtain instream minimum flows in accordance with the reservation doctrine, where applicable. Where reservation is not applicable, water rights will be obtained in accordance with State law. Where neither the reservation principle nor State law can be used to secure a legal right to maintain instream flows, quantification of needed flows will be made as a basis for management decisions in future proposals for water diversions.

f. Wetlands and Floodplains

There are approximately 34,600 acres of riparian areas on the Fishlake National Forest. These lands were identified by interpretation of color and infrared aerial photography and transferred to 7 1/2 minute

quadrangle maps. Riparian areas and wetlands are important components of the landscape, both because of their sensitive nature, which is recognized in Executive Order 11990, and because of the wide variety of uses occurring on them. The need to manage these areas wisely will increase as populations of surrounding valleys increase, accelerating demands for water, recreation, and wildlife.

The condition of riparian areas on the Forest ranges from very poor to good. Causes of this variation are the location and use of individual areas.

The following table shows the disaggregation of the acreage of riparian areas:

RIPARIAN ACREAGES

<u>RIPARIAN AREAS</u>	<u>ACRES</u>
1. Wetlands	6,500
2. Aquatic Zones	4,400
3. Stream courses	
a. Conifer	7,300
b. Deciduous	11,600
c. Open	<u>4,800</u>
TOTAL	34,600

Executive Order 11988 defines floodplains as those areas inundated by 100-year floods. They occur along each drainage of the Forest and include bottomlands and alluvial fans at the mouths of canyons. Most of the Forest's floodplains have not been mapped. In general, they coincide with riparian areas, which have been mapped. Riparian areas will often be larger than floodplains, since the former extend 100 feet horizontally from either bank of a stream or body of water. In narrow canyons or along first order streams, 100-year floods will not extend this far.

g. Floods of 1983 and 1984

During the spring runoff periods of 1983 and 1984 the Forest sustained considerable flood and landslide damage. The water content of the snowpack in the spring of 1983 was about 500 percent of normal. That of 1984 was about 300 percent of normal. Further compounding the problem in 1983 was a cold spring season that delayed any gradual melting before hot weather arrived at the end of May. This resulted in floods on the main streams leaving the Forest which have an estimated 25-50 year recurrence interval. Not only the magnitude but also the two to six week duration of these floods caused considerable damage. Water levels in 1984 were not as high, but the removal of stream-side vegetation during 1983 led to higher than expected erosion and damage during the 1984 floods.

Not only did these two flood events differ in terms of their duration from the more common summer thunderstorm events, but they also differed in terms of increasing magnitude in the downstream direction. Since vast areas of a given watershed were contributing meltwater, as opposed to a few tributaries as in the case of a summer storm, the main streams leaving the Forest had higher magnitude events than did their tributaries. In many cases the valleys of these main streams also provide transportation routes onto the Forest. Road damage was in excess of four million dollars.

Rising groundwater tables and saturated soil conditions resulting from above average precipitation during 1983 and 1984 led to several hundred acres of landslides and debris flows. Studies (Godfrey in press) suggest that this amount of landslide activity has a 200 year recurrence interval. These landslides and debris flows not only damaged federally-owned facilities on the Forest, but also did several hundred thousand dollars worth of damage to Utah Power and Light power lines that cross the Forest.

The combined result of the flooding and landslides was considerable damage to roads, trails, recreation facilities, range facilities, watersheds and fisheries on the Forest. Over the two year period there was \$4,145,000 damage to Forest roads and \$200,000 damage to Forest trails that qualified for Emergency Relief to Federally Owned Roads from the Federal Highway Department. Damage to facilities and resources that was not covered by emergency funding is estimated as follows:

Recreation Facilities	\$223,000
Range Facilities	67,000
Roads	500,000
Watershed	211,000
Fisheries	1,473,000

7. MINERALS

a. Mineral Land Suitability

1. Availability

Approximately 97% of the Forest is open to mineral exploration and development under the mining and leasing laws. The lands removed from appropriation under these laws and the lands which are encumbered or are being managed in such a way as to constitute a defacto withdrawal from mineral development are listed below.

a. Land withdrawn from operations of the mining law but not the leasing laws.

1. Recreation sites	6,634
2. Administrative sites	3,406
3. Roadside zones	1,447
4. Watershed protection areas	<u>880</u>

SUBTOTAL 12,367

b. Land encumbered but not formally withdrawn from operations of the mining and leasing laws.

1. Partridge Mtn. Research Natural Area	1,200
2. Areas being studied for research natural area status (Bullion Canyon, Upper Fish Creek-Mt. Baldy, and Belknap Cirque)	3,100
3. Areas determined as unsuitable for stipulated methods of coal mining.	<u>None</u>

SUBTOTAL 4,300

c. Lands with reserved or outstanding rights 4,072

TOTAL 20,739

No Forest lands are constrained or removed from mineral appropriation by special legislation.

1. Capability

The Forest Service does not determine which areas are capable of mineral or energy production. Present technology and economics preclude extraction of some known mineral deposits. The Forest cannot predict new uses or needs for various minerals or mineral commodities. The difficulty in predicting where new mineral deposits may be found leads to the conclusion that areas capable of mineral or energy exploration and development may someday include the entire Forest regardless of the present status of the lands.

3. Suitability

Special designations and conditions present may allow mineral activities only with certain types of restrictions. Exploration for and production of minerals and energy resources from available acreage may be further reduced through stipulations and requirements to protect other resources and uses.

The physical characteristics and known resource needs of an area are used to determine constraints to be applied in future activities. After environmental assessments are complete, restrictions may be imposed on mineral activities to protect wildlife, soil, steep slopes, water quality, and visual resources.

b. Current Management Direction

The policy of the Forest is to integrate the development of mineral resources with the use and conservation of other Forest resources.

The Mining Law of 1872 consolidated earlier laws and established the rights of citizens to explore, claim, and mine certain minerals wherever they are found on public domain lands. This includes National Forest lands which have not been withdrawn. The minerals covered by this law are called locatable minerals. Congress removed certain minerals from the jurisdiction of the 1872 law and made them leasable minerals under the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, the 1947 Mineral Leasing Act for Acquired Lands, and the 1955 Multiple Surface Use Act. The Materials Act of 1947 and the 1955 Mining Act gave the Forest Service the authority to sell certain common minerals (sand, gravel, and similar materials) called "saleable minerals".

All minerals owned by the United States and available for exploration and development are subject to disposal under one of these three categories--locatables, leasables or saleables.

For locatable minerals, any person proposing to conduct operations that might significantly disturb a surface resource must file a Notice of Intent and an Operating Plan with the District Ranger.

Permits, licenses, or leases for leasable minerals (oil, gas, coal, geothermal, phosphate) are issued by the Department of Interior. The Forest has the opportunity to perform environmental analysis, recommend action, list stipulations, and propose requirements for rehabilitation. On acquired lands, the Forest Service has authority to deny permits, licenses, and leases.

Saleable minerals are managed by the Forest Service. Permits are issued for use of these materials in accordance with Forest Service policy.

c. Current Situation

The Forest includes parts of two physiographic provinces--the Basin and Range and the Colorado Plateau Provinces. As presently recognized, the Tushar Mountains, Pahvant Range, and Canyon Mountains are within the former province and the remainder of the Forest within the latter.

Principal mineral deposits in the Basin and Range Province are arranged in three zones or belts, one of which crosses the Forest and runs through the Tushars and southern part of the Sevier Plateau. This mineralized area is the eastward continuation and terminus of the mineral belt extending westward through Beaver County, Utah, and into the Pioche region of Nevada. The rock types and structures are favorable for metallic deposits because of igneous intrusive bodies. Five of the six mining districts within the Forest are located within this belt. The sixth is located at the north end of the Forest in the Canyon Mountains.

In contrast to the complex geologic structures and deposits present in the Basin and Range Province, the mineral resources of the Colorado Plateau Province are primarily those associated with sedimentary rock.

Following is a discussion of the various categories of minerals on the Forest.

1. Locatable Minerals

Significant amounts of gold, silver, copper, lead, zinc, mercury, alunite, uranium and sulfur have been produced mainly from the Tushar Mountains. During the period of 1868 through 1963, a total gross value of about ten million dollars, based on December, 1965, prices was produced by these commodities. Unknown amounts of lead, silver, limestone and dolomite have been produced from the north end of the Forest in the Canyon Mountains during the same period. Activities for hardrock minerals have increased from 59 cases in 1977 to 97 in 1981.

Presently, limestone, shale, and quartz are being mined by open pit methods in the north part of the Canyon Mountains near Leamington. Starting in 1980, approximately one million tons of raw materials per year have been mined and used to produce an annual amount of approximately 650,000 tons of portland cement. Operations are expected to continue until 2025. The plant and mine is the largest cement producing operation in Utah and will provide cement for use throughout the West.

Gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc are being produced in small amounts from the Bullion - Cottonwood and the Kimberly areas of the Tushar Mountains. Ore production during 1981 was between 7,000 and 8,400 tons. A total of 31 operating plans for prospecting and exploration for precious metals were processed during 1981. Prospecting and exploration for uranium occurred at 34 places on the Forest during 1981.

An uncommon form of kaolin clay is mined from three sites within the Forest. Two of the sites are located in the Mill Creek drainage on the north end of the Tushars and the third is near Box Creek. Mining is by open pit methods and has occurred during the past 12 years. Approximately 3,000 tons of material were removed from one of the Mill Creek pits during 1981.

Dendrite is being mined at the rate of 5 to 10 tons per year in the North Fork of North Creek drainage on the west side of the Tushars. Activity has been occurring for about 5 years.

Other mineral commodities, including alunite, fluorspar, molybdenum, sulfur, and gypsum, have generated prospecting and exploration activities in the Tushars and the Sevier Plateau areas. A total of 20 operating plans associated with these minerals were processed in 1981. No revenues to the Federal Government, in the form of rental fees or royalties, are generated by the locatable minerals. The 1872 Mining Law provides that: "...all valuable mineral deposits in lands belonging to the United States...shall be free and open to exploration and purchase...".

2. Leasable Minerals

Coal is the only leasable mineral produced on the Fishlake. Coal resources within the Forest underlie the southeast edge of the Wasatch Plateau and are included in the Salina Canyon coal field and portions of the Wasatch Plateau and Emery coal fields. The reserves are approximately 1693.6 million tons, which underlie approximately 220,527 acres within the Forest. Forest lands identified as potentially minable but presently not leased for coal development are approximately 81,534 acres. These potentially minable lands contain an estimated reserve of 1,515 million tons which are recoverable by underground mining methods. The coal quality is described as low sulfur and low to medium ash. (See "Coal Lands Review and Fishlake National Forest", April, 1984 in Appendix O).

There is one active coal mine on the Forest at the present time, located in the Convulsion Canyon area of the Wasatch Plateau. It produces 2.2 million tons per year and production is expected to continue until 2005. It has five Federal coal leases covering a total of 6,773 acres. About 5,860 acres are administered by the Fishlake National Forest, 743 acres by the Manti-LaSal National Forest, and 164 acres by the Richfield District of the Bureau of Land Management. Approximately 640 acres of fee land (coal and minerals privately owned) is connected with the operation.

Even though no other coal mines are active at this time, an additional 12,214 acres of the Forest are under lease to two energy companies. Core drilling operations are presently being conducted by these companies. The U. S. Geological Survey is conducting a continuing drilling program to define the coal resources of unleased lands. An average of 32 holes per year have been drilled since 1977 on the Forest.

Coal activity planning, in preparation for additional lease sales, is being done in coordination with the Bureau of Land Management. Three lease tracts involving about 423 acres of the Forest were evaluated in the Uinta-Southwestern Utah Coal Region Environmental Impact Statement.

Total receipts from coal lease conveyances, including bonus payments, royalties, and rentals for fiscal year 1981, were \$1,351,520.

Much of the Forest has a moderate potential for oil and gas, particularly the overthrust area of the Basin and Range Province. Over 1 million acres or 85 percent of the Forest was under lease for oil and gas development as of 1981. The major blocks of land not under lease are the upper elevations of the Tushars, Thousand Lake Mountain, and the area east of Bicknell, Utah. During the five year period October, 1976, through September, 1981, an average of 52 leases per year were issued for the Forest. The average for the previous five year period was 80.

Oil and gas exploration has been by surface seismic methods or shot holes less than 100 feet deep. An average of 267 miles of seismic exploration per year was permitted between 1977 and 1981. This involved an average of 16 permits per year. Fifty-two percent of the seismic surveys are in the Pahvant and Canyon Mountains, 23 percent each on the Fishlake and Wasatch Plateaus, and 2 percent on the north end of the Tushars.

Since 1958, 15 wells have been drilled on the Forest. None are producing wells. Funds generated from oil and gas activities (lease rental fees and prospecting permits) for fiscal year 1981 totaled \$880,415.

The potential for geothermal resources exists in an area of the Forest beginning in the Cove Fort-Sulphurdale area and extending eastward to the west edge of the Sevier Plateau near the town of Monroe. Sixteen leases containing 22,728 acres of Forest land occur in Cove Fort-Sulphurdale area and one lease containing 707 acres of Forest land is present in the Monroe area. These leases were issued in 1975 for a term of 20 years. Applications for adjoining lands are presently being evaluated.

A considerable amount of geophysical exploration, including deep wells, was conducted in the Cove Fort-Sulphurdale area between 1977 and 1979 in quest of geothermal resources. In one well hot water was discovered and tested to have a high potential for low temperature non-electrical application. A second well hit hot water but was not tested for production. A third well was abandoned because of drilling problems.

In 1983 and 1984 three wells were drilled near Sulphurdale. High pressure steam was hit at a depth of 1,170 feet. These wells are being tested and plans are being developed to generate electricity with the steam.

No geothermal activities other than casual exploration have occurred on the Forest near Monroe. Two deep wells drilled outside the Forest near Monroe in 1979-1980 tested favorably for use in heating and other direct applications. No utilization of the resource has been made.

The money paid into the U.S. Treasury for geothermal lease rental fees for fiscal year 1981 totalled \$23,435.

In 1977 the Forest received several applications for prospecting permits for potassium. There has been no follow up on these applications.

3. Saleable Minerals

The Forest contains significant amounts of sand and gravel, building stone, and light-weight aggregate. The amount of sand and gravel removed in selected years and their estimated values follow:

1977	\$ 243	7,300 Tons
1978	\$ 89	2,670 Tons
1979	\$6,235	187,060 Tons
1981	\$ 78	2,350 Tons

Presently, there are six permits authorizing removal of up to a total of 65,000 cubic yards per year. Of these only one is a commercial permit where the material removed is for resale. The remainder of the material has been removed by Federal or State agencies without charge for use in road construction and maintenance.

Small amounts of building stone are sold each year from various sites around the Forest. No large-scale or commercial operations exist.

A light-weight aggregate is abundant in the Clear Creek Canyon area. Large amounts are being used in construction of I-70 through the canyon.

d. Future Demand

The U.S. Bureau of Mines estimates mineral demand will increase until the year 2000. This is coupled with an increasing need for the demand to be met domestically. Prediction of mineral activity is risky and can be inaccurate. Confidential company information, economics, changing concepts of mineral localization, new techniques of exploration, and other factors can bring exploration to a new area or shift it from an existing area.

1. Energy Minerals

Coal activity is expected to increase gradually in the future. Additional leasing is expected in the northeast corner of the Forest as indicated from the expressions of interest received for that area in January, 1982. The existing, non-producing leases on the Forest are expected to be in production by 1990. Considerable oil and gas activity is expected through 1997. On the ground activity has included the entire Forest, except for the Tushar Mountains. Most seismic prospecting has been on the Pahvant and Canyon Ranges.

The Cove Fort-Sulphurdale and the Monroe-Joseph areas have been designated as Known Geothermal Resource Areas (KGRA's). Activity in and adjoining these KGRA's is expected to increase as technology improves and the extent of the resource is defined.

Uranium occurs in the Tushar Mountains. Continued exploratory work is expected due to the recent U.S. Geological Survey report indicating the area has high potential for uranium.

2. Non-Energy Minerals

High prices and increased demand for gold and silver have renewed interest in these precious metals. The Tushar Mountains have both, found in association with lead, zinc, and copper. Continued small scale activity is expected.

Base metal occurrences, particularly if accompanied by precious metal, will continue to attract exploration interest to their vicinity. It is expected the Tushar Mountains will be impacted by this trend until 1990.

Demand for molybdenum is predicted to be high, which might lead to activity in the Tushar Mountains.

Demand for limestone, sand and gravel, crushed stone, kaolin clay and lightweight aggregate is expected to continue.

Demand for gypsum from the Forest is not expected to materialize within the near future due to more accessible deposits of considerable size outside the Forest.

8. PROTECTION

a. Fire

1. Existing Situation

The present fire management policy requires suppression of wildfires on all areas of the Forest, with the exception of those occurring within the Beehive Peak Fire Management Area. Under specified conditions, fires in this area may be managed according to prescription, to accomplish predetermined objectives. The Fire Management Area covers 275,000 acres of the Fillmore Ranger District. The ten year average (1974-1983) fire occurrence is 35 fires per year (26 lightning and 9 man-caused). The average annual acreage burned during that period was 3,134 acres.

The Forest's fuel management program is relatively small due to the low fuel loading and abundance of natural fuel breaks. Fuel reduction is accomplished primarily through the use of planned ignitions under prescribed fire conditions. Prescribed fire is also used to accomplish wildlife habitat improvement (increasing habitat diversity and available palatable forage), range improvement (increasing palatable forage), and insect and disease control.

2. Expected Future Situation

The number of fires is expected to increase in the future because of increased use of the National Forest for recreation, wood gathering, and mineral related activities.

Although the Beehive Peak Fire Management Area Plan will no longer be valid when the Forest Plan is implemented, the application of predetermined prescriptions for management of fires will increase. Prescriptions to deal with fires which occur as a result of unplanned ignition have been prepared for a much greater area of the Forest than that previously covered by the Beehive Peak Plan.

b. Air Quality

1. Existing Situation

The National Clean Air Act requires that airsheds be designated under one of three classes:

- Class I - Only minor air quality deterioration
- Class II - Permitted moderate deterioration
- Class III - Permitted deterioration up to National Ambient Air Quality Standards

Presently, all of the Fishlake National Forest is designated as Class II. Air quality is managed on the Forest to ensure compliance with the Clean Air Act Amendment of 1977 (PL. 95-95). The Forest Service's responsibility in this regard is to protect air quality and related values.

Air quality on the Forest is generally excellent. At times during the dry summer months, vehicular traffic produces dust which temporarily reduces the air quality. Smoke impact from fires is slight, since most are small and burn a short time.

The State of Utah has been divided into three air quality basins for purposes of using the clearing index system. The Forest is included in areas 1 and 3. Area 1 includes those valleys less than 6500 feet above sea level, west of the Wasatch Mountain Range, and extending south through the Wasatch and Aquarius Plateaus to the Arizona border. Area 3 includes all valleys and areas more than 6500 feet above sea level.

Air quality data for each of these areas is obtained from the following sites:

Area 1 - Salt Lake City Airport and Chalk Creek Weather Station

Area 3 - Remote Automated Weather Station east of Monroe, Utah

The present Utah Air Conservation Regulations require a clearing index of 500 or greater before prescribed burning can occur.

2. Expected Future Condition

Future air quality is not expected to decrease significantly on the Forest. The increase in wood burning for home heating in communities adjacent to the Forest will have a minor effect. A large coal fired power plant, the Intermountain Power Project, is presently under construction 11 miles north of Delta, Utah. The impact of this plant on the air quality of the Forest should be minimal due to the prevailing southwesterly winds. Occasionally, wind patterns may shift to the northwest and north, which may carry pollutants over the Forest. The environmental impact statement for that project states that emissions will not exceed existing Class II Air Quality Standards.

Management activities may cause a temporary change in air quality. The change will be in the form of increased dust, odor, and smoke. None of these activities is expected to cause a violation of State Air Quality Standards.

c. Insects and Disease

1. Existing Situation

Insect and disease occurrences continually pose a threat to timber and range resources of the Fishlake National Forest. Although most occurrences have been endemic, localized, and of short duration, the Engelmann spruce beetle, mountain pine beetle, dwarf mistletoe, and a number of decay pathogens have caused considerable damage. Dwarf mistletoe continues to reduce growth and inflict some mortality in Douglas fir and ponderosa pine. Heart rots are causing deterioration in many overmature Engelmann spruce and aspen stands.

Pest outbreaks that affect rangelands are not frequent. They usually occur in localized areas and are easily detected in the early spring. Two major insect pests that affect rangelands are the Mormon cricket and the grasshopper. Infestations have been treated only in areas where they have reached epidemic proportions.

2. Expected Future Condition

Insect and disease damage is expected to remain at present levels.

The Forest's vegetative diversity minimizes epidemic insect and disease losses. The largest uniform timber types are aspen and Engelmann spruce. Insects and diseases which might cause extensive losses within these types are of foremost concern. Proper timing for treatment of logging and road building debris and the maintenance of species diversity through cultural treatments will reduce the danger of insect build-up. Other preventative measures which will be taken include surveys to detect and monitor insect and disease activity and commercial and precommercial thinning. More information concerning the prevention and control of root, butt, and bole rots is needed.

d. Law Enforcement

The Forest Service is charged with enforcing Federal laws on National Forest System land. This responsibility cannot be delegated to state or local law enforcement agencies. Forest personnel cooperate with state and local officials in enforcing state and local laws. The Sisk Act provides authority to reimburse local law enforcement agencies for the protection of persons using National Forest System lands.

1. Existing Situation

Personnel on the Forest have minimal law enforcement training. Vandalism to property and equipment is frequently not promptly reported nor adequately investigated. Fuelwood, post, pole and Christmas tree loss is considerable. Vehicle use in closed areas, littering, and archeological artifact theft and destruction are common.

2. Future Situation

The Fishlake Forest Law enforcement situation will include:

- a. Forest employees better trained in law enforcement.
- b. Improved reports and statistic keeping.
- c. Increased risk to Forest personnel collecting and transporting greater amounts of user fees.
- d. Increased probability of theft from collection boxes.
- e. Increased resource damage caused by off-road-vehicles.
- f. Insufficient facilities to accommodate increasing numbers of visitors will contribute to increased conflicts between visitors and increased resource damage.

- g. Trespass onto National Forest System lands by adjoining land owners and others.
- h. Continuing thefts of Forest products.

9. LANDS

a. Existing Situation

1. Classification

The following (Table II-17) shows the distribution of ownership of lands within the Fishlake National Forest by county:

TABLE II-17
DIVISION OF OWNERSHIP OF LAND IN
FISHLAKE NATIONAL FOREST BY COUNTY

County	National Forest Land (Acres)	Private & State Inholdings (Acres)	Total
Beaver	137,906	6,393	144,299
Garfield	3,344	0	3,344
Iron	2,297	0	2,297
Juab	20,788	21	20,809
Millard	306,956	14,421	321,377
Piute	188,787	15,320	204,107
Sanpete	1,941	0	1,941
Sevier	685,551	64,975	750,526
Wayne	76,909	79	76,988
TOTAL	1,424,479	101,209	1,525,688

2. Research Natural Areas (RNA's)

The Fishlake National Forest now administers one established Research Natural Area (Partridge Mountain). This area of 1200 acres is located in the Canyon Range in the northwestern portion of the Forest. It was established in 1979 and represents Society of American Foresters Types 210 (Interior Douglas fir) and 239 (Pinyon-juniper). Kuchler types 20 (Spruce-fir - Douglas fir forest), 23 (Juniper-pinyon woodland) 37 (Mountain mahogany-oak scrub), and 38 (Great Basin sagebrush) are also represented.

3. Land Exchange, Rights-of-Way, and Landline Location

- a. Land exchange - From the mid 1970's through fiscal year 1984, the Forest has acquired 3,390 acres through the land exchange program while disposing of 3,032 acres. During the same period 5.5 acres were acquired through donation.

- b. Rights-of-Way - The Forest right-of-way program has been very active in recent years. Since 1981, some 133 rights-of-way have been acquired. These are classified as:

1. Acquired outright through purchase or donation - -	9
2. State of Utah assignment - - - - -	1
3. Other than linear (Repeater sites) - - - - -	2
4. County declarations- - - - -	115
5. Land exchange program- - - - -	6

- c. Landline Location - Most of the property lines between National Forest and other ownerships have not been resurveyed and posted to National Forest standards. Accomplishment has been completely dependent upon available funds. In the period 1979 through 1981, 28 corners were remonumented and 31.6 miles of boundary line were resurveyed and posted.

4. Special land uses

As of early 1982, there were 384 special use permits on the Forest, of which 79 were free-use. These permits authorize use of 7,454.2 acres and 1,186.4 miles of rights-of-way. They vary in size from 0.1 acre to 625.6 acres, and 0.1 mile in length to over 51.9 miles. Improvement values range from less than \$100 to more than \$3,000,000. Uses are by individuals, interest and sports groups, corporations, cooperatives, and public agencies.

STATUS OF LAND USE ACTIVITIES as of NOVEMBER 1983

<u>Type</u>	<u>Cases</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Miles</u>
Agriculture	10	352.2	.1
Industrial Uses	23	205.7	54.5
Recreation Uses	137	162.6	.0
Research, Study & Training Uses	7	81.3	.0
Transportation Uses	34	2,483.7	108.5
Utilities & Communication Uses	68	1,928.7	214.4
Water Uses	105	2,240.0	145.0

There are also three existing Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) licenses for hydroelectric power plants. There are two pending FERC applications for exemptions from licensing for hydro projects. If exemptions are granted, these activities will be covered by special use permits.

b. Expected Future Situation

1. Classification

It is expected that the present land ownership pattern will not change significantly. No major Forest boundary changes have been proposed. However, boundary changes between National Forest and Bureau of Land Management lands have been discussed. Should these changes materialize, significant increases in National Forest ownership would occur.

2. Research Natural Areas (RNA's)

Two areas in the Tushar Mountains are being evaluated for inclusion in the Research Natural Area program. Both areas represent alpine, subalpine and mountain systems. A draft establishment report has been prepared for Bullion Canyon and another is being prepared for Upper Fish Creek.

- a. Bullion Canyon. Approximately 1380 acres in portions of sections 6 and 7, of T.28S.,R.4W., and in sections 1, 2, 11, 12, 13, and 14 of T.28S.,R.5W., Salt Lake Meridian.
- b. Upper Fish Creek. Approximately 1720 acres in portions of [protracted] sections 28, 29, 32, 33, and 34 of T27S R5W, and [protracted] sections 4 and 5 of T28S R5W, Salt Lake Meridian.

3. Land Exchange, Rights-of-Way, and Landline Location

- a. Land Exchange - The land exchange program is expected to increase substantially in the future. Although exchanges for private lands will remain close to the present level, exchanges with the State of Utah are expected to increase. Most of the 30,000 acres of State of Utah lands within the Forest boundary are in blocks of 640 acres or less which are surrounded by National Forest lands.
- b. Rights-of-Way - The Forest right-of-way program is expected to remain at its present high level until rights-of-way are acquired to cover all existing roads on the Forest Development Road system. Most of these should be acquired early in the planning period. The program will decrease substantially once the backlog is eliminated.
- c. Landline Location - The landline location program will increase substantially. The program will be designed to eliminate the large backlog of unposted boundaries. Because of the uncertainty of funding in this area, no prediction for completion of the program can be made.

4. Special Land Uses.

It is expected that demand for special use permits will continue to increase, especially in energy, transportation, water, and industrial uses. The increase is a function of development of private lands within the Forest and energy developments.

10. SOILS

a. Existing Situation

The history of soil condition closely parallels the history of grazing use. Grazing by domestic livestock reached a peak during the period 1890 to about 1910. The mountain ranges were heavily overgrazed, resulting in devastating floods. With the creation of the Forest Reserves in the early 1900's, a control on grazing was started. Soils previously subjected to severe erosion by heavy grazing eventually began to respond and produce more forage. Since the early 1900's, soils and vegetation have improved in condition.

During the 1950's and 60's, some of the areas still not satisfactorily recovered were treated to hasten recovery. Treatments included seeding, contour furrowing and trenching, Dixie harrowing, and elimination of livestock use.

Problem areas and conditions still exist, but overall the soils and vegetation have improved remarkably from the conditions present in the early 1900's. Table II-18 shows the results of a recently completed watershed condition inventory which identifies areas needing remedial work:

TABLE II-18
WATERSHED CONDITION INVENTORY

<u>District</u>	<u>Acres Identified On Aerial Photographs</u>	<u>Acres Field Verified</u>	<u>Remaining Acres to be Verified</u>
Fillmore	3,510	2,700	810
Loa	10,525	6,825	3,700
Beaver	2,883	2,883	0
Richfield	<u>9,584</u>	<u>3,070</u>	<u>6,514</u>
Total	26,502	15,478	11,024

The acres delineated are areas immediately adjacent to gully networks identifiable through area photo interpretation. Sheet erosion and unstable streambanks are not evident on aerial photos and have not been completely inventoried. When these areas are checked on the ground, acreages will undoubtedly increase.

The major cause of the deteriorated watershed condition on the Forest is overgrazing. Approximately 95 percent of the inventoried acreage requiring soil restoration is the result of overgrazing. A combination of restoration structures, reseeding, fertilization, and grazing adjustments is needed to restore and protect the soil and water resources. In addition, proper stocking rates are being established. Protective fencing is provided on some treated areas together with deferment of grazing until vegetative cover is established.

Regulations require the quantification of soil loss or sedimentation in development of this plan. These result in approximations when dealing with a unit of land as large as the Forest. Analysis areas were established by stratifying by major vegetative types and two slope classes. Average current rates of soil loss were determined and expressed for each vegetative type and slope class. These data were then entered in the FORPLAN model to compute total soil loss per decade. A preliminary list of threshold rates was established as the Forest Standard. Future changes in soil loss resulting from changes in management can be evaluated against this standard. The threshold values will be validated by monitoring.

Table II-19 gives the summation of soil loss by vegetation type and slope for the Forest.

TABLE II-19
SOIL LOSS BY VEGETATIVE TYPE AND SLOPE CLASS

Vegetative Type	Slope	Acres	Yds ³ /ac/decade	Soil Loss	
				M Yds ³ /decade	Ac.Ft./decade
Ponderosa Pine	All	13,000	30	390	242
Meadow	<40	17,000	20	340	216
Conifer	>40	57,000	10	570	353
	<40	70,000	5	350	217
Sage/grass	>40	235,000	40	9,400	5,828
	<40	33,000	30	990	614
Mtn. Brush	>40	180,000	30	5,400	3,348
	<40	152,000	25	3,800	2,356
Aspen	>40	186,000	10	1,860	1,153
	<40	81,000	5	405	251
Pinyon-Juniper	>40	256,000	50	12,800	7,936
	<40	114,000	40	4,560	2,827
Barren	>40	28,000	60	1,680	1,042
Total Soil Loss				42,545	26,383

The soil and water resource improvement targets established by the Region are as follows:

Year	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1991-	2001-	2011-	2021-
Acres	160	252	344	436	526	506	485	482	480	479

Current soil restoration outputs are below the Regionally assigned output levels. It is highly unlikely that these targets can be achieved under the plan that is being implemented.

b. Future Situation

By implementating this plan, soil conditions will improve. This will result from the use of improved grazing standards and guidelines, additional protection for riparian areas, soil and water treatments on high priority watershed areas, and range structural and non-structural treatments. On-site soil losses will be reduced through improved ground cover, which should decrease overland flow with associated sheet and rill erosion.

11. FACILITIES

a. Present Situation

Facilities on the Fishlake National Forest include roads, bridges, administrative sites, buildings, dams, and water and sanitation systems. They require considerable time and money for operation and maintenance. There has been large investment in these facilities to enable the development, protection, and use of Forest resources.

1. Roads

There are approximately 1,408 miles of system roads on the Fishlake National Forest. In addition there are 2 to 3 times this mileage of non-system roads and wheel tracks. The non-system mileage increases annually. The Forest's transportation system includes roads, trails, bridges, and major culverts. The present system is substandard. Much of the system received additional damage from the floods of 1983 and 1984. Steep grades, sharp curves, variable widths, rough surfaces, inadequate sight distances, few passing areas and turnouts, inadequate drainage and lack of surfacing material are characteristics of the existing system. Lack of comprehensive planning and a lack of maintenance are additional problems.

The roads are classified as arterial, collector, or local, depending upon their uses and the land area accessed by them. Standards vary from relatively high speed, double lane asphalt to natural surfaced single lane roads suitable for four wheel drive vehicles. Access to the Forest is generally fair to good, as most areas are within three miles of some type of road. Access is limited during the winter and spring by mud and snow. Heavy use is made of the system during holidays, weekends, and hunting and fishing seasons.

Basic road types are:

<u>Type of Road</u>		<u>Classification</u>	
Primitive	930 Miles	*Arterial	262 Miles
Graded & drained	414 Miles	Collector	349 Miles
Gravel surfaced	30 Miles	Local	797 Miles
Hard surfaced	<u>34 Miles</u>		
	1,408 Miles		<u>1,408 Miles</u>

* Includes State and Federal Highways.

The miles of non-system roads have never been maintained. Most of these roads are causing resource damage, are hazardous to travel, and should be closed.

Proposed mileage and priorities for construction and reconstruction of the arterial and collector roads on the Forest are shown in Appendix J, assuming a 40 year rotation life of a given facility. Costs for a replacement schedule of this magnitude would be too large for present budgets and would depend on funding from outside the normal Forest allocations.

Approximately 700 miles of collector and local roads are maintained annually. About 300 miles of collector roads are in such poor condition that they cannot be adequately maintained. With the exception of recently constructed timber purchaser and oil and gas roads, most local roads are primitive, poorly located, and difficult to maintain. They will continue to deteriorate, cause resource damage, and become a safety hazard. Many should be reconstructed. Others should be closed.

Usually only 2-4 miles of local road are constructed each year, while up to 2 miles of the collector system are reconstructed or receive heavy maintenance annually. The remainder of the system is deteriorating because of inadequate maintenance.

The Forest has 28 bridges and major culverts on the inventory. Twelve of the bridges are new or in good condition and need only minor maintenance. Ten need repairs or maintenance. Six need to be replaced. Two new bridges need to be built. Several bridges and culverts have been damaged or destroyed by recent floods and are scheduled for replacement.

2. Administrative Sites, Buildings and Support Facilities

Most structures on the Forest are classified as administrative, are over 40 years old, and are of wood construction. They have been kept in fair to good repair over the years.

More emphasis is being placed on maintaining guard stations to save on travel costs. Maintenance is limited to health, safety, and energy items on high-use sites. Most buildings have deficient electrical and

culinary water systems. Currently only one of twelve sites has a system meeting current state standards for culinary water.

3. Dams

There are 54 dams on the Forest. These range from small structures for flood control, irrigation and electrical generation to major reservoirs. Most of these dams are on special use permit and are maintained by the owners. The Forest Service and the Utah State Engineer's Office cooperate on the inspection of these dams with permittees doing the necessary maintenance. No new dams are contemplated at present, but several modifications of existing structures are underway or programmed. This includes restoration for safety and resource protection of several dams recently breached.

4. Trails

There are about 900 miles of system trails on the Forest plus a number of non-system trails. Most are in need of improvement to correct erosion problems. Many trails on the recreation system are used primarily for livestock movement, which is causing damage. Severe damage has occurred to many trails due to the 1983 and 1984 flooding. The Forest has applied for emergency funds to make needed repairs.

5. Water Systems

The Forest has 31 inventoried sites with culinary water systems. Most culinary systems are in need of major reconstruction to comply with current state standards. Six of these systems are being rebuilt as part of the Job's Bill program. In addition there are a large number of range water systems for livestock and wildlife use. More of these systems have been built in recent years. These systems have opened new areas for livestock production and help distribute livestock more evenly on the Forest.

6. Solid Waste Disposal

Currently the Forest operates a system of solid waste collection and disposal. A 25 cubic yard packer truck collects waste from recreation and administrative sites for disposal at a central land-fill near Richfield, Utah.

7. Sanitation Facilities

Forest operation of recreation sites has prompted development of sanitary facilities to prevent pollution of water and soil and provide user comfort and privacy. Most of these facilities are in developed recreation sites although some are in dispersed recreation areas. Considerable investment has been made in facilities to handle human waste in a manner meeting State and Federal standards. Maintenance is expensive but necessary.

8. Radio and Communication Sites

The Forest is currently upgrading its communications system. Several new repeater sites are being built for a microwave system that is being installed. Development and maintenance of these sites is not considered to be a major impact on existing lands since most access is already developed and the actual sites are quite small.

b. Future Situation

1. Roads

Proposed mileage and priorities for construction and reconstruction of the arterial and collector roads on the Forest are shown in Appendix J assuming a 40 year rotation life of a given facility. Costs for a replacement schedule of this magnitude would be too large for present budgets and would depend on funding from outside the normal Forest allocations.

No new arterial or collector roads will be constructed in the first decade. After the first decade only 1 mile will be constructed in each future decade. However, many existing arterial and collector roads will be improved to meet demands through road betterment. About 13 miles of road betterment is planned to be accomplished annually in the planning period. Some local roads will also be included in the betterment program.

Local road construction will be limited to about 1 mile for the first decade and slightly more in the other four decades. Timber purchaser road construction will amount to six miles per year during the first decade. As the timber program increases in the remaining decades, purchaser road construction will increase proportionately.

Maintenance needs will be determined through a traffic monitoring program.

2. Administrative Sites, Buildings, and Support Facilities

Several new buildings will be constructed to house communications equipment. Also, increased work space, office space, housing and warehousing space will be needed to accommodate increased activities.

3. Dams

No new dams are contemplated, but reconstruction and enlargement of eight existing dams is planned to improve fisheries and recreation opportunities. These projects will increase potential water storage in the project area. There will be localized ground disturbance and increased soil erosion at borrow sites while these projects are being completed. Borrow areas will be revegetated as quickly as possible to minimize long term effects.

4. Trails

About one mile of new trail will be reconstructed or constructed annually during the first decade. This will increase to 2.5 miles per year in subsequent decades. The planned increase in trail maintenance activity and the planned construction and reconstruction program should accommodate projected demands for dispersed recreation. Trails used primarily for the movement of livestock will be inventoried and managed as range facilities.

5. Water systems

All Forest Service owned culinary water systems will be improved to comply with current standards for safe drinking water during the first decade.

6. Other

Other facilities, such as sanitation and communication systems, will be expanded to meet anticipated needs.

12. ENERGY TRANSPORTATION CORRIDORS

a. Current Situation

Table II-20 lists rights-of-way for transmission lines over 66 kilovolts (kV) that pass into or through the Forest. No rights-of-way exist on the Forest for oil, gas or coal slurry pipeline over 10 inches in diameter; interstate communication lines or electronic sites; or railroads.

Federal, State, and Interstate highways crossing the Forest are listed in Table II-21.

As per Regional plan direction, if a transportation, transmission, or pipeline route is to serve an end use on the Forest, they are not considered potential corridors. Strips of land may be designated energy corridors when they contain existing rights-of-way and have the potential for future energy transmission systems.

Tables II-22 and II-23 provide analysis of existing energy and transportation rights-of-way.

b. Future Demands

Demands for expansion of existing transportation and transmission rights-of-way or the designation of new rights-of-way on the Forest are based on the Western Regional Corridor Study for the State of Utah. (May 1, 1980).

From the present to year 1990, the utility companies involved in the corridor study have proposed the following energy transportation additions to existing transportation and transmission right-of-way locations on the Forest:

- One coal slurry pipeline within or adjacent to the Interstate 70 right-of-way in Salina Canyon.
- One coal slurry pipeline adjacent to the Sigurd-Cedar City 230-kV transmission line right-of-way portion located south of Beaver, Utah.

- One 345-kV transmission line from Lynndyl, Utah, to Mona, Utah, via Leamington Pass.
- One natural gas pipeline within and/or adjacent to the Interstate 15 right-of-way at Scipio Pass; and
- One 230-kV transmission line adjacent to the Sigurd-Cedar City 230-kV transmission line right-of-way.

These proposed energy transportation rights-of-way could meet the expansion criteria for proposed designated corridors as presented on Tables II-22 and II-23 i.e., the proposed transportation facilities could be constructed within the corridor designations as stated in Tables II-22 and II-23.

From the present to year 1990, one new energy transportation corridor has been proposed for the following uses and location:

- One 69-kV transmission line from the Sigurd-Emerly 345-kV line to the Skutumpah Coal Mine.

The location follows an existing 25-kV line up Convulsion Canyon to the Acord Lakes area. The proposal is to upgrade the existing line to 69-kV and extend it to the Skutumpah Mine. The line would serve two coal mines and a summer home area.

The utility companies involved in the corridor study also proposed two new transportation rights-of-way on the Forest for years 1990 to 2020. One proposal has its route location adjacent to an existing State highway while the other proposal has its route location outside of the existing transportation and transmission routes discussed above. These proposals are as follows:

- One railroad right-of-way from the Alton Coalfield to Price via the general location of State Highways 62, 24, and 72. (U-72 location would be the only location on the Forest.)

This proposed energy transportation right-of-way could meet the expansion criteria for a designated corridor as presented in Table II-20 for State Highway 72, i.e., the proposed transportation facilities could be constructed within the corridor designation as stated for U-72.

- One 500-kV transmission line right-of-way from Green River, Utah, to the Alton Coalfield via the north slopes of Thousand Lake Mountain and the Awapa Plateau. Potential corridor designation for this location would depend on a comparative analysis done for the proposed new route versus the existing State Highway 72 route.

TABLE II-20
EXISTING ENERGY TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS
OCCUPYING POTENTIAL UTILITY CORRIDOR ROUTES ON THE
FISHLAKE NATIONAL FOREST

Name	Location Beginning-Ending	Size	R/W Width (Feet)	Length (Miles)	Acres
Sigurd-Cedar City (UP&L)	From Sigurd sub- station to Cedar City via Clear Creek Canyon Area	138-kV	75	15.14	137.62
Sigurd-Nevada State Line (UP&L)	From Sigurd sub- station to Ely, Nevada, via Round Valley & Scipio Pass	230-kV	120	7.83	113.89
Sigurd-Cedar City (UP&L)	From Sigurd sub- station to Cedar City via Sevier Valley/Circleville	230-kV	110	8.34	111.18
Huntington- Sigurd (UP&L)	From Huntington Power Plant at Huntington, Utah, to Sigurd substa- tion via Salina Canyon/Gooseberry Valley	345-kV	130	23.45	369.53
Emery-Sigurd (UP&L)	From Hunter Power Plant at Castle- dale, Utah, to Sigurd substation via Salina Canyon/ Gooseberry Valley	345-kV	130	23.44	369.40
Lynndyl-Mona (IPP)	From IPP Power Plant to Mona, Utah, via Leaming- ton Pass	345-kV	150	2.77	50.36

TABLE II-21
EXISTING FEDERAL, STATE, AND INTERSTATE HIGHWAYS
OCCUPYING POTENTIAL UTILITY CORRIDOR ROUTES

Name	Location	R/W Width (Feet)	Length (Miles)	Acres
Interstate 70 (I-70)	Salina Canyon	550	23*	1,533*
Interstate 70 (I-70) (Approximately 10.0 miles still under construction)	Clear Creek Canyon	550	13*	867*
Interstate 15 (I-15)	Within one mile of National Forest for approximately 6 miles at Scipio Pass	--	--	--
State Highway (U-13)	Clear Creek Canyon	200	7*	170*
State Highway (U-24)	Torrey, Utah, to Fruita, Utah	132	0.7	11.2
State highway (U-25)	Fishlake	400	6*	290*
State Highway (U-72)	I-70 (Salina Canyon) to U-24 at Loa, Utah	132*	18*	288*
State Highway (U-132)	Leamington, Utah, to Nephi, Utah	132	0.34	5.45
State Highway (U-153)	Beaver, Utah, to Junction, Utah	32	26.10	417.6

• Approximate figures.

TABLE II-22
ANALYSIS OF EXISTING ENERGY TRANSPORTATION
RIGHTS-OF-WAY LISTED ON TABLE II-20

Analysis of Situation	a)Are ROW's suitable for retention and designation as a corridor?	b)Are ROW's to be designated as corridors capable of being expanded within identified environmental con- straints and, if so, how much?	c)Will ROW's meet local, regional, national needs and are they located t serve energy load center require- ments. If not, will new corridors be established?
Sigurd- Cedar City 138-kV	Yes. Probably other high voltage (IIV) and extra high voltage (EVH) lines will cross the Forest in this location.	Yes. A designated corridor could accommodate up to two additional IIV or EVH lines prior to a requirement for line upgrading. (Distance between lines limited to 1000 feet or less)	Corridor would mee regional needs and would be located t serve existing energy load centers.
Sigurd- Nevada State 230-kV	Yes. Probably other high voltage (IIV) and extra high voltage (EVH) lines will cross the Forest in this location.	Yes. A designated corridor could accommodate up to two additional IIV or EVH lines prior to a requirement for line upgrading. (Distance between lines limited to 1000 feet or less)	Corridor would mee regional and nat'l needs and would be located to serve existing energy load centers.
Lynndyl Mona 345-kV	Yes. Probably other high voltage (IIV) and extra high voltage (EVH) lines will cross the Forest in this location.	Yes. A designated corridor could accommodate up to two additional IIV or EVH lines prior to a requirement for line upgrading. (Distance between lines limited to 1000 feet or less)	Corridor would mee regional and nat'l needs and would be located to serve existing energy load centers.

TABLE II-22 (con't)
ANALYSIS OF EXISTING ENERGY TRANSPORTATION
RIGHTS-OF-WAY LISTED ON TABLE II-20

Analysis of Situation	a)Are ROW's suitable for retention and designation as a corridor?	b)Are ROW's to be designated as corridors capable of being expanded within identified environmental con- straints and, if so, how much?	c)Will ROW's meet local, regional, national needs and are they located to serve energy load center require- ments. If not, will new corridors be established?
Sigurd- Cedar City 230-kV	Yes. Probably other high voltage (IIV) and extra high voltage (EVH) lines will cross the Forest in this location.	Yes. A designated corridor could accommodate up to two additional IIV or EVH lines prior to a requirement for line upgrading. (Distance between lines limited to 1000 feet or less)	Corridor would meet regional and nat'l needs and would be located to serve existing energy load centers.
Huntington- Sigurd 345-kV	Yes. Probably other high voltage (IIV) and extra high voltage (EVH) lines will cross the Forest in this location.	No. Potential for widening ROW limited by res- trictive terrain. Upgrading existing line should be done to meet power pro- duction and delivery requirements.	Existing ROW will meet the needs of projected power production from energy load centers.
Emery- Sigurd 345-kV	Yes. Probably other high voltage (IIV) and extra high voltage (EVH) lines will cross the Forest in this location.	No. Potential for widening ROW limited by res- trictive terrain. Upgrading existing line should be done to meet power pro- duction and delivery requirements.	Existing ROW will meet the needs of projected power production from energy load centers.

TABLE II-23
ANALYSIS OF EXISTING HIGHWAY RIGHTS-OF-WAY
(POTENTIAL ENERGY TRANSPORTATION CORRIDORS)
LISTED ON TABLE II-21

Analysis of Situation	a)Are ROW's suitable for retention and designation as a corridor?	b)Are ROW's to be designated as corridors capable of being expanded within identified environmental con- straints and, if so, how much?	c)Will ROW's meet local, regional, national needs and are they located to serve energy load center require- ments? If not, will new corridors be established?
I-70 Salina Canyon	Yes, but ROW and adjacent terrain would be only suit- able for pipeline ROW's.	No. Restrictive terrain would limit location of energy transportation ROW's to the highway ROW width.	Would meet local, regional and nat'l needs and would be located to serve future energy load centers.
I-70 Clear Creek Cyn.	Yes. Corresponds with Sigurd-Cedar City 138- kV Transmission line. (See table C).	Yes. (See discus- sion on Table C for Sigurd-Cedar City 138-kV transmission line).	Would meet regional needs and would be located to serve existing energy load centers.
I-15 Scipio Pass	Yes. Probability exists that interstate energy transportation ROW's will be constructed along this route.	Highway ROW fully occupies Scipio Pass Area. Pro- posed energy trans- portation ROW's would have to be located outside of the highway ROW.	Would meet regional and nat'l needs and would be located to serve energy load centers.
U-13 Clear Creek Cyn.	No. This route is adjacent to a more suitable transportation ROW, i.e., Sigurd- Cedar City 138-kV.	Highway ROW occupies a narrow and steep canyon. Environmen- tal constraints pre- clude expansion for energy transporta- tion ROW's.	Limited potential corridor space would preclude use of route for regional and nat'l energy transporta- tion needs.

TABLE II-23 (con't)
ANALYSIS OF EXISTING HIGHWAY RIGHTS-OF-WAY
(POTENTIAL ENERGY TRANSPORTATION CORRIDORS)
LISTED ON TABLE II-21

Analysis of Situation	a)Are ROW's suitable for retention and designation as a corridor?	b)Are ROW's to be designated as corridors capable of being expanded within identified environmental con- straints and, if so, how much?	c)Will ROW's meet local, regional, national needs and are they located to serve energy load center require- ments? If not, will new corridors be established?
U-24 NE of Torrey	No. Proximity of Capitol Reef Nat'l Park precludes this ROW as a potential corridor.	Highway ROW occupies a narrow and steep canyon. Environmen- tal constraints pre- clude expansion for energy transporta- tion ROW's.	Limited potential corridor space would preclude use of route for regional and nat'l energy transporta- tion needs.
U-25 Fish lake	No. This highway serves a particular end use on the Forest and does not coincide with existing and pro- posed energy trans- portation ROW's.	Highway ROW occupies a narrow and steep canyon. Environmen- tal constraints pre- clude expansion for energy transporta- tion ROW's.	Limited potential corridor space would preclude use of route for regional and nat'l energy transporta- tion needs.
U-72 Salina Canyon to Loa	Yes. Probability exists that energy transportation ROW's will be constructed along this route.	Terrain adjacent to highway ROW would not restrict loca- tion of energy trans- portation ROW's. Corridor width of 1 mile could be accom- modated.	Would meet local and regional needs and would be loca- ted to serve energy load centers.
U-132 Leamington to Nephi	Yes. Probability exists that inter- state energy trans- portation ROW's will be constructed along this route.	Existing uses adja- cent to ROW would require that energy transportation ROW's will be located 1/2 to 1 mile north or south of the highway.	Would meet local, regional and nat'l needs and would be located to serve energy load cen- ters.

TABLE II-23 (con't)
ANALYSIS OF EXISTING HIGHWAY RIGHTS-OF-WAY
(POTENTIAL ENERGY TRANSPORTATION CORRIDORS)
LISTED ON TABLE II-21

Analysis of Situation	a)Are ROW's suitable for retention and designation as a corridor?	b)Are ROW's to be designated as corridors capable of being expanded within identified environmental con- straints and, if so, how much?	c)Will ROW's meet local, regional, national needs and are they located to serve energy load center require- ments? If not, will new corridors be established?
U-153 Beaver to Junction	No. This highway serves a particular end use on the Forest and does not coincide with existing and pro- posed energy trans- portation ROW's.	Existing uses adja- cent to ROW would require that energy transportation ROW's will be located 1/2 to 1 mile north or south of the highway.	Would meet local, regional and nat'l needs and would be located to serve energy load cen- ters.

D. RESEARCH NEEDS

Research needs identified during the planning process include the continuation of the work on the Oak Creek Cooperative Management Area. The Intermountain Research Station needs to continue their involvement in this project. A second research need is the determination of the growth volumes occurring in the pinyon-juniper woodland. Previously thought to be a low value area, this planning effort has shown the pinyon-juniper woodland to have potential value for commercial wood products, Christmas trees, firewood, wildlife, and range. It is possible that commercial timber sales will be made from this area in the future. Regulation 36 CFR 219.3 defines forest land as land at least 10 percent occupied by forest trees of any size. Thus the pinyon-juniper woodland could be considered commercial forest land in the future.

These research needs may be supplemented by additional needs identified during the plan monitoring and evaluation activities.

CHAPTER III

PLAN RESPONSES TO ISSUES, CONCERNS, AND OPPORTUNITIES

This chapter shows how the proposed Plan addresses and responds to the planning problems that were identified during the planning process.

A discussion of the process used to identify the issues to be resolved in this Plan is found in Appendix A of the Environmental Impact Statement. Additional information may be found in the public involvement records at the Supervisor's office in Richfield.

The specific methods for resolving and implementing management actions for the 10 problems dealt with are found in Chapter IV of this Plan. In that chapter the Forest's multiple-use goals and objectives are listed, as is each management area.

This plan's responses to the ten planning problems are:

#1. RECREATION SITES AND FACILITIES

The plan calls for increasing recreation funding to maintain and reconstruct existing sites. This includes upgrading water systems to meet state standards. There will be construction of new sites, including facilities to meet local community and large organized group needs. Limited funding during the first decade will be used first to maintain or replace existing facilities and then, if sufficient, to construct additional sites.

Trails and trailheads will be maintained, reconstructed or constructed to provide the best combination of desired recreation opportunities. Some roads will be closed to mitigate adverse soil and watershed impacts.

#2. MANAGING RECREATION USE

The planned action is to provide desired recreation opportunities and manage use now and as it increases. More funding will improve management and conformance with laws and regulations. Better managed use will reduce conflicts and mitigate resource damage. Opportunities for ORV use are provided, as are opportunities for nonmotorized recreation.

The four recreation opportunity spectrum classes that the forest can provide are Semi-Primitive Nonmotorized, Semi-Primitive Motorized, Roaded Natural and Rural. These will be managed to accomodate projected increase in demand. A reserve of Semi-Primitive Nonmotorized acres will be retained for the future.

#3. MINERAL AND ENERGY DEVELOPMENT

Mineral management stipulations for lands under the jurisdiction of the Forest Service are found in Appendix H of this plan. They establish requirements for conducting all operations to sustain good land management. Approximately 97 percent of the Forest will be open to mineral exploration and development under the mining and leasing laws. Appendix O lists the coal bearing lands within the Forest that are acceptable for further consideration for coal leasing.

#4. LIVESTOCK AND WILDLIFE FORAGE RESOURCE

Grazing capacity will decrease to 131,400 AUM's by 2000. Permitted use would be made compatible with grazing capacity. An upward trend will result from improved administration and range improvements. Common use of winter game range by wildlife and livestock will continue. Adequate forage will be available to meet big game needs.

#5. WILDLIFE AND FISH HABITAT FOR GAME AND NONGAME SPECIES

Current habitat of threatened and endangered species will be maintained. No adverse effects from management activities will be allowed. Fisheries habitat will be significantly improved. Big game winter range will be enhanced. Non-game habitat improvement will be emphasized in some management areas. Hunted and fished management indicator species will increase in numbers over current levels.

#6. ROAD SYSTEM EXPANSION AND CLOSURES

Expansion of the arterial and collector road system will be minimal in future decades. The only significant expansion of the local road system will be to accommodate timber sales and mineral activities. However, the present system will be systematically improved with road betterment funds.

Area closures will be implemented yearlong on 108,00 acres and seasonal road closures will be applied where resource damage could be sustained. In addition, seasonal area closures will be implemented on some 67,000 acres of big-game winter range.

Accomplishment of planned road improvements, area closures, and road closures will satisfy this planning problem.

#7. COMMERCIAL AND FUELWOOD TIMBER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

Timber sales will remain at 3.0 MMBF annually over the first decade. Beginning in the second decade, sales will increase to 8.3 MMBF annually. These sale programs both include 60 to 70 acres per year of aspen. If an aspen market develops, the forest potentially could harvest approximately 2350 acres of aspen annually.

The largest percentage of firewood will come from chainings, residual logging debris, and standing dead trees. Slightly over 38,500 cords (19,280 MMBF) of firewood can be harvested annually in the first decade. Personal and commercial use sales can increase to 51,200 cords (25,600 MMBF) for the balance of the planning period.

#8. WATERSHED CONDITION, WATER QUALITY, AND WATER PRODUCTION

About 300 acres per year of watershed improvement projects will be accomplished through the first decade. This will increase to 414 acres per year in later decades. Water yield may increase by 177 acre feet per year through timber harvest. About 20 percent of this will be delivered to the Colorado River drainage. Over the long term, soil loss will decrease as goals of management activities are met. This will improve water quality and watershed condition. Short term impacts will result from road construction and timber harvest. Existing riparian habitat will be maintained and conditions improved.

#9. MIXED PUBLIC AND PRIVATE LAND OWNERSHIP

Lands to be considered for acquisition and disposal have been identified in the Land Adjustment Plan, Appendix K to this plan. Needed rights-of-ways are identified in the Right-of-Way Plan, Appendix N to this plan. Accomplishment of the action items in the above plans will resolve this issue.

#10. WILDERNESS RECOMMENDATIONS TO CONGRESS

Public Law 98-428, The Utah Wilderness Act of 1984, resolved this planning problem for the Forests in Utah. No further evaluation will be conducted of released lands until the plan is revised in the next iteration, about 10 years after implementation. No Wilderness areas were designated on the Fishlake by the Utah wilderness Act. Much of the existing roadless area will remain in an undeveloped state at the time of the next planning sequence and will be reconsidered for wilderness proposals at that time.

CHAPTER IV

MANAGEMENT DIRECTION

A. IMPLEMENTATION

This Forest Land and Resource Management Plan provides long-range management direction for the Fishlake National Forest.

As soon as practicable after the Plan is approved, the Forest Supervisor will ensure that, subject to valid existing rights, all outstanding and future permit and other occupancy and use documents which affect National Forest System lands are consistent with the Plan. The management direction contained in the Forest Plan is used in analyzing proposals by prospective Forest users. All permits, contracts, and other instruments for occupancy and use of the National Forest System lands covered by this Plan must be consistent with the Management Area Direction sections. This is required by 16 USC 1604(i) and 36 CFR 219.10(e).

Subsequent administrative activities affecting National Forest System lands, including budget proposals, shall be based on the Plan. The Forest Supervisor may change proposed implementation schedules to reflect differences between proposed annual budgets and actual funds received. Schedule changes resulting from the budget appropriation process will be considered an amendment to the Forest Plan. The final annual budget allocation for the National Forest will serve as documentation of the amendment. Changes resulting from the budget appropriation process shall not be considered a significant amendment, and will not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Budget changes which, over time, significantly alter the long-term relationships between levels of multiple use goods and services projected in the Forest Plan will be evaluated in conjunction with the update of RPA Program every five years and may result in an amendment or revision of the Forest Plan.

Management direction is expressed in terms of both Forest Direction and Management Area Direction. Forest Direction consists of goals, objectives, and management requirements which are generally applicable to the entire Forest. Management Area Direction contains management requirements specific to individual areas within the Forest and is applied in addition to the Forest Direction Management Requirements. Management direction responds to public issues, management concerns, and opportunities within the availability, suitability, and capability of the land and resources.

Implementation of this management direction is the key to translating the goals, objectives, and management requirements stated in the Forest Plan into on-the-ground results. The Forest Plan is implemented through the program development, budgeting, and annual work planning processes. These processes supplement the Forest Plan and make the annual adjustments and changes needed to reflect current priorities within the overall management direction contained in the Plan.

The Forest Plan guides development of multi-year implementation programs for each Ranger District. The Plan's management area direction, objectives and management requirements are translated into these multi-year program budget proposals, which specifically identify the activities and expenditures necessary to achieve the direction provided by the Forest Plan. These implementation programs form the basis for the Forest's annual program budget.

Upon approval of the final budget appropriation for the Forest, the annual program of work is completed and implemented on the ground. The annual work plan provides the detail to the program budget proposals necessary to guide the land managers and their staffs in responding to the direction of the Forest Plan. The activity files in the data base and the Program Accounting and Management Attainment Reporting System provide the information on monitoring the accomplishment of the annual Forest program.

Environmental assessments and environmental impact statements, when needed, will supplement the Forest Plan Environmental Impact Statement. Future environmental analyses will be directed by the Forest Plan. Additional detail will be included in the environmental documents for future project level decisions.

The management direction in this chapter is composed of two major parts: Forest Direction and Management Area Direction.

Forest Direction consists of goals, objectives, and management requirements. The goals and objectives provide broad overall direction regarding the type and amount of goods and services that the Forest will provide. The management requirements contained in the Forest Direction section set the minimum conditions that must be maintained while achieving the goals and objectives.

Management Area Direction consists of management area prescriptions applicable to specific management areas shown on the Forest Plan map. The management area prescriptions contain management requirements specifying which activities will be implemented to achieve the goals and objectives. Management requirements contained in individual management area prescriptions are applied to the specific areas shown on the management area map in the back of this document.

B. FOREST DIRECTION

1. GOALS

The following goals are concise statements describing a desired condition to be achieved sometime in the future. They are expressed in broad, general terms and are timeless in that they have no specific date by which they are to be completed. These goal statements are the principal basis for the objectives listed later in this chapter. These goals respond to the Planning Questions listed in Chapter III as well as appropriate laws, regulations, and policies.

The goals of the Forest Plan are:

Diversity

- Integrate vegetation management with resource management to maintain productivity and provide for diversity of plant and animal communities.

Recreation

- Provide motorized recreation opportunities.
- Bring off-road vehicle (ORV) use into harmony with land capability.
- Provide for non-motorized recreation opportunities in selected areas.
- Manage the land and activities on it, including visitor use, to achieve desired physical and social recreation settings.
- Provide additional sites and facilities on the Forest.
- Provide trailhead (motorized and non-motorized use) with facilities for winter and summer use.
- Provide and manage opportunities for winter recreation uses.
- Inform the public about physical, historic and resource management activities of the Fishlake National Forest.
- Provide a trail system for public and resource needs.
- Encourage private enterprise to provide needed recreation services not traditionally supplied by the Forest Service.

Cultural

- Identify, protect, interpret, and manage significant cultural resources on the Fishlake National Forest.
- Work with other agencies to protect and interpret the outstanding cultural resources of the Fremont people in Clear Creek Canyon.

Visual Resources

- Manage resource activities to meet visual quality objectives.

Wildlife and Fish

- Protect aquatic habitats which are in good or excellent condition and improve habitats where ecological conditions are below biological potential.
- Coordinate wildlife and fish habitat management with State, other Federal and local agencies.

- Identify and improve habitat for sensitive, threatened and endangered species including participation in recovery efforts for both plants and animals.
- Improve or maintain the quality of habitat on big game winter ranges.
- Determine current status and monitor trends in management indicator species and their habitats.

Range

- Provide livestock grazing consistent with range capacity and other uses.
- Maintain range lands being used by livestock in at least fair condition with stable or upward trend through the use of proper management and restoration measures.
- Encourage permittees to assume greater responsibility and latitude in managing permitted grazing use.
- Establish proper grazing capacity for each allotment.
- Assure maintenance of range structural and non-structural improvements and promote permittee investment in new structural improvements.
- Control noxious weed infestations.

Timber

- Provide wood fiber while maintaining or improving other resource values.
- Integrate aspen management into the timber management program to perpetuate the species and improve aspen quality.
- Improve the timber age class distribution and maintain species diversity.
- Manage the timber resources on lands suitable for production of saw timber and other Forest products.

Soil and Water

- Identify needs and obtain water rights, including consumptive and non-consumptive uses, following State and Forest Service procedures.
- Maintain water quality to meet State standards.
- Manage municipal watersheds to protect quality of water supplies.
- Maintain productive streams, lakes, and riparian areas and mitigate hazards on floodplains.

- Maintain or improve current soil productivity and restore areas with watershed problems.

Minerals

- Protect surface resources and environmental quality.
- Encourage mineral exploration, development and extraction consistent with management of surface resources.
- Coordinate minerals management with State and other Federal agencies.
- Inventory geologic hazards and ground water resources.

Special Uses

- Manage Land Uses to insure permit compliance and resource protection.

Rights-of-Way

- Acquire necessary rights-of-way to facilitate access to National Forest system lands.

Facilities

- Install a forest telecommunications system.
- Develop and implement a plan to manage Forest Administrative Sites.
- Construct, reconstruct and maintain roads to facilitate safe access and management of the Forest.
- Develop and implement a road management system.

Human and Community Development

- Provide opportunities for community stability and development in harmony with Forest resources and activities.
- Provide equal employment opportunities for women, minorities, the elderly and the handicapped.
- Encourage the use of volunteers in all National Forest Programs.

Protection

- Use prescribed fire to reduce fuel buildup and meet resource objectives.
- Maintain air quality to comply with Federal and State laws.
- Prevent and control insect infestation and disease.
- Provide cost-effective (level of) fire protection.

- Provide law enforcement to protect Forest values, human life and property.

Lands

- Develop an effective lands adjustment program for the Forest.
- Locate and post Forest property boundaries.

Research

- Assist in the establishment and management of research natural areas.

2. OBJECTIVES

The following objectives, along with the projected outputs, benefits, and costs listed in Table IV-1, are concise, time specific, measurable results that respond to the goals listed earlier in this chapter. In addition to the objectives and projected outputs, Appendices A through R list projects and activities that are an integral part of plan implementation.

Other objectives of Forest Management are to:

- a. Complete reinventory of Visual Quality Objectives within 5 years of plan implementation.
- b. Design the next timber inventory to obtain additional resource information as follows:
 1. Suitability of all potential timber lands including pinyon-juniper.
 2. Firewood acreages and volumes.
 3. Adequate production information to produce yield tables by appropriate species groups i.e: aspen, spruce-fir and ponderosa pine-Douglas fir.
 4. Volume losses due to defect caused by a variety of rots in aspen, Engelmann spruce, and true fir.

Encourage development of a market whereby the extensive aspen resource on the Forest can be intensively managed and better utilized.

c. Water Rights

Congress has directed the Forest Service to administer National Forest System lands for multiple use purposes. These purposes have been stated in the Organic Administration Act, Multiple-Use Sustained-Yield Act, Wilderness Act, Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and other legislation and Executive Orders. The water needed to successfully accomplish the programs mandated by these acts and Executive Orders will be protected.

Water needed for National Forest System management but not available under State law and not meeting the Supreme Court criteria for a

reserved right under the Organic Administration Act, will be secured by citing the applicable Federal law and conditioning occupancy permits.

Whenever water rights are authorized by Federal or State law, these will be quantified, documented, and recorded. Applicable fees will be paid by the benefiting function.

A Federal reserved water right will be asserted for water needed for programs of timber management and watershed management including fire protection. A reserved right will also be used to acquire water needed in the form of instream flow sufficient to maintain stability of the stream channel for the purposes of securing favorable conditions of water flow and protecting against the loss of productive timber lands adjacent to the stream channels.

Quantification of instream flows to secure favorable conditions of water flow for the streams will be accomplished over a ten year period for the streams shown in Table Q-2. Immediate quantification will be done in support of Forest Service protests of water right applications by others and for adjudications.

- d. Complete watershed improvements in the priority listed in Table Q-3 by the year 2000.
- e. Complete abandoned mine land rehabilitation in the priority listed in Table Q-4 by the year 2000.

TABLE IV - 1
PROJECTED OUTPUTS AND COSTS OF THE FISHLAKE NATIONAL FOREST
(ANNUAL OUTPUTS, BENEFITS, AND COSTS AVERAGED FOR THE DECADE OF THE PLAN)

AVERAGE ANNUAL OUTPUT OR ACTIVITY	UNITS	OUTPUTS
RECREATION		
DEV. REC. USE		
RURAL	MRVD	269.2
RD. NAT.	MRVD	179.5
DISP. REC. USE		
RURAL	MRVD	53.9
RD. NAT.	MRVD	473.0
S.P. MOT.	MRVD	151.9
S.P.N. MOT.	MRVD	11.7
WILDLIFE		
STRUCT. HAB. IMP.	STRUC	567
NSTRUCT. HAB. IMP.	M. AC.	.418
WLD. & FISH USE	MWFUD	187.9
RANGE		
GRAZING USE	M AUM	133.5
TIMBER ANNUAL SALE QUANTITY	MMBF ^{1/}	3.0
SAW. T. SOFTWOOD	MMCF	.54
SAW. T. HARDWOOD	MMCF	.06
ROUNDWOOD PRODUCTS	MCF	0
FUELWOOD	MCF	2410
REFORESTATION	M AC	.174
TSI	M AC	.50
WATER		
MGT. ST. STANDARDS	M AC FT	611.0
INCR. OVER NAT.	M AC FT	.177
PROTECTION		
FUEL BKS. & TRT.	ACRES	0
MINERALS		
LEASES & PERMITS	CASES	200
HC&D		
HUMAN RES. PROG.	ENRY'S	13

LANDS		
PUR. & ACQ.	ACRES	110
SOILS		
S. & WAT. RES. IMP.	AC	300
FACILITIES		
TRAIL CONST./RECONST.	MILES	1.1
ROAD CONST./RECONST. (ART. & COLLECT)	MILES	0
RD. BETTERMENT	MILES	13.0
LOCAL RD. CONST.	MILES	0.1
LOCAL RD. RCONST.	MILES	0.1
TM PURCH. RD. CONST.	MILES	6.2
TM PURCH. RD. RCONST.	MILES	0
AVERAGE ANNUAL BENEFITS		

RECREATION		
DEVELOPED	M \$	18871.1
DISPERSED	M \$	3879.4
RANGE	M \$	1586.0
TIMBER	M \$	1001.7
WILDLIFE (WFUDS)	M \$	4594.1
WATER YIELD INCREASE	M \$	10.3
MINERALS	M \$	9292.7
AVERAGE ANNUAL COST		

TOTAL FOREST BUDGET ^{2/}	M \$/YR	4766.6
FIXED COSTS		
PROTECTION	M \$/YR	576.0
GEN. ADMIN.	M \$/YR	407.0
VARIABLE COSTS		
INVESTMENTS ^{3/}	M \$	856.5
TOT. RDS.	M \$	195.6
APP. FUND RDS.	M \$	58.1
PURCH. CREDIT. RDS. ^{4/}	M \$	137.5
OPERATIONAL	M \$	2352.4
GENERAL ADMIN.	M \$	424.6
NON-F.S. COSTS	M \$	4766.6
RETURNS TO TRES.	M \$	9629.1

- 1/ BOARD FOOT/CUBIC FOOT RATIOS: SAWTIMBER 5 TO 1, FUELWOOD 4 TO 1.
2/ DOES NOT INCLUDE NON-F.S. PURCHASER CREDIT ROADS NOR HUMAN RESOURCE PROGRAMS.
3/ DOES NOT INCLUDE ROAD COSTS.
4/ INCLUDES F.S. ENGINEERING COSTS.

C. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

The management requirements in this Forest Direction Section set the baseline conditions that must be maintained throughout the Forest in carrying out this Forest Plan. They establish the environmental quality requirements, natural and depletable resource requirements, and mitigating measures that apply to all areas of the Forest. Any necessary additions to them are included in the management requirement for the individual management areas. The management requirements listed in the Management Area Direction section are applied in addition to those in this section. Substantive changes which alter the intent of these management requirements may not be made without amending or revising the Forest Plan. Editorial and other minor modifications to these management requirements which do not alter their intent may be made without amending or revising the Forest Plan.

Management requirements are presented in three columns: Management Activities, General Direction Statements, and Standards and Guidelines.

Management Activities are work processes that are conducted to produce, enhance, or maintain levels of outputs, or to achieve administrative and environmental quality objectives. Management Activities are identified by a code number and title defined in the Management Information Handbook (FSH 1309.11) dated July, 1980. In some cases, management activities were grouped under one activity when it was not appropriate to develop separate requirements. National Forest System lands will be managed to comply with Laws, Regulations, Executive Orders, direction in the Forest Service Manual, and Regional Acceptable Work Standards.

General Direction Statements specify the actions, measures, or treatments (management practices) to be done when implementing the management activity or the condition expected to exist after the general direction is implemented.

Standards and Guidelines are quantifications of the acceptable limits within which the general direction is implemented.

Management requirements included in overall Forest Direction are detailed on the following pages.

C. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
DIVERSITY ON NATIONAL FORESTS (A00)	1. MAINTAIN STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY OF VEGETATION ON MANAGEMENT AREAS DOMINATED BY FORESTED ECOSYSTEMS.	a. MAINTAIN OR ESTABLISH A MINIMUM OF 20 PERCENT OF THE FORESTED AREA WITHIN A UNIT TO PROVIDE VERTICAL DIVERSITY. b. MAINTAIN OR ESTABLISH A MINIMUM OF 30 PERCENT OF THE FORESTED AREA WITHIN A UNIT TO PROVIDE HORIZONTAL DIVERSITY. c. IN FORESTED AREAS OF A UNIT, 5 PERCENT OR MORE SHOULD BE IN OLD-GROWTH AND 5 PERCENT OR MORE SHOULD BE IN GRASS/FORB STAGES. d. IN FORESTED UNITS, CREATE OR MODIFY CREATED OPENINGS SO THEY HAVE A PATTON EDGE- SHAPE INDEX OF AT LEAST 1.4 AND HAVE AT LEAST A MEDIUM-EDGE CONTRAST.
	2. MANAGE MEDIUM-CONTRAST EDGES CREATED IN MANAGEMENT AREAS DOMINATED BY GRASSLAND OR SHRUBLAND.	a. CREATE OPENINGS WITH PATTON EDGE-SHAPE INDEX OF AT LEAST 1.4.
	3. IN FORESTED ECOSYSTEMS, MAINTAIN SNAGS WELL DISTRIBUTED OVER THE ECOSYSTEM.	a. FSM 2631, FISHLAKE SUPPLEMENT NO. 1, FOR SNAG MANAGEMENT. b. FOLLOW DIRECTION IN FSM 2631, R-4 SUPPLEMENT 26, FOR DOWN-DEAD LOGS.
	4. MANAGE ASPEN FOR RETENTION WHERE NEEDED FOR WILDLIFE, WATERSHED OR ESTHETIC PURPOSES	

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
CONTINUATION OF:	5. MANAGE SERAL ASPEN STANDS FOR A DIVERSITY OF AGE CLASSES.	
	6. ASSIST IN THE ESTABLISHMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF RESEARCH NATURAL AREAS.	
CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (AO2)	1. PROTECT, FIND AN ADAPTIVE USE FOR, OR ENHANCE ALL CULTURAL RESOURCES ON NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM (NFS) LANDS WHICH ARE LISTED ON OR ARE ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES.	a. FOLLOW DIRECTION IN FOREST SERVICE MANUAL (FSM) 2360.
	2. NOMINATE SIGNIFICANT CULTURAL RESOURCE SITES TO THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES.	a. COMPLETE DEVELOPMENT AND PROTECTION PLANS WITHIN ONE YEAR OF A SITE BEING PLACED ON THE NATIONAL REGISTER.
	3. PROTECT ALL NATIONAL FOREST CULTURAL RESOURCES.	a. FOLLOW DIRECTION IN FOREST SERVICE MANUAL (FSM) 2360.
	A. COMPLETE CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEYS PRIOR TO ANY FEDERAL UNDERTAKING WHICH COULD EFFECT SIGNIFICANT CULTURAL RESOURCES.	
	B. AVOID DISTURBANCE OF CULTURAL RESOURCES UNTIL EVALUATED AND UNTIL APPROPRIATE ADVERSE EFFECT MITIGATION PROCEDURES ARE EFFECTED FOR SIGNIFICANT PROPERTIES.	
	4. ENCOURAGE RESEARCH AND INTERPRETATION OF SIGNIFICANT CULTURAL PROPERTIES.	a. FOLLOW DIRECTION IN FOREST SERVICE MANUAL (FSM) 2360.
VISUAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (AO4)	1. APPLY THE VISUAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TO ALL NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM (NFS) LANDS.	a. FOLLOW DIRECTION PROVIDED IN FSM 2380 AND FSH 2309.16 THROUGH FSH 2309.25.
	TRAVEL ROUTES, USE AREAS AND WATER BODIES DETERMINED TO BE OF PRIMARY IMPORTANCE ARE SENSITIVITY LEVEL 1 AND APPROPRIATE VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVES ARE ESTABLISHED ACCORDING TO THE VISUAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.	

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
VISUAL RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(A04)

2. REHABILITATE ALL EXISTING PROJECTS AND AREAS WHICH DO NOT MEET THE ADOPTED VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVE(S) (VQO) SPECIFIED FOR EACH MANAGEMENT AREA. SET PRIORITIES FOR REHABILITATION, CONSIDERING THE FOLLOWING:
 - A. RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF THE AREA AND THE AMOUNT OF DEVIATION FROM THE ADOPTED VQO. FOREGROUND AREAS HAVE HIGHEST PRIORITY;
 - B. BENEFITS TO OTHER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES TO ACCOMPLISH REHABILITATION.
 - C. LENGTH OF TIME IT WILL TAKE NATURAL PROCESSES TO REDUCE THE VISUAL IMPACTS SO THAT THEY MEET THE ADOPTED VQO; AND
 - D. LENGTH OF TIME IT WILL TAKE REHABILITATION MEASURES TO MEET THE ADOPTED VQO.
3. ACHIEVE ENHANCEMENT OF LANDSCAPES THROUGH ADDITION, SUBTRACTION OR ALTERATION OF ELEMENTS OF THE LANDSCAPE SUCH AS VEGETATION, ROCKFORM, WATER FEATURES OR STRUCTURES. EXAMPLES OF THESE INCLUDE:
 - A. ADDITION OF VEGETATION SPECIES TO INTRODUCE UNIQUE FORM, COLOR OR TEXTURE TO EXISTING VEGETATION
 - B. VEGETATION MANIPULATION TO OPEN UP VISTAS OR SCREEN OUT UNDESIRABLE VIEWS.
4. PLAN, DESIGN AND LOCATE VEGETATION MANIPULATION IN A SCALE WHICH RETAINS THE COLOR AND TEXTURE OF THE CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE, BORROWING DIRECTIONAL EMPHASIS OF FORM AND LINE FROM NATURAL FEATURES.

a. MEET THE VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVES OF RETENTION AND PARTIAL RETENTION ONE FULL GROWING SEASON AFTER COMPLETION OF A PROJECT. MEET MODIFICATION AND MAXIMUM MODIFICATION OBJECTIVES THREE FULL GROWING SEASONS AFTER COMPLETION OF A PROJECT.

b. DETERMINE SENSITIVITY LEVELS IN ACCORDANCE WITH FSH 2309.16, AGRICULTURE HANDBOOK NO. 462, VOL. 2, CHAPTER 1; SENSITIVITY LEVELS.

FOREST DIRECTION

STANDARDS & GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
VISUAL RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(A04)

5. BLEND SOIL DISTURBANCE INTO NATURAL TOPOGRAPHY TO ACHIEVE A NATURAL APPEARANCE, REDUCE EROSION AND REHABILITATE GROUND COVER.

6. REVEGETATE DISTURBED SOILS. IN LARGE PROJECTS THIS MAY HAVE TO BE DONE IN STAGES.

a. REVEGETATE DISTURBED SOILS BY THE GROWING SEASON FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT.

7. CHOOSE FACILITY AND STRUCTURE DESIGN, COLOR OF MATERIALS, LOCATION AND ORIENTATION TO MEET THE ADOPTED VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVE(S) FOR THE MANAGEMENT AREA.

RECREATION
FACILITY
AND SITE
CONSTRUCTION AND
RECONSTRUCTION
(A05 AND 06)

1. PROVIDE APPROPRIATE DEVELOPMENT FACILITIES WHERE THE PRIVATE SECTOR IS NOT MEETING THE DEMAND.

2. PROVIDE COST-EFFECTIVE DEVELOPED RECREATION FACILITIES WHICH COMPLEMENT NON-FOREST SERVICE DEVELOPMENTS.

3. PROVIDE FACILITIES WHICH ARE ACCESSIBLE TO HANDICAPPED PERSONS.

4. FACILITIES PROPOSED FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION WHICH LIE WITHIN IDENTIFIED 100-YEAR FLOODPLAINS WILL BE EVALUATED AS TO THE SPECIFIC FLOOD HAZARDS AND VALUES INVOLVED WITH THE SITE. VIABLE ALTERNATIVES WILL BE THOROUGHLY EVALUATED.

a. FOLLOW PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES IN FSM 2527.04C.

5. PAST AND PROBABLE FLOOD HEIGHTS IN INVENTORIED 100-YEAR FLOODPLAINS WILL BE POSTED TO PROVIDE VISIBLE WARNINGS TO THE USING PUBLIC ABOUT POSSIBLE PERIODIC FLOODING.

a. FOLLOW PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES IN FSM 2527.6.

RECREATION
FACILITY AND
SITE MANAGEMENT
(A08, 09, 11 &
13)

1. CONSTRUCT, RECONSTRUCT AND MAINTAIN DEVELOPED SITES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ESTABLISHED RECREATION OPPORTUNITY SPECTRUM (ROS) CLASSIFICATION FOR THE MANAGEMENT AREA.

a. STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES	
ROS CLASS*	SITE DEVELOPMENT SCALE**
P	NOT TO EXCEED 1

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
RECREATION
FACILITY AND
SITE MANAGEMENT
(A08, 09, 11 &
13)

SPNM NOT TO EXCEED 2
SPM NOT TO EXCEED 2
RN CLASS 3 OR 4
R CLASS 3 OR 4
U CLASS 5

• P = PRIMITIVE
SPNM = SEMI-PRIMITIVE NON-
MOTORIZED
SPM = SEMI-PRIMITIVE
MOTORIZED
RN = ROADED NATURAL
R = RURAL
U = URBAN
** FSM 2331.47

2. MANAGE DEVELOPMENT SCALE 3 AND 4 SITES FOR
FULL SERVICE WHEN AT LEAST ONE OF THE FOLLOWING
ARE MET:

- A. A CAMPGROUND IS DESIGNATED AS A FEE SITE;
- B. MORE THAN 20 PERCENT OF THEORETICAL CAPACITY
IS BEING UTILIZED;
- C. A GROUP CAMPGROUND OR PICNIC GROUND HAS A
RESERVATION SYSTEM AND/OR USER FEE; OR
- D. THE SITE IS A SWIMMING SITE, A BOATING SITE
WITH A CONSTRUCTED RAMP, OR A STAFFED VISITOR
INFORMATION CENTER.

a. FSM 2331.47

RECREATION
OPPORTUNITIES
AND USE
ADMINISTRATION
(A14 AND 15)

1. PROVIDE RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES IN
ACCORDANCE WITH THE ESTABLISHED RECREATION OPPORTUNITY
SPECTRUM (ROS) CLASSIFICATION FOR THE MANAGEMENT AREA.

2. CLOSE OR REHABILITATE DISPERSED SITES WHERE
UNACCEPTABLE ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE IS OCCURRING.

a. CLOSE SITES THAT CANNOT BE
MAINTAINED IN FRISSELL CONDITION
CLASS 1, 2, OR 3 (FRISSELL, S.S.
1978).

b. REHABILITATE SITES THAT
ARE IN FRISSELL CONDITION
CLASS 4.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
CONTINUATION OF: RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES AND USE ADMINISTRATION (A14 AND 15)	3. MANAGE SOCIAL SETTING SO AS TO NOT EXCEED THE ESTABLISHED ROS PAOT/ACRE CAPACITY. MANAGE USE OF TRAILS TO NOT EXCEED THE ESTABLISHED PAOT/MILE OF TRAIL GUIDELINES.	a. STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES. ----- RECREATION USE AND CAPACITY RANGE DURING THE SNOW-FREE PERIOD (PAOT/ACRE): ----- TRAIL USE AND CAPACITY RANGE (PAOT/MILE OF TRAIL): ----- CAPACITY RANGE * USE VERY MODER- LEVEL LOW LOW ATE HIGH ----- ROS CLASS - PRIMITIVE ----- ON TRAILS 0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 PAOT/MILE ----- AREA WIDE PAOT/ACRE .001 .002 .007 .025 ----- ROS CLASS - SEMI-PRIMITIVE NONMOTORIZED ----- ON TRAILS 2.0 3.0 9.0 11.0 PAOT/MILE ----- AREA-WIDE PAOT/ACRE .004 .008 .05 .08 ----- ROS CLASS - SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED ----- ON TRAILS 2.0 3.0 9.0 11.0 PAOT/MILE ----- AREA-WIDE PAOT/ACRE .004 .008 .05 .08 ----- ROS CLASS - ROADED NATURAL ----- ON TRAILS - - - - PAOT/MILE ----- AREA-WIDE PAOT/ACRE .04 .08 1.2 2.5

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
RECREATION
OPPORTUNITIES
AND USE
ADMINISTRATION
(A14 AND 15)

ROS CLASS - RURAL

DO NOT EXCEED DESIGNED CAPACITY

REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVEL CO-
EFFICIENTS AS NECESSARY TO REFLECT
USABLE ACRES, PATTERNS OF USE, AND
GENERAL ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE
SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT AREA TYPE AS
DESCRIBED IN THE ROS USERS GUIDE,
CHAPTER 25.

REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVELS WHERE
UNACCEPTABLE CHANGES TO THE BIO-
PHYSICAL RESOURCES WILL OCCUR.

- VERY LOW APPLIES TO ALPINE.
LOW APPLIES TO ROCK, MTN. GRASS,
AND CLEARCUTS 1-20 YEARS OLD.
MODERATE APPLIES TO
MTN. GRASS, PP SIZE
CLASS 9,8 AND 7, DF SIZE CLASS
9,8 AND 7, ASPEN SIZE CLASS 9,
SF SIZE CLASS 7, SHELTERWOOD
CUTS 90-120 YEARS OLD, SELECT-
ION CUTS 1-20 YEARS OLD AND
CLEARCUTS 80-120 YEARS OLD.
HIGH APPLIES TO SF SIZE CLASS
9 AND 8,
ASPEN SIZE CLASS 8 AND 7 AND
CLEARCUTS 20-80 YEARS OLD.

4. LIMIT USE WITHIN A MINIMUM OF 100 FEET FROM
LAKES AND STREAMS WHERE RESOURCE DEGRADATION IS
OCCURRING.

- a. CLOSE AREAS THAT CANNOT BE
MAINTAINED IN FRISSELL CONDITION
CLASS 1 AND 2. FRISSELL, SS 1978.

RECREATION
MANAGEMENT
(PRIVATE AND
OTHER PUBLIC
SECTOR)
(A16)

1. ISSUE PERMITS FOR RECREATIONAL SITES OR ACTIVITIES
WHEN IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST. THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
PROCESS WILL BE UTILIZED TO DETERMINE PUBLIC INTEREST
AND NEED. APPLICATIONS WILL BE DENIED OR PERMITS
ELIMINATED IF THE PUBLIC INTEREST IS COMPROMISED.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------

CONTINUATION OF:
RECREATION
MANAGEMENT
(PRIVATE AND
OTHER PUBLIC
SECTOR)
(A16)
WILDLIFE AND
FISH RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(C01)

2. MANAGE OUTFITTER-GUIDE OPERATIONS IN THE SAME MANNER AS OTHER VISITORS. PERMIT CAMPING ONLY IN SITES SPECIFIED IN OUTFITTER-GUIDE PERMITS. KEEP OUTFITTER-GUIDE ACTIVITIES HARMONIOUS WITH ACTIVITIES OF NON-GUIDED VISITORS. INCLUDE OUTFITTER-GUIDE OPERATIONS IN CALCULATIONS OF LEVEL-OF-USE CAPACITIES.

1. THE FOLLOWING SPECIES ARE THE FISHLAKE NATIONAL FOREST MANAGEMENT INDICATOR SPECIES:

MIS	INDICATOR HABITAT
MACROINVERTEBRATES	STREAMS
RESIDENT TROUT	PONDS & STREAMS
BONNEVILLE CUTTHROAT TROUT	STREAMS
ELK	GENERAL
MULE DEER	GENERAL
SAGE NESTERS	SAGEBRUSH
RIPARIAN GUILD	RIPARIAN COMMUNITIES
GOSHAWK	CONIFER (OLD GROWTH)
CAVITY NESTERS	CONIFER-ASPEN (SNAGS)
RYDBERG MILKVETCH	MID TO UPPER ELEVATION HARSH SITES

2. MAINTAIN HABITAT FOR VIABLE POPULATIONS OF EXISTING VERTEBRATE WILDLIFE SPECIES.

3. ALLOW FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF ELK AND OTHER DESIRABLE SPECIES ON SITES THAT CAN SUPPLY THE HABITAT NEEDS OF THE SPECIES.

4. MANAGE WATERS CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING SELF-SUSTAINING TROUT POPULATIONS TO PROVIDE FOR THOSE POPULATIONS.

a. HABITAT FOR EACH SPECIES ON THE FOREST WILL BE MAINTAINED BY PROTECTING AT LEAST 40 PERCENT OF THE ECOSYSTEMS FOR EXISTING SPECIES. PROPER JUXTAPOSITION OF ECOSYSTEMS MUST BE CONSIDERED.

a. MAINTAIN 40% OR MORE OF OVERHANGING GRASSES, FORBS, SEDGES, AND SHRUBS ALONG BANKS OF STREAMS.
B. MAINTAIN 50% OR MORE OF TOTAL STREAMBANK LENGTH IN STABLE CONDITION WHERE NATURAL CONDITIONS ALLOW.
SEE PFANKUCH, 1978, FOR STABILITY

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
WILDLIFE AND
FISH RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(C01)

RATING.
C. NO MORE THAN 25% OF STREAM
SUBSTRATE SHOULD BE COVERED BY
INORGANIC SEDIMENT LESS THAN
3.2 MM IN SIZE WHERE NATURAL
CONDITIONS ALLOW. USE R-4 GAWS
AQUATIC HABITAT SURVEYS HANDBOOK,
OR R-1 COWFISH HABITAT CAPABILITY
MODEL.
D. MAINTAIN A BIOLOGIC CONDITION
INDEX (BCI) OF 75 OR GREATER.

5. MANAGE AND PROVIDE HABITAT FOR RECOVERY OF
ENDANGERED AND THREATENED SPECIES.

6. DO NOT ALLOW ACTIVITIES OR PRACTICES THAT WOULD
NEGATIVELY IMPACT ENDANGERED, THREATENED, OR SENSITIVE
PLANT OR ANIMAL SPECIES.

a. FOLLOW DIRECTION IN RECOVERY
PLANS.

1. USE BOTH COMMERCIAL AND NONCOMMERCIAL SILVICULTURAL
PRACTICES TO ACCOMPLISH WILDLIFE HABITAT OBJECTIVES.

a. IN FORESTED AREAS, MAINTAIN
DEER OR ELK HIDING COVER ON 60
PERCENT OR MORE OF THE PERIMETER
OF ALL NATURAL OPENINGS, ALL
CREATED OPENINGS AND ALONG AT
LEAST 75 PERCENT OF THE EDGE
OF ARTERIAL AND COLLECTOR ROADS
AND 40 PERCENT ALONG STREAMS
AND RIVERS.

b. IN MANAGEMENT AREAS DOMINATED
BY FORESTED ECOSYSTEMS, MAINTAIN A
MINIMUM OF 40 PERCENT OF THE
VEGETATION IN DEER OR ELK
HIDING COVER. THIS HIDING COVER
SHOULD BE WELL DISTRIBUTED OVER
THE UNIT. ONE HALF OF THE
HIDING COVER SHOULD ALSO BE
THERMAL COVER WHERE BIOLOGICALLY
FEASIBLE.

c. IN MANAGEMENT AREAS DOMI-
NATED BY NON-FORESTED ECO-
SYSTEMS, MAINTAIN DEER AND

WILDLIFE
HABITAT
IMPROVEMENT AND
MAINTENANCE
(C02, 04, 05
AND 06)

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
WILDLIFE
HABITAT
IMPROVEMENT AND
MAINTENANCE
(C02, 04, 05
AND 06)

ELK HIDING COVER AS FOLLOWS:

% OF UNIT FORESTED	% OF FORESTED AREA IN COVER
35-50	AT LEAST 50%
20-34	AT LEAST 60%
LESS THAN 20	AT LEAST 75%

THESE LEVELS MAY BE EXCEEDED
TEMPORARILY DURING PERIODS
WHEN STANDS ARE BEING RE-
GENERATED TO MEET THE COVER
STANDARD, OR TO CORRECT TREE
DISEASE PROBLEMS, IN ASPEN
STANDS, OR WHERE WINDTHROW OR
WILDFIRE OCCURRED. MAINTAIN
HIDING COVER ALONG AT LEAST 75
PERCENT OF THE EDGE OF ARTERIAL
AND COLLECTOR ROADS, AND AT
LEAST 60 PERCENT ALONG STREAMS
AND RIVERS, WHERE TREES OCCUR.

d. ALTER AGE CLASSES OF BROWSE
STANDS IN A MANAGEMENT AREA, NO
MORE THAN 30 PERCENT WITHIN A
TEN-YEAR PERIOD.

2. IMPROVE HABITAT CAPABILITY THROUGH DIRECT TREATMENTS
OF VEGETATION, SOIL, AND WATERS.

3. COORDINATE HABITAT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS WITH OTHER
AGENCIES AS NEEDED.

4. MAINTAIN EDGE CONTRAST OF AT LEAST MEDIUM OR HIGH
BETWEEN TREE STANDS CREATED BY EVEN-AGED MANAGEMENT.

a. CONTRAST BY AGE CLASS IS:

CONTRAST**									
AGE CLASS* O				S		S	G		
	G	M	P	S	F	R	A		
OG	-	L	M	H	H	M	H		
M	L	-	M	M	H	M	H		

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
WILDLIFE
HABITAT
IMPROVEMENT AND
MAINTENANCE
(C02, 04, 05
AND 06)

P	M	M	-	M	H	M	H
SSS	H	M	M	-	L	L	L
GF	H	H	H	L	-	M	L
SHR	M	M	M	L	M	-	M
GRA	H	H	H	L	L	M	-

• OG = OLD GROWTH
M = MATURE
P = POLES
SSS = SHRUB-SEEDLING-
SAPLING
GF = GRASS-FORB
SHR = SHRUBLAND
GRA = GRASSLAND
** H = HIGH CONTRAST
M = MEDIUM CONTRAST
L = LOW CONTRAST

5. FOLLOW FISHLAKE SNAG POLICY AS STATED IN FOREST
SUPPLEMENT TO FSM 2630.

WILDLIFE AND
FISH COOPERATION
WITH OTHER
AGENCIES
(C12)

1. MANAGE ANIMAL DAMAGE IN COOPERATION WITH THE DIVISION
OF WILDLIFE RESOURCES, THE FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE,
OTHER APPROPRIATE AGENCIES, AND COOPERATORS.

a. FOLLOW DIRECTION IN THE
INTERAGENCY GUIDELINES FOR ANIMAL
DAMAGE CONTROL. FSM 2651,
SUPPLEMENT 32.

2. COORDINATE WITH U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
ON ALL MATTERS DEALING WITH DIVERSION OR MODIFICATION
OF WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES.

a. FOLLOW REQUIREMENTS OF THE
FISH AND WILDLIFE COORDINATION
ACT, AND CLEAN WATER ACT.

RANGE RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(D02)

1. PROVIDE FORAGE FOR LIVESTOCK AND WILDLIFE WITHIN
RANGE CAPACITY TO SUSTAIN LOCAL DEPENDENT LIVESTOCK
INDUSTRY, AND WILDLIFE NUMBERS.

2. MANAGE LIVESTOCK AND WILD HERBIVORES FORAGE USE
BY IMPLEMENTING PROPER USE GUIDES.

a. LIVESTOCK AND WILD HERBIVORES
PROPER USE GUIDES BY GRAZING
SYSTEM ARE:
1. REST ROTATION SYSTEM:
A. UTILIZATION:
-UP TO 55 PERCENT UTIL-
IZATION OF TOTAL FORAGE (80
PERCENT UTILIZATION OF KEY
SPECIES) ON LATE USE

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
RANGE RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(D02)

- PASTURES.
-UP TO 45 PERCENT UTIL-
IZATION OF TOTAL FORAGE (70
PERCENT UTILIZATION OF KEY
SPECIES) ON EARLY USE
PASTURES.
-WILD HERBIVORES USE DURING
SPRING IN REST-PASTURES
WILL NOT EXCEED 25% UTIL-
IZATION OF KEY SPECIES.
- B. TREND OF SOIL AND
VEGETATION:
SOIL AND VEGETATION CONDI-
TION MUST HAVE A STABLE OR
UPWARD TREND EXCEPT WHERE
CONDITION IS POOR AND
AN UPWARD TREND MUST
BE MAINTAINED.
2. DEFERRED ROTATION SYSTEM/
DEFERRED SYSTEM:
A. UTILIZATION:
-UP TO 27 PERCENT
UTILIZATION OF TOTAL
FORAGE ON ALL PASTURES
GRAZED BEFORE SEED RIPE
(50 PERCENT OF KEY SPECIES)
UP TO 37 PERCENT OF TOTAL
FORAGE GRAZED AFTER SEED
RIPE (60 PERCENT OF KEY
SPECIES).
B. TREND OF SOIL AND
VEGETATION:
SAME AS REST ROTATION
SYSTEM ABOVE.
3. ROTATION SYSTEM (HIGH IN-
TENSITY/LOW FREQUENCY)
A. UTILIZATION:
UP TO 55 PERCENT UTIL-
IZATION OF TOTAL
FORAGE (80 PERCENT OF
KEY SPECIES).
B. TREND OF SOIL AND
VEGETATION:
SAME AS REST ROTATION
SYSTEM ABOVE.
4. CONTINUOUS SYSTEM (GRAZING SAME

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
RANGE RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(D02)

TIME AND PLACE EVERY YEAR):

A. UTILIZATION:

UTILIZATION OF KEY SPECIES (TOTAL FORAGE) BY CONDITION CLASS		
GOOD & EXCELLENT	FAIR	POOR AND VERY POOR
50% (27%)	40% (22%)	30% (15%)

B. TREND OF SOIL
AND VEGETATION:
SAME AS REST ROTATION
SYSTEM ABOVE.

5. ALTERNATE YEARS SYSTEM:

A. UTILIZATION:

KEY SPECIES USE (TOTAL
FORAGE) USE BY CONDITION CLASS

CONDITION CLASS	USE
GOOD-EXCELLENT	75% (50%)
FAIR	65% (40%)
POOR/VERY POOR	52% (30%)

B. TRENDS OF SOIL
AND VEGETATION:
SAME AS REST ROTATION
SYSTEM ABOVE.

3. ACHIEVE OR MAINTAIN FAIR OR BETTER RANGE CON-
DITIONS ON ALL RANGELANDS USED BY LIVESTOCK.

4. TREAT NOXIOUS WEEDS IN THE FOLLOWING PRIORITY:

- INVASION OF NEW PLANT SPECIES CLASSIFIED AS NOXIOUS WEEDS;
- INFESTATION IN NEW AREAS;
- EXPANSION OF EXISTING INFESTATIONS; AND
- REDUCE ACREAGE OF CURRENT INFESTATION.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

RANGE
IMPROVEMENT AND
MAINTENANCE
(D03, 04, 05
AND 06)

1. STRUCTURAL RANGE IMPROVEMENT SHOULD BE DESIGNED
TO BENEFIT WILDLIFE AND LIVESTOCK.

a. STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENTS AND
MAINTENANCE WILL BE IN ACCORDANCE
WITH FSH 2209.22-R4.

b. STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENTS
WILL NOT ADVERSLY AFFECT BIG
GAME MOVEMENT (FSH 2209.22).

c. WATER DEVELOPMENTS WILL BE
MODIFIED OR CONSTRUCTED TO ALLOW
SAFE ACCESS FOR WILDLIFE.

SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

1. PROVIDE FOR WILDLIFE HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND ENHANCE-
MENT OF OTHER RENEWABLE RESOURCES IN SALE AREA IMPROVEMENT
PLANS.

2. APPLY A VARIETY OF SILVICULTURAL SYSTEMS AND HARVEST
METHODS WHICH BEST MEET RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES.

a. THE APPROPRIATE HARVEST METHOD
BY FOREST COVER TYPE ARE:

	: APPROPRIATE	
	: HARVEST METHODS*	
	:	
FOREST COVER	: EVEN-	: UNEVEN
TYPE	: AGED	: AGED
	:	
PONDEROSA PINE	: SW,CC &S:	GS & ST
MIXED CONIFER **	: SW & CC	:GS & ST
ASPEN	: CC	:--
ENGELMANN SPRUCE-	:	:
SUBALPINE FIR	: SW & CC	:GS & ST

* THE FOLLOWING ABBREVIATIONS ARE
USED FOR HARVEST METHODS:

SW = SHELTERWOOD
CC = CLEARCUT
GS = GROUP SELECTION
ST = SINGLE TREE SELECTION
S = SEED TREE
** MIXED CONIFER INCLUDES
DOUGLAS FIR AND WHITE FIR.

b. UTILIZATION STANDARDS FOR

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

FOR LIVE AND DEAD MATERIAL ARE:

PRODUCTS	MIN. DBH	MIN. TOP DIA.	LENGTH (FEET)	%NET OF GROS
LIVE TIMBER ALL SPECIES LOGS	8.0	6.0	8	33-1/3
SPECIAL PRODUCTS ALL SPECIES LIVE AND DEAD PROPS	7.0	6	6	NONE
POSTS	5.0	4	6.5	NONE
CORDWOOD	4.0	-	-	NONE
DEAD TIMBER ALL SPECIES LOGS	8.0	6.0	8	33-1/3*

*PERCENT OF DEFECT FOR UTILIZATION
WILL BE BASED ON SCRIBNER D.C. LOG
RULE DEDUCTION EXCEPT DEDUCTIONS
FOR WEATHER CHECKS WILL BE LIMITED
TO PROMINENT OPEN CHECKS. DEAD
MATERIAL PRESENTED FOR SCALING
WILL BE SCALED AS PRESENTED AND
CHARGED ON THE BASIS OF GROSS
SCALE SCRIBNER DECIMAL C LOG RULE.

c. SILVICULTURAL STANDARDS BY
HARVEST METHOD:

1. CLEARCUT:

FOREST COVER TYPE	ROTATION AGE	THINNING CYCLE
ENGELMANN SPRUCE	90 TO	20 TO
SUBALPINE FIR	180 YRS	50 YRS
ASPEN	80 TO 120 YRS.	NA
OTHER	80 OR	10 TO

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

REMOVE ALL OVERSTORY WHEN
REGENERATED STAND MEETS MINIMUM
STOCKING STANDARDS.

4. SELECTION:

FOREST COVER TYPE	RESIDUAL BA	CUTTING CYCLE
SF AND MC	80 TO 120	20 TO 50 YRS.
OTHER	80 TO 120	20 TO 50 YRS.

d. TO FACILITATE THE CONTROL OF
SOIL EROSION WITHIN ACCEPTABLE
TOLERANCE:

1. ALLOW CONVENTIONAL LOGGING
EQUIPMENT ON SLOPES UP TO
40 PERCENT WHERE SOIL SURVEYS
OR SITE-SPECIFIC SOIL DATA
ARE AVAILABLE TO DESIGN
EROSION MITIGATION NEEDS.
2. UTILIZE CABLE AND AERIAL
SYSTEMS ON SLOPES OVER
40 PERCENT.

3. CLEARCUTS MAY BE APPLIED TO DWARF MISTLETOE INFECTED
STANDS OF ANY FOREST COVER TYPE.

4. ASSURE THAT ALL EVEN-AGED STANDS SCHEDULED TO BE
HARVESTED DURING THE PLANNING PERIOD WILL GENERALLY HAVE
REACHED THE CULMINATION OF MEAN ANNUAL INCREMENT OF GROWTH.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

5. THE MAXIMUM SIZE OF OPENINGS CREATED BY THE
APPLICATION OF EVEN-AGED SILVICULTURE WILL BE 40 ACRES.
EXCEPTIONS ARE:

- A. PROPOSALS FOR LARGER OPENINGS ARE SUBJECT TO A 60-DAY
PUBLIC REVIEW AND ARE APPROVED BY THE REGIONAL
FORESTER;
- B. LARGER OPENINGS ARE THE RESULT OF NATURAL CATASTROPHIC
CONDITIONS OF FIRE, INSECT OR DISEASE ATTACK,
WINDSTORM; OR
- C. THE AREA DOES NOT MEET THE DEFINITION OF CREATED OPEN-
INGS.
- D. ASPEN COVER TYPE WHERE DESIRABLE TO ASSURE REGENERATION
OR MANAGE INDIVIDUAL CLONES.

6. FOR MANAGEMENT PURPOSES, A CUT-OVER AREA IS
CONSIDERED AN OPENING UNTIL SUCH TIME AS:

- INCREASED WATER YIELD DROPS BELOW 50
PERCENT OF THE POTENTIAL INCREASE;
- FORAGE AND/OR BROWSE PRODUCTION DROPS
BELOW 40 PERCENT OF POTENTIAL PRODUCTION;
- DEER AND ELK HIDING COVER REACHES 60
PERCENT OF POTENTIAL;
- MINIMUM STOCKING STANDARDS BY FOREST
COVER TYPE AND SITE PRODUCTIVITY ARE
MET; AND
- THE AREA APPEARS AS A YOUNG FOREST RATHER
THAN A RESTOCKED OPENING, AND TAKES ON THE
APPEARANCE OF THE ADJOINING CHARACTERISTIC
LANDSCAPE.

a. SIZE OF OPENINGS:
PATCH CLEARCUTS: 1-10 ACRES
CLEARCUTS : 10-40 ACRES

a. WHEN THE VISUAL
QUALITY OBJECTIVE OF AN AREA
IS PARTIAL RETENTION, THE RE-
GENERATED STAND SHALL MEET OR
EXCEED ALL OF THE FOLLOWING
CHARACTERISTICS BEFORE A CUT-
OVER AREA IS NO LONGER CONSIDER-
ED AN OPENING:

FOREST COVER TYPE	MINIMUM STOCKING LEVEL (TREES/ ACRE)	TREE HEIGHT 1/ (% OF THE ADJACENT MATURE STAND HEIGHT)
-------------------------	--	---

PONDEROSA		
PINE		
MIXED		
CONIFERS	190	25
ENGELMANN		
SPRUCE - SUB-		
ALPINE FIR	150	25
ASPEN	300	25

FOREST COVER TYPE	CROWN CLOSURE (PERCENT)	DISTRI- BUTION 2/ (PERCENT)
-------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------

PONDEROSA	30	70%
PINE		
MIXED		

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

CONIFERS	30	75%
ENGELMANN SPRUCE- SUBALPINE FIR	30	75%
ASPEN	30	75%

- 1/ APPLIES TO TREES SPECIFIED AS
MINIMUM STOCKING LEVEL.
2/ PERCENT OF PLOTS OR TRANSECTS
THAT ARE STOCKED.

7. DEAD AND DEFECTIVE TREES WILL BE HARVESTED CONCURRENT
WITH TIMBER SALES AND FIREWOOD POLICY. TREES NEEDED FOR
WILDLIFE HABITAT WILL BE PROTECTED.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

8. ACCEPTABLE MANAGEMENT INTENSITY ACTIVITIES TO DETERMINE
HARVEST LEVELS ARE:

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY*	ENGEL- MANN SPRUCE- SUBAL- PINE FIR	PONDEROSA PINE	DOUGLAS- FIR AND WHITE FIR	AS- PEN	OTHER PINES	HARD- WOODS
TREE IMPROVEMENT	X	X	X	N	N	N
SITE PREPARATION	X	X	X	N	N	N
REFORESTATION PLANTING	X	X	X	O'	N	N
SEEDING	O	O	O	O	O	N
NATURAL REGENERATION	X	N	X	X	X	X
PROTECTION	X	X	X	N	X	X
STOCKING CONTROL (THINNING):						
PRE- COMMERCIAL	X	X	X	O	N	N
COMMERCIAL	X	X	X	O	N	X
SALVAGE OF DEAD MATERIAL	X	X	X	X	N	X
CUTTING METHODS:						
CLEARCUT	X	X	X	X	N	X
SHELTERWOOD	X	X	X	O	N	X
SELECTION	X	X	X	O	X	X

*VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF THESE ACTIVITIES PROVIDE THE
ACCEPTABLE RANGE OF MANAGEMENT INTENSITY FOR TIMBER PRO-
DUCTION (36 CFR 291.2(B)(2)).

X = APPROPRIATE PRACTICE.

O = NOT AN APPROPRIATE PRACTICE.

N = APPROPRIATE, BUT NOT A STANDARD PRACTICE.
MAY BE ACCEPTABLE WHERE JUSTIFIED.

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

9. IDENTIFY AND MANAGE SELECTED AREAS FOR CHRISTMAS TREE
PRODUCTION, PARTICULARLY IN THE WHITE FIR TYPE.

10. MAKE CHRISTMAS TREES AVAILABLE IN AREAS WHERE OTHER
RESOURCE OBJECTIVES CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED THROUGH COMMERCIAL
OR PERSONAL USE CHRISTMAS TREE SALES.

11. TIMBER MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES MAY BE CARRIED OUT ON
UNSUITABLE LANDS ONLY WHEN COMPATIBLE WITH OTHER
RESOURCE OBJECTIVES AND WHEN THEY MEET ONE OF THE
ATTACHED GUIDELINES.

a. A. SALVAGE OR SANITATION HAR-
VESTING OF TREES OR STANDS THAT
ARE SUBSTANTIALLY DAMAGED BY
FIRE, WINDTHROW, OR OTHER CATAS-
TROPHE, OR WHICH ARE IN IMMINENT
DANGER FROM INSECT OR DISEASE
ATTACK.

B. CUTTING OF INDIVIDUAL
TREES OR STANDS TO TEST LOGGING
SYSTEMS, TO CONDUCT EXPERIMENTS,
OR FOR THE PURPOSE OF GATHERING
INFORMATION ABOUT TREE GROWTH,
INSECT OR DISEASE ORGANISMS,
OR DETERMINING THE EFFECT OF
SUCH HARVESTING ON OTHER
RESOURCES.

C. CUTTING OF TREES TO
PROMOTE THE SAFETY OF FOREST
USERS, SUCH AS HAZARD-TREE
REMOVAL IN CAMPGROUNDS AND
PICNIC GROUNDS, ADMINISTRATIVE
SITES, AND ALONG ROADS OPEN TO
THE PUBLIC.

D. HARVESTING TO MEET HABI-
TAT OBJECTIVES FOR THREATENED
OR ENDANGERED ANIMAL OR PLANT
SPECIES, OR TO MAINTAIN OR
IMPROVE HABITAT FOR OTHER WILD-
LIFE OR FISH MANAGEMENT INDI-
CATOR SPECIES.

E. HARVESTING TO MEET FORAGE
PRODUCTION OBJECTIVES FOR LIVE-
STOCK.

F. HARVESTING TO IMPROVE THE
SCENIC RESOURCE BY OPENING SCE-
NIC VISTAS OR BY IMPROVING VIS-
UAL VARIETY.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(EO3, 06 & 07)

G. HARVESTING OF FUELWOOD
AND CHRISTMAS TREES.
H. HARVESTING TO PROVIDE
FOR ACCESS, SUCH AS ROAD CON-
STRUCTION.

REFORESTATION
(EO4)

1. ESTABLISH A SATISFACTORY STAND ON CUTOVER AREAS, EM-
PHASIZING NATURAL REGENERATION WITHIN FIVE YEARS AFTER
FINAL HARVEST EXCEPT:
- A. FOR PERMANENT OPENINGS THAT SERVE SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT
OBJECTIVES;
 - B. WHEN OTHER RESOURCE OBJECTIVES DICTATE A DIFFERENT
PERIOD SUCH AS HIGH INTENSITY MANAGED AREAS;
 - C. WHEN PROVIDED FOR OTHERWISE IN SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT
PRESCRIPTIONS.

a. MINIMUM STOCKING STANDARDS
BY PRODUCTIVITY AND FOREST
COVER TYPE:

FOREST COVER TYPE	SITE PROD. (CU.FT. /A/YR)	PLANTING 1/ DENSITIES (TREES/A)
SPRUCE-	85+	360-680
FIR	50-84	360-540
	20-49	300
ASPEN	ALL	---
MIXED	85+	435-680
CONIFER	50-84	435-550
	20-49	300-360
PONDEROSA	85+	435-680
PINE	50-84	435-550
	20-49	300-360
FOREST COVER TYPE	SEEDLING MIN. 2/ PER ACRE	STOCKING DESIR. 3/ PER ACRE
SPRUCE-FIR	200	340
	200	280
	150	155
ASPEN	300	600
MIXED CONIFER	205	310
	205	255
	190	240
PONDEROSA	205	310
PINE	205	255
	190	240
FOREST COVER TYPE	SEEDLING HEIGHT (INCHES)	MINIMUM DESIRED
SPRUCE-FIR	5>	18>

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
REFORESTATION
(E04)

ASPEN	12>	45>
MIXED CONIFER	5>	18>
PONDEROSA PINE	6>	18>

- 1/ LOWER DENSITIES ARE RECOMMENDED TO MEET MINIMUM STOCKING STANDARDS. HIGHER DENSITIES ARE RECOMMENDED TO MEET DESIRED STOCKING STANDARDS, WITH AMPLE STOCK FOR SELECTING GENETICALLY SUPERIOR TREES.
- 2/ MINIMUM STOCKING STANDARDS ARE TO BE USED WHERE NO PRECOMMERCIAL CUTTING WILL BE DONE, AND ONLY ONE HARVEST WILL BE MADE TO REGENERATE THE STAND.
- 3/ DESIRED STOCKING STANDARDS ARE TO BE USED WHERE AT LEAST ONE PRECOMMERCIAL CUT WILL BE DONE FOLLOWED BY TWO SAWLOG HARVESTS BEFORE THE FINAL CUT IS DONE. (ASPEN WILL HAVE ONLY ONE FINAL CUT.)

2. DO NOT APPLY FINAL SHELTERWOOD REMOVAL CUT UNTIL THE DESIRED NUMBER (AS SPECIFIED IN MINIMUM STOCKING STANDARDS) OF WELL-ESTABLISHED SEEDLINGS PER ACRE ARE EXPECTED TO REMAIN FOLLOWING OVERWOOD REMOVAL.

3. USE TREES OF THE BEST GENETIC QUALITY AVAILABLE WHICH ARE ADAPTED TO THE PLANTING SITE WHEN SUPPLEMENTAL PLANTING. (REFERENCE FSM 2475)

TIMBER STAND
IMPROVEMENT
(E05)

1. UTILIZE CHRISTMAS TREE SALES FOR STOCKING CONTROLS WHERE THE OPPORTUNITY EXISTS.

RIPARIAN
AREA
MANAGEMENT
(F03)

1. SPECIAL PROTECTION AND MANAGEMENT WILL BE GIVEN TO FLOODPLAINS, WETLANDS, AND ALL LAND AND VEGETATION FOR A MINIMUM OF 100 FEET FROM THE EDGES OF ALL PERENNIAL STREAMS, LAKES AND OTHER BODIES OF WATER OR TO THE OUTER MARGIN OF THE RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEM IF WIDER THAN 100 FEET.

a. FOLLOW DIRECTION IN FSM 2526 AND 2527.

b. MAINTAIN RIPARIAN DEPENDENT RESOURCE VALUES INCLUDING WILDLIFE, FISH, VEGETATION, WATERSHED, AND RECREATION IN A

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
RIPARIAN
AREA
MANAGEMENT
(FO3)

STABLE OR UPWARD TREND.

2. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENT ACTIVITIES IN MANAGEMENT AREAS
TO PROTECT AND MANAGE THE RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEM.

3. PRESCRIBE LIVESTOCK GRAZING SYSTEMS TO ACHIEVE
RIPARIAN AREA OBJECTIVES ALONG STREAMS CAPABLE OF
SUPPORTING SELF-SUSTAINING FISHERIES.

a. ALLOW A MAXIMUM OF 50% USE
(SEASON-LONG SYSTEM), 60% USE
(DEFERRED ROTATION SYSTEM) 65%
USE (REST ROTATION SYSTEM) OF
TOTAL FORAGE PRODUCTION IN
RIPARIAN AREAS.

ALLOW A MAXIMUM OF 50% USE OF
CURRENT YEARS GROWTH ON BROWSE
SPECIES IN RIPARIAN AREAS.

MAINTAIN GROUND COVER OF AT
LEAST 70 PERCENT WITHIN RIPARIAN
AREAS.

4. PRESCRIBE SILVICULTURAL SYSTEMS TO ACHIEVE
RIPARIAN AREA OBJECTIVES.

A. PROHIBIT THE OPERATION OF MOTORIZED EQUIPMENT
WITHIN THE RIPARIAN AREA EXCEPT AT CONSTRUCTED
STREAM CROSSINGS.

B. LOCATE SKID TRAILS, LANDING AND DECKING SITES AND
OTHER HARVEST FACILITIES OUTSIDE THE RIPARIAN AREA.

a. MAINTAIN SHADE, BANK STABILITY
AND SEDIMENT STANDARDS AS
SPECIFIED UNDER WILDLIFE AND FISH
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STANDARDS
AND GUIDELINES.

5. LOCATE AND CONSTRUCT ARTERIAL AND COLLECTOR ROADS
TO MAINTAIN THE BASIC NATURAL CONDITION AND CHARACTER
OF RIPARIAN AREAS.

A. LOCATE ROADS OUTSIDE OF RIPARIAN AREA EXCEPT
FOR STREAM CROSSINGS OR WHERE OTHER FEASIBLE
ALTERNATIVES DO NOT EXIST.

B. SELECT STREAM CROSSING POINTS TO MINIMIZE BANK
AND CHANNEL DISTURBANCE.

a. MAINTAIN FISH PASSAGE DURING
ALL FLOW LEVELS EXCEPT PEAK FLOW
EVENTS. FOLLOW GUIDELINES IN
EVANS AND JOHNSTON, 1980.

WATER USES
MANAGEMENT
(FO4)

1. DETERMINE AND OBTAIN RIGHTS TO INSTREAM FLOW VOLUMES
TO PROTECT AND MAINTAIN STREAM CHANNEL STABILITY
AND CAPACITY AND TO MEET MULTIPLE USE REQUIREMENTS.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
WATER USES
MANAGEMENT
(FO4)

2. PROTEST WATER RIGHT APPLICATIONS OF OTHERS WHEN SUCH USES WILL LOWER STREAMFLOWS BELOW LEVELS ACCEPTABLE FOR NATIONAL FOREST USES AND PURPOSES.

3. SPECIAL USE PERMITS, EASEMENTS, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, AND SIMILAR AUTHORIZATIONS FOR USE OF NFS LANDS SHALL CONTAIN CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS TO MAINTAIN INSTREAM OR BY-PASS FLOWS NECESSARY TO FULFILL ALL NATIONAL FOREST USES AND PURPOSES.

4. DETERMINE AND OBTAIN RIGHTS TO OTHER SURFACE AND GROUND WATERS TO MEET MULTIPLE USE REQUIREMENTS.

5. FOLLOW UTAH WATER LAW PROCEEDURES FOR WATER FILINGS AND FOR CHANGES IN POINT OF DIVERSION, PLACE, PURPOSE, OR PERIOD OF USE.

WATER RESOURCE
IMPROVEMENT AND
MAINTENANCE
(FO5 AND 06)

1. MAINTAIN INSTREAM FLOWS AND PROTECT PUBLIC PROPERTY AND RESOURCES.

2. IMPROVE OR MAINTAIN WATER QUALITY TO MEET STATE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS. HOWEVER, WHERE THE NATURAL BACKGROUND WATER POLLUTANTS CAUSE DEGRADATION, IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT IMPROVEMENT ACTIONS. SHORT-TERM OR TEMPORARY EXCEEDANCE OF SOME PARAMETERS OF THE STATE STANDARD, SUCH AS INCREASED SEDIMENT FROM ROAD CROSSING CONSTRUCTION OR WATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT MAY BE PERMITTED IN SPECIAL CASES.

3. COORDINATE WITH THE STATE AT THE LOCAL AND STATE LEVELS IN ASSESSING WATER QUALITY PROBLEMS.

4. REHABILITATE DISTURBED AREAS THAT ARE CONTRIBUTING SEDIMENT DIRECTLY TO PERENNIAL STREAMS AS A RESULT OF MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES TO MAINTAIN WATER QUALITY AND RE-ESTABLISH VEGETATION COVER.

a. FOLLOW REQUIREMENTS OF THE FISH AND WILDLIFE COORDINATION ACT, AND CLEAN WATER ACT.

a. REDUCE TO NATURAL RATE ANY EROSION DUE TO MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY THROUGH NECESSARY MITIGATION MEASURES SUCH AS WATER-BARRING AND REVEGETATION. REHABILITATION MEASURES WILL BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN ONE YEAR OF THE ACTIVITY.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
CONTINUATION OF: WATER RESOURCE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE (F05 AND 06)	5. LIMIT USE OF HERBICIDES, INSECTICIDES, RODENTICIDES, OR OTHER CHEMICAL AGENTS AS PART OF TERRESTRIAL MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES TO TIMES AND PLACES WHERE POSSIBLE TRANSPORT TO OR BY SURFACE WATER HAS A LOW PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE. FOLLOW ALL LABEL REQUIREMENTS CONCERNING WATER QUALITY PROTECTION.	
MINERALS MANAGEMENT GENERAL (G00)	1. ADMINISTER AREAS WITH PRODUCING SITES AND KNOWN RESERVES WITH CONSIDERATION OF ONGOING AND POTENTIAL MINERAL ACTIVITIES. 2. AVOID OR MINIMIZE SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC OR PRIVATE INVESTMENTS IN AND NEAR AREAS WHERE MINERAL ACTIVITIES CAN BE EXPECTED IN THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE. THIS INCLUDES CONSIDERATION FOR RESERVED AND OUTSTANDING RIGHTS. 3. ON UNCLASSIFIED (REMAINING) LANDS, PROVIDE FOR RECLAMATION OF DISTURBED LANDS TO ACHIEVE THE PLANNED USE SPECIFIED IN THE FOREST PLAN, WHEN THOSE LANDS ARE NO LONGER NEEDED FOR MINING OPERATIONS. 4. OTHER CLASSIFIED LANDS NOT WITHDRAWN FROM OPERATIONS UNDER THE GENERAL MINING LAWS: SUCH LANDS MAY INCLUDE RESEARCH NATURAL AREAS, NATIONAL RECREATION AREAS, NATIONAL RECREATION TRAILS, SPECIAL INTEREST AREAS SUCH AS SCENIC AND GEOLOGIC, AREAS NATIONAL HISTORIC SITES, OR SOME OTHER SPECIAL CLASSIFICATION: THE STATUS OF THE WITHDRAWAL MUST BE DETERMINED BEFORE AN OPERATING PLAN IS PROCESSED. PROVIDE REASONABLE PROTECTION FOR THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE LANDS WERE CLASSIFIED AND FOR REASONABLE RECLAMATION OF DISTURBED LANDS TO A CONDITION SUITABLE FOR THOSE PURPOSES. -	
MINING LAW COMPLIANCE AND ADMINISTRATION (LOCATABLES) (G01)	1. MINIMIZE OR, AS APPROPRIATE, PREVENT ADVERSE IMPACTS ON SURFACE RESOURCES.	

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
MINING LAW
COMPLIANCE AND
ADMINISTRATION
(LOCATABLES)
(G01)

2. REVIEW CASES OF SUSPECTED ABUSE OF THE MINING LAWS SUCH AS OCCUPANCY OF THE LAND FOR PURPOSES OTHER THAN PROSPECTING, MINING, AND RELATED ACTIVITIES. INITIATE APPROPRIATE ACTION TO RESOLVE.

MINERALS
MANAGEMENT
LEASABLES
(G02 TO G07)

1. LEASING, PERMITTING, OR LICENSING OF NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM LANDS WILL BE BASED ON SITE SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS USING APPROPRIATE STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES FOR THE MANAGEMENT UNIT CONCERNED. CRITERIA FOR THESE ACTIONS SHOULD MINIMIZE IMPACTS ON, OR CONFLICTS WITH, OTHER RESOURCE USES AND SHOULD RETURN DISTURBED LANDS TO PLANNED SURFACE RESOURCES OR USES.
A. FOREST SERVICE AUTHORIZATION OF GEOPHYSICAL PROSPECTING WILL INCLUDE TERMS AND CONDITIONS (SEE STIPULATION IN APPENDIX H) CONTROLLING OPERATING METHODS AND TIMES TO PREVENT OR CONTROL ADVERSE IMPACTS ON SURFACE RESOURCES AND USES.
B. RECOMMENDATIONS OF CONSENT TO BLM FOR ISSUANCE OF LEASES AND PERMITS WILL INCLUDE ALL CURRENT STANDARD STIPULATIONS AND THE REGIONALLY APPROVED SPECIAL STIPULATIONS THAT MAY BE NECESSARY FOR ADDITIONAL PROTECTION OF SPECIFIC SURFACE RESOURCES AND USES. THESE STANDARD AND CURRENT REGIONALLY APPROVED SPECIAL STIPULATIONS ARE IN APPENDIX H TO THE FOREST PLAN.
C. RECOMMEND AGAINST OR DENY CONSENT OR CONCURRENCE TO BLM FOR ISSUANCE OF LEASES, PERMITS, OR LICENSES WHERE OPERATIONAL DAMAGES ON SURFACE RESOURCES, INCLUDING THE IMPACTS OF SURFACE-BASED ACCESS, PRODUCT TRANSPORTATION AND ANCILLARY FACILITIES NECESSARY TO PRODUCTION AND RELATED OPERATIONS, WOULD BE EITHER 1) IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE, OR 2) WITH LOW POTENTIAL FOR RECLAMATION. NEGATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS OR CONCENT DENIALS WILL BE BASED ON SITE-SPECIFIC CONSIDERATION USING THE APPROPRIATE STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES.

a. ALL LEASABLE AND SALABLE MINERALS: ACTIVITIES MAY BE DENIED OR LIMITED WHERE THE CURRENT USES OR ACTIVITIES EXCEED, OR THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES MAY RESULT IN EXCEEDING THE STANDARDS OUTLINED IN THE STIPULATIONS PROVIDED IN APPENDIX H.

b. OIL AND GAS, GEOTHERMAL, COAL, AND CO2 ACTIVITIES MAY BE LIMITED WHERE:
1. SLOPES ARE STEEPER THAN 40 PERCENT,
2. EROSION HAZARD RATING IS HIGH, OR
3. GEOLOGICAL HAZARD RATING IS HIGH.

c. COAL AND LEASABLE URANIUM AND NONENERGY MINERALS ACTIVITIES MAY BE LIMITED WHERE:
1. TERRAIN DOES NOT PROVIDE FOR ADEQUATE WASTE DUMPS AND TAILINGS DISPOSAL, LEAVING THEM UNSTABLE OR UNRECLAIMABLE.
2. SURFACE-BASED ACCESS, PRODUCT TRANSPORTATION AND ANCILLARY FACILITIES NECESSARY TO OPERATIONS ARE ON SLOPES STEEPER THAN 40 PERCENT WITH HIGH EROSION HAZARD, OR WITH HIGH GEOLOGIC HAZARD.
3. NATIONAL SCENIC TRAILS AND EXISTING OR PROPOSED WILDERNESS AREAS OCCUR. (MINING IN THESE AREAS IS PROHIBITED BY THE COAL

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
MINERALS
MANAGEMENT
LEASEABLES
(G02 TO G07)

LEASING AMENDMENTS ACT OF 1975.
COAL LEASING AND COAL EXPLORATION
LICENSES WILL NOT BE AUTHORIZED
ON ANY OF THE FOREGOING DESCRIBED
LANDS, UNLESS MINING CAN OCCUR
WITHOUT CONFLICTING WITH THE
PURPOSE FOR WHICH THE AREA WAS
ESTABLISHED.)

MINERALS
MANAGEMENT
LEASEABLES
(G02 TO G07)

1. THE FOREST SERVICE AUTHORIZES COMMON VARIETY
EXPLORATION AND DISPOSAL UNDER TERMS AND CONDITIONS
TO PREVENT, MINIMIZE, OR MITIGATE ADVERSE IMPACTS ON
SURFACE RESOURCES AND USES. THE OBJECTIVE OF
RECLAMATION REQUIREMENTS WILL BE TO RETURN DISTURBED
LAND TO THE PLANNED USES.

a. SEE THE STANDARDS AND GUIDE-
LINES FOR LEASABLE MINERALS.

SPECIAL USE
MANAGEMENT (NON
-RECREATION)
(J01)

1. ACT ON SPECIAL USE APPLICATIONS ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOW-
ING PRIORITIES:

- A. LAND AND LAND USE ACTIVITY REQUESTS RELATING TO PUBLIC
SAFETY, HEALTH AND WELFARE, E.G., HIGHWAYS, POWERLINES
HYDRO-ELECTRIC PLANTS AND PUBLIC SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS.
- B. LAND AND LAND USE ACTIVITIES CONTRIBUTING TO INCREASED
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY ASSOCIATED WITH NATIONAL FOREST
RESOURCES, E.G., OIL AND GAS, AND ENERGY MINERALS.
- C. LAND AND LAND USE ACTIVITIES THAT BENEFIT ONLY PRIVATE
USERS, E.G., ROAD PERMITS, RIGHTS-OF-WAY FOR POWER-
LINES, TELEPHONES, WATERLINES, ETC.

2. DO NOT APPROVE ANY SPECIAL USE APPLICATIONS THAT CAN BE
REASONABLY MET ON PRIVATE OR OTHER FEDERAL LANDS UNLESS IT
IS CLEARLY IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

3. BURY NEW OR RECONSTRUCTED ELECTRICAL UTILITY LINES OF
33 KV OR LESS AND TELEPHONE LINES EXCEPT WHEN:

- A. VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVES OF THE AREA CAN BE MET USING
AN OVERHEAD LINE.
- B. BURIAL IS NOT FEASIBLE DUE TO GEOLOGIC HAZARD OR UN-
FAVORABLE GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS.
- C. IT IS NOT ECONOMICAL AS DETERMINED BY A COST ANALYSIS.
- D. GREATER LONG-TERM SITE DISTURBANCE WOULD RESULT.
- E. IT IS NOT TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE.

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SPECIAL USE
MANAGEMENT (NON
-RECREATION)
(J01)

4. DO NOT APPROVE SPECIAL USE APPLICATIONS FOR AREAS
ADJACENT TO DEVELOPED SITES UNLESS THE PROPOSED USE IS
COMPATIBLE WITH THE PURPOSE AND USE OF THE DEVELOPED SITE.

RIGHTS-OF-WAY
AND LAND
ADJUSTMENTS
(J02, 13, 15,
16, 17, AND 18)

1. ACQUIRE RIGHTS-OF-WAY ON EXISTING FOREST SYSTEM ROADS
AND TRAILS THAT CROSS PRIVATE LAND.

2. INSURE FLOODPLAIN AND WETLAND VALUES ARE APPROXI-
MATELY EQUAL ON BOTH OFFERED AND SELECTED TRACTS IN
PROPOSED LAND EXCHANGES OR THAT VALUES ARE IN FAVOR OF
THE UNITED STATES.

3. CLASSIFY LANDS OR INTEREST IN LANDS FOR ACQUISSI-
TION WHERE LANDS ARE VALUABLE FOR NATIONAL FOREST
SYSTEM PURPOSES ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING PRIORITIES:
A. CONGRESSIONALLY CLASSIFIED AREAS SUCH AS DESIGNATED
WILDERNESS.
B. LANDS OR RIGHTS-OF-WAY NEEDED TO MEET RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES.
C. LANDS WHICH PROVIDE HABITAT FOR THREATENED AND EN-
DANGERED SPECIES OF ANIMALS AND PLANTS.
D. LANDS WHICH INCLUDE FLOODPLAIN OR WETLANDS.
E. LANDS HAVING HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL RESOURCES,
OUTSTANDING SCENIC VALUES OR CRITICAL ECOSYSTEMS,
WHEN THESE RESOURCES ARE THREATENED BY CHANGE OF USE
OR WHEN MANAGEMENT MAY BE ENHANCED BY PUBLIC OWNER-
SHIP.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
RIGHTS-OF-WAY
AND LAND
ADJUSTMENTS
(J02, 13, 15,
16, 17, AND 18)

4. CLASSIFY LANDS FOR DISPOSAL ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING PRIORITIES:
- A. TO STATES, COUNTIES, CITIES, OR OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES WHEN DISPOSAL WILL SERVE A GREATER PUBLIC INTEREST.
 - B. IN SMALL PARCELS INTERMINGLED WITH MINERAL OR HOMESTEAD PATENTS.
 - C. WHEN SUITABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT BY THE PRIVATE SECTOR, IF DEVELOPMENT (RESIDENTIAL, AGRICULTURAL, INDUSTRIAL, RECREATIONAL, ETC.) IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
 - D. WHEN CRITICAL OR UNIQUE RESOURCE (WETLANDS, FLOODPLAINS, ESSENTIAL BIG GAME WINTER RANGE, THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES HABITAT, HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL RESOURCES, CRITICAL ECOSYSTEMS, ETC.) EFFECTS ARE MITIGATED BY RESERVING INTERESTS TO PROTECT THE RESOURCE, OR BY EXCHANGE WHERE OTHER CRITICAL RESOURCES TO BE ACQUIRED ARE CONSIDERED TO BE OF EQUAL OR GREATER VALUE.
5. EFFECT JURISDICTIONAL TRANSFERS WHICH ACHIEVE THE FOLLOWING OBJECTIVES:
- A. REDUCE DUPLICATION OF EFFORTS BY USERS AND AGENCIES IN TERMS OF TIME, COST, AND COORDINATION.
 - B. IMPROVE OR MAINTAIN USER ACCESS TO THE ADMINISTERING AGENCY.
 - C. DECREASE TRAVEL AND ENHANCE MANAGEMENT.
 - D. IMPROVE PUBLIC UNDERSTANDING OF APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS, POLICIES, AND PROCEDURES.
 - E. DEVELOP MORE EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT WORK UNITS.
 - F. REDUCE ADMINISTRATIVE COST.

WITHDRAWALS,
MODIFICATIONS
AND REVOCATIONS
(J04)

1. WITHDRAWALS MUST BE FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROTECTING SPECIFIC EXISTING PROPOSED USES. INITIATE ACTION FOR WITHDRAWAL FROM ENTRY WHEN OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS WILL NOT PROVIDE THE CAPABILITY FOR PROTECTION OF THE SURFACE RESOURCES AND USES.

a. WITHDRAWALS FROM ENTRY UNDER THE GENERAL MINING LAWS WILL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH SECTION 204 OF THE FEDERAL LAND POLICY AND MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1976 (P.L. 94-579).

b. WITHDRAWALS UNDER THE MINERALS LEASING ACT WILL BE IN EXCEPTIONAL SITUATIONS BECAUSE OF THE DISCRETION ALLOWED IN EACH CASE FOR DISPOSAL.

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
WITHDRAWALS,
MODIFICATIONS
AND REVOCATIONS
(J04)

c. COMMON VARIETY MINERALS WITH-
DRAWALS ARE UNNECESSARY SINCE
FULL AUTHORITY FOR DISPOSAL IS
HELD BY THE FOREST SERVICE.

PROPERTY
BOUNDARY
LOCATION
(J06)

1. LOCATE, MARK, AND POST LANDLINES ACCORDING TO THE
FOLLOWING PRIORITIES:
 - A. LINES NEEDED TO MEET PLANNED ACTIVITIES;
 - B. LINES NEEDED TO PROTECT NFS LANDS FROM ENCROACHMENT,
AND
 - C. ALL OTHER LINES.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINESSOIL RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(KA1)

1. MAINTAIN SOIL PRODUCTIVITY, MINIMIZE MAN-CAUSED SOIL EROSION, AND MAINTAIN THE INTEGRITY OF ASSOCIATED ECOSYSTEMS.
 - A. USE SITE PREPARATION METHODS WHICH ARE DESIGNED TO KEEP FERTILE, FRIABLE TOPSOIL ESSENTIALLY INTACT.
 - B. GIVE ROADS AND TRAILS SPECIAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS TO PREVENT RESOURCE DAMAGE ON CAPABILITY AREAS CONTAINING SOILS WITH HIGH SHRINK-SWELL CAPACITY.
 - C. PROVIDE ADEQUATE ROAD AND TRAIL CROSS DRAINAGE TO REDUCE SEDIMENT TRANSPORT ENERGY.
 - D. REVEGETATE ALL AREAS, CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING VEGETATION, DISTURBED DURING ROAD CONSTRUCTION AND/OR RECONSTRUCTION TO STABILIZE THE AREA AND REDUCE SOIL EROSION. WHERE PRACTICABLE USE LESS PALATABLE PLANT SPECIES ON CUTS, FILLS, AND OTHER AREAS SUBJECT TO TRAMPLING DAMAGE BY DOMESTIC LIVESTOCK AND BIG GAME TO DISCOURAGE GRAZING.
 - E. PREVENT LIVESTOCK AND WILDLIFE GRAZING WHICH REDUCES THE PERCENT OF PLANT COVER TO LESS THAN THE AMOUNT NEEDED FOR WATERSHED PROTECTION AND PLANT HEALTH.
 - F. PLACE TRACTOR-BUILT FIRELINES ON THE CONTOUR, WHERE POSSIBLE, AND AVOID USE OF TRACTORS ON HIGHLY ERODIBLE SITES.
 - G. PROVIDE PERMANENT DRAINAGE AND ESTABLISH PROTECTIVE VEGETATIVE COVER ON ALL NEW TEMPORARY ROADS OR EQUIPMENT WAYS, AND ALL EXISTING ROADS WHICH ARE BEING REMOVED FROM THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.
 - H. MINIMIZE SOIL COMPACTION BY REDUCING VEHICLE PASSES, SKIDDING ON SNOW, FROZEN OR DRY SOIL CONDITIONS, OR BY OFF-GROUND LOGGING SYSTEMS.
 - I. RESTORE SOIL DISTURBANCE CAUSED BY HUMAN USE TO SOIL LOSS TOLERANCE LEVELS COMMENSURATE WITH THE NATURAL ECOLOGICAL PROCESSES FOR THE TREATMENT AREAS.

- a. USE THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES UNLESS MORE SITE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS ARE DEVELOPED DURING PROJECT DESIGN.
 1. LIMIT INTENSIVE GROUND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES ON UNSTABLE SLOPES AND HIGHLY ERODIBLE SITES.
 2. APPLY GUIDE DEVELOPED BY PACKER, (19) IN THE DESIGN FOR CROSS DRAIN SPACING AND BUFFERS.

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINESCONTINUATION OF:
SOIL RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(KA1)

2. IDENTIFY AT THE PROJECT LEVEL, UPLAND AREAS THAT ARE IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO RIPARIAN (PRESCRIPTION 9A) MANAGEMENT AREAS. ADJACENT UPLAND AREAS ARE THOSE PORTIONS OF A MANAGEMENT AREA WHICH, WHEN SUBJECTED TO MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES, HAVE A POTENTIAL FOR DIRECTLY AFFECTING THE CONDITION OF THE ADJACENT RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT AREA. THE MAGNITUDE OF EFFECTS IS DEPENDENT UPON SLOPE STEEPNESS, AND THE KIND, AMOUNT, AND LOCATION OF SURFACE AND VEGETATION DISTURBANCE WITHIN THE ADJACENT UPLAND UNIT.

a. THE FOLLOWING IS A GUIDE TO IDENTIFY THE APPROXIMATE EXTENT OF ADJACENT UPLAND AREAS:

SLOPE GRADIENT OF UPLAND AREAS ADJACENT TO RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT AREA.	UPSLOPE DISTANCE FROM BOUNDARY OF RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT AREA.
% SLOPE RANGE	FEET

0-20	100
20-30	180
30-40	280
40-50	400
50-60	520
60-70	640
70-80	760
80-90	880
90-100	1000
100-150	1000-1300

3. REDUCE PROJECT CAUSED, ON SITE, EROSION RATES THROUGH DESIGNED MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND APPROPRIATE EROSION MITIGATION, VEGETATION, OR RESTORATION MEASURES.

a. REDUCE EROSION BY 75% WITHIN THE FIRST YEAR AFTER DISTURBANCE. REDUCE PROJECT CAUSED ON-SITE EROSION BY 95% WITHIN FIVE YEARS AFTER INITIAL DISTURBANCE. CALCULATE EROSION WITH APPROPRIATE UNIVERSAL SOIL LOSS EQUATION METHODOLOGY.

4. DESIGN CONTINUING MITIGATION AND RESTORATION PRACTICES, AND FOLLOW-UP MAINTNANCE ACTIVITIES.

a. INSURE THAT 80% ORIGINAL GROUND COVER (VEGETATION) RECOVERY OCCURS WITHIN FIVE YEARS AFTER DISTURBANCE.

TRANSPORTATION
SYSTEM
MANAGEMENT
(LO1 & 20)

1. CLASSIFY AREAS AS TO WHETHER OFF-ROAD VEHICLE USE IS PERMITTED.

a. SPECIFY OFF-ROAD VEHICLE RESTRICTIONS BASED ON ORV USE MANAGEMENT (FSM 2355).

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF
TRANSPORTATION
SYSTEM
MANAGEMENT
(L01 & 20)

2. MANAGE ROAD USE BY SEASONAL OR PERMANENT CLOSURE IF:
 - A. USE CAUSES UNACCEPTABLE DAMAGE TO SOIL AND WATER RESOURCES DUE TO WEATHER OR SEASONAL CONDITIONS
 - B. USE CONFLICTS WITH THE ROS CLASS ESTABLISHED FOR THE AREA;
 - C. USE CAUSES UNACCEPTABLE WILDLIFE CONFLICT OR HABITAT DEGRADATION,
 - D. USE RESULTS IN UNSAFE CONDITIONS.
 - E. THE ROAD DOES NOT SERVE AN IDENTIFIED PUBLIC OR ADMINISTRATIVE NEED;
 - F. AREA ACCESSED HAS SEASONAL NEED FOR PROTECTION OR NONUSE; OR
 - G. FINANCING IS NOT AVAILABLE TO MAINTAIN THE FACILITY OR MANAGE THE ASSOCIATED USE OF ADJACENT LANDS.
3. CLOSED OR RESTRICTED ROADS MAY BE USED FOR AND TO ACCOMPLISH ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES WHEN:
 - A. PRESCRIBED IN MANAGEMENT AREA DIRECTION STATEMENTS;
 - B. AUTHORIZED BY THE FOREST SUPERVISOR; AND
 - C. IN CASE OF EMERGENCY.

ARTERIAL AND
COLLECTOR ROAD
CONSTRUCTION AND
RECONSTRUCTION
(L02 THRU L09,
L16 THRU L18)

1. CONSTRUCT AND RECONSTRUCT ARTERIAL AND COLLECTOR ROADS TO MEET MULTIPLE RESOURCE NEEDS.

a. CONSTRUCTION AND RECONSTRUCTION
STANDARDS FOR ARTERIAL AND COLLEC-
TOR ROADS ARE:

STANDARD	ARTERIAL	COLLECTOR
TRAVEL SPEED	AVERAGE 30-55 MPH	AVERAGE 10-30 MPH
LANES	GENERALLY 2 LANES	GENERALLY 1 LANE
SURFACE	ALL WEATHER, GENERALLY ASPHALT OR GRAVEL	GENERALLY GRAVEL OR NATIVE SURFACE, SOMETIMES ASPHALT
WIDTH	TYPICALLY 20 TO 24 FEET, BUT SOME SINGLE	TYPICALLY 12 TO 16 FEET, WITH

1

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
ROAD
MAINTENANCE
(L19)

2. MAINTAIN STRUCTURES, BRIDGES, CATTLEGUARDS, ETC., TO BE STRUCTURALLY SOUND AND SAFE FOR USE.

TRAIL
CONSTRUCTION AND
RECONSTRUCTION
(L22)

1. CONSTRUCT OR RECONSTRUCT TRAILS WHEN NEEDED AS PART OF THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.

a. MAINTAIN TRAILS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STANDARDS IN THE TRAIL HANDBOOK (FSH 7709.12).

b. CROSS DRAINS AND CONVEYANCE STRUCTURES ARE PLANNED ACCORDING TO FOREST DESIGN STANDARDS.

2. USE CORDUROY AND/OR PUNCHEON TREADS ACROSS BOGS WHERE NO SAFE AND FEASIBLE BYPASS OPPORTUNITY EXISTS.

TRAIL
SYSTEM
MANAGEMENT
(L23)

1. MAINTAIN ALL TRAILS TO MEET STANDARD OF USE DESIGNATED IN TRAVEL PLAN.

2. MAINTAIN ALL TRAILS TO THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS:
 - A. STRUCTURES (BRIDGES, CORDUROY, ETC.) ARE STRUCTURALLY SOUND AND SAFE FOR SPECIFIED CLASS OF USER,
 - B. MAINTAIN DRAINAGE STRUCTURES TO PREVENT UNACCEPTABLE RESOURCE DAMAGE, AND
 - C. REMOVE HAZARDS FROM TRAILS TO ALLOW SAFE PASSAGE FOR SPECIFIED CLASS OF USERS. A SAFETY HAZARD IS DEFINED HERE AS A PHYSICAL CONDITION OF A TRAIL WHICH MAY CAUSE INJURY, IS UNUSUAL OR UNEXPECTED, AND NOT READILY IDENTIFIABLE BY THE TRAIL USER. A HAZARD IS A ROTTEN BRIDGE DECKING. A STREAM CROSSING WHERE NO BRIDGE IS PROVIDED AND THE USER WOULD EXPECT NONE IS NOT A HAZARD.

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
TRAIL
SYSTEM
MANAGEMENT
(L23)
TELECOMMUNICA-
TIONS SYSTEM

3. PROVIDE A FULL RANGE OF TRAIL OPPORTUNITIES IN COORDINATION WITH OTHER FEDERAL, STATE, AND MUNICIPAL JURISDICTIONS AND PRIVATE INDUSTRIES BOTH ON AND OFF NFS LANDS.

1. MAINTAIN A COST EFFECTIVE, RELIABLE TELE-COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM THAT SUPPORTS BOTH ON AND OFF FOREST COMMUNICATIONS FOR DATA, RADIO, AND TELEPHONE.

a. R-4 AND FOREST TELECOMMUNICA-TIONS PLAN.

ADMINISTRATIVE
SITES

1. DEVELOP A PLAN TO MANAGE FOREST ADMINISTRATIVE SITES THAT RECOGNIZES NEED AND LOCATION OF PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY HOUSING AND STORAGE.

a. MEET HEALTH, SAFETY, AND SANITARY REQUIREMENTS.

FIRE PLANNING
AND
SUPPRESSION
(P01)

1. PROVIDE A LEVEL OF PROTECTION FROM WILDFIRE THAT IS COST EFFICIENT AND THAT WILL MEET MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES FOR THE AREA CONSIDERING THE FOLLOWING:
A. THE VALUES OF THE RESOURCES THAT ARE THREATENED BY FIRE.
B. THE PROBABILITY OF FIRE OCCURRENCE.
C. THE PROBABLE FUELBED.
D. THE WEATHER CONDITIONS LIKELY TO INFLUENCE FIRES THAT OCCUR.
E. THE COSTS OF FIRE PROTECTION PROGRAMS (FFP AND FFF).
F. THE SOCIAL, ECONOMIC, POLITICAL, CULTURAL, ENVIRONMENTAL, LIFE AND PROPERTY CONCERNS.
G. MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES FOR THE AREA. USE THE FIRE MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS PROCESS (FSH 5109.19) FOR THIS ANALYSIS.
H. AIRSHEDS AND SMOKE MANAGEMENT IN SENSITIVE AREAS.

FOREST DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

ESCAPED FIRE
SUPPRESSION
(P09)

1. TAKE SUPPRESSION ACTION ON ALL ESCAPED FIRES CONSIDERING THE FOLLOWING:
 - A. THE VALUES OF THE RESOURCES THREATENED BY THE FIRE (BOTH POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE).
 - B. MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES FOR THE THREATENED AREA(S).
 - C. THE TYPE OF FUELBED.
 - D. THE CURRENT AND PROJECTED WEATHER CONDITIONS THAT WILL INFLUENCE FIRE BEHAVIOR.
 - E. NATURAL BARRIERS AND FUEL BREAKS.
 - F. SOCIAL, ECONOMIC, POLITICAL, CULTURAL, AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS.
 - G. PUBLIC SAFETY.
 - H. FIREFIGHTER SAFETY.
 - I. COSTS OF ALTERNATIVE SUPPRESSION STRATEGIES. USE THE ESCAPED FIRE SITUATION ANALYSIS TO MAKE THIS DETERMINATION (FSM 5130.31).

FUEL TREATMENT
(P11 THRU 14)

1. MAINTAIN FUEL CONDITIONS WHICH PERMIT FIRE SUPPRESSION FORCES TO MEET FIRE PROTECTION OBJECTIVES FOR THE AREA.

- a. REDUCE OR OTHERWISE TREAT ALL ACTIVITY FUELS SO THAT THE TOTAL LOADING OF MATERIALS LESS THAN 6 INCHES IN DIAMETER IS LESS THAN 25 TONS/ACRE,
OR
BREAK UP CONTINUOUS ACTIVITY FUEL CONCENTRATIONS EXCEEDING THE ABOVE STANDARD INTO MANAGEABLE UNITS WITH FUEL BREAKS OR FIRE LANES,
OR
PROVIDE ADDITIONAL PROTECTION FOR ACTIVITY FUEL AREAS EXCEEDING THE ABOVE STANDARD WHEN SUCH PROTECTION WILL NOT BE REQUIRED FOR MORE THAN FIVE YEARS.

VEGETATION
TREATED BY
BURNING
(P15)

1. USE PRESCRIBED FIRE FROM PLANNED AND UNPLANNED IGNITIONS TO ACCOMPLISH RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES, SUCH AS REDUCING FUEL LOAD BUILDUP, WILDLIFE HABITAT IMPROVEMENT, ETC.

- a. MANAGE ALL PRESCRIBED FIRES FROM UNPLANNED IGNITIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GUIDELINES IN APPENDIX L. ALL UNPLANNED IGNITIONS OCCURRING IN SPECIAL SITUATION ZONE 4 (TOTAL SUPPRESSION ZONE) WILL BE SUPPRESSED IMMEDIATELY.

- b. SEE APPENDIX AND MAP.

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
VEGETATION
TREATED BY
BURNING
(P15)

2. LIMIT USE OF PRESCRIBED FIRES ON AREAS ADJACENT TO
RIPARIAN AREAS TO PROTECT RIPARIAN AND AQUATIC VALUES.

3. USE UNPLANNED IGNITION ON AREAS IDENTIFIED
IN THIS PLAN TO ACHIEVE MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES.

AIR RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(P16)

1. COMPLY WITH STATE AND FEDERAL AIR QUALITY STANDARDS.
(SEE FSM 2120)

a. MEET APPLICABLE STATE AIR
QUALITY STANDARDS.

INSECT AND DIS-
EASE MANAGEMENT/
SUPPRESSION
(P35)

1. PREVENT OR SUPPRESS EPIDEMIC OR THREATENING INSECT
AND DISEASE POPULATIONS WITH AN INTEGRATED PEST
MANAGEMENT (IPM) APPROACH CONSISTENT WITH RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES.

LAW ENFORCEMENT
(P24 THRU 27)

1. PROVIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT TO PROTECT HUMAN LIFE,
FOREST VALUES, AND PROPERTY.

a. PROVIDE ROUTINE PATROLS OF
HEAVILY USED CAMPGROUNDS AND
PATROLS OF OTHER AREAS AS
NEEDED. DEVELOP ACTION PLANS
TO COORDINATE RESPONSES TO
EMERGENCIES, REPORTED LAW
VIOLATIONS AND INCIDENTS WITH
LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES.

FOREST DIRECTION

D. MANAGEMENT AREA DIRECTION

The management area prescriptions included in this section represent the Management Area Direction applicable to specific areas of land. These management area prescriptions in various combinations were used as the basis for developing the alternatives analyzed in the accompanying Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

A management area prescription number was assigned to each management area in order to link the prescription to the land area. The location of management areas is illustrated on the Management Area Map inserted inside the back cover of this document.

The prescription for each management area consists of a summary and a set of management requirements. The prescription summary identifies the primary emphasis of the prescription. All prescriptions are multiple use prescriptions but each has a primary emphasis.

Management requirements are presented in three columns: Management Activities, General Direction Statements, and Standards and Guidelines.

Management Activities are work processes that are conducted to produce, enhance, or maintain levels of outputs or to achieve administrative and environmental quality objectives. Management Activities are identified by a code number and title defined in the Management Information Handbook (FSH 1309.11) dated July, 1980. In some cases, management activities were grouped under one activity when it was not appropriate to develop separate requirements. Not all management activities need management requirements. When there are no management requirements listed for an activity, the Forest Direction or direction in laws, regulations or executive orders of Forest Service directives adequately covers the activity.

General Direction Statements specify the actions, measures, or treatments (management practices) to be done when implementing the management activity or the condition expected to exist after the general direction is implemented.

Standards and Guidelines are quantifications of the acceptable limits within which the general direction is implemented.

The following Management Area Summary (Table IV-2) lists the management emphasis and shows the acreage allocations for each management area. The pages following contain prescriptions for the management areas. They are in the same order as listed in the Summary.

MANAGEMENT AREA SUMMARY

TABLE IV-2
MANAGEMENT AREA SUMMARY

Management Area	Emphasis	Acres
1A	Developed Recreation Sites	299
1D	Utility Corridors	(71,084) 1/
2A	Semi-Primitive Motorized Recreation	6,626
2B	Rural/Roaded Natural Recreation	27,855
3A	Non Motorized Recreation with Devel. of Other Resources	88,281
3B	Non motorized Recreation without Devel. of Other Resources	17,691
4A	Fish Habitat Improvement	2,474
4B	Habitat for Management Indicator Species	354,732
5A	Big Game Winter Range in Non Forested Areas	66,720
6B	Livestock Grazing	658,704
7A	Wood-Fiber Production and Utilization	44,104
7B	Wood-Fiber Prod. and Util. Through Selected Planting Stock	6,061
7C	Management of Forested Areas on Steep Slopes	2/
7D	Wood-Fiber Prod. and Util. for Products Other Than Sawtimber	8,564
9A	Riparian Area Management	1,038
9F	Improved Watershed Condition	135,842
10A	Research Natural Areas	4,300
10E	Municipal Watershed	1,179
Total		1,424,479

1/ Excluded from total because it is applied in conjunction with another prescription.

2/ No acres given since this prescription is to be used in Management Areas 7A and 7B where the slope is over 40 percent.

MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTION 1A

(Provides for existing and proposed developed recreation sites)

299 Acres

A. Management Prescription Summary

1. General Description and Goals:

Management emphasis is for developed recreation in existing and proposed campgrounds, picnic grounds, trailheads, visitor information centers, summer home groups, and water-based support facilities. Proposed sites (sites scheduled for development in the plan) are managed to maintain the site attractiveness until they are developed.

Facilities such as roads, trails, toilets, signs, etc., may be dominant but harmonize and blend with the natural setting. Livestock grazing is generally excluded from developed sites. Existing and proposed sites are withdrawn from locatable mineral entry.

B. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
VISUAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (A04)	1. EMPHASIZE VISUALLY APPEALING LANDSCAPES (VISTA OPENINGS, ROCK OUTCROPPINGS, DIVERSITY OF VEGETATION, ETC.)	a. MINIMUM VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVE (VQO) SHALL BE: -PARTIAL RETENTION IN DEVELOPMENT LEVEL 2 SITES. -MODIFICATION IN DEVELOPMENT LEVEL 3, 4 AND 5 SITES.
		b. SENSITIVITY LEVEL: DEVELOPMENT LEVEL 3, 4, AND 5 SITES ARE SENSITIVITY LEVEL ONE.
		c. APPLY REHABILITATION PRACTICES WHERE THE ABOVE OBJECTIVES ARE NOT CURRENTLY BEING MET.
	2. FACILITIES MAY DOMINATE, BUT WILL HARMONIZE AND BLEND WITH THE NATURAL FOREGROUND AND MIDDLE-GROUND LANDSCAPE.	
RECREATION FACILITY AND SITE CONSTRUCTION AND RECONSTRUCTION (A05 AND 06)	1. DESIGN FACILITIES AND ACCESS TO PROVIDE SITE PROTECTION, EFFICIENT MAINTENANCE, AND USER CONVENIENCE. DESIGN AND DEVELOP SITES TO ENSURE THAT DEVELOPED CAPACITY DOES NOT EXCEED SEASON-LONG CARRYING CAPACITY.	a. CONSTRUCT AND RECONSTRUCT EXISTING AND NEW DEVELOPED SITES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GUIDELINES IN FSM 2331.
	2. PROVIDE AT LEAST 10 PERCENT OF THE UNITS IN LEVEL 3 AND 4 CAMP AND PICNIC SITES TO ACCOMMODATE TWO OR MORE FAMILY GROUPS.	
RECREATION FACILITY AND SITE MANAGEMENT (A08, 09, 11 & 13)	1. MAINTAIN ALL DEVELOPED SITES IN ACCORDANCE WITH FOREST'S ACCEPTABLE WORK STANDARDS (FSM 2330 FISHLAKE SUPPLEMENT)	
	2. MAINTAIN FACILITIES IN A SAFE CONDITION. REPLACE FACILITIES WHEN REHABILITATION COSTS 50 PERCENT OR MORE OF REPLACEMENT COSTS OR WHEN EXISTING FACILITIES ARE NO LONGER COMPATIBLE WITH SITE DESIGN OR ROS CLASSIFICATION.	a. SEE FSH 2309.11, SEC. 122.

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
RANGE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (D02)	1. MANAGE LIVESTOCK GRAZING TO ENHANCE RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES IN EXISTING AND PROPOSED RECREATION SITES.	a. CONSTRUCT FENCES OF MATER- IAL OTHER THAN BARBED WIRE AROUND DEVELOPED SITES.
	2. EXCLUDE GRAZING OF RECREATIONAL STOCK AND LIVESTOCK IN DEVELOPED RECREATION SITES DURING THE MANAGED RECREATION USE SEASON.	a. MAINTAIN VEGETATION IN FAIR OR BETTER RANGE CONDITION.
SILVICULTURAL PRESCRIPTIONS (E03, 06 & 07)	1. MANAGE TREE STANDS TO ENHANCE VISUAL QUALITY AND RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES ON EXISTING AND PROPOSED RECREATION SITES.	
	2. REMOVE UNSAFE AND/OR DEAD TREES IN DEVELOPED SITES. PLANT NEW TREES TO PROVIDE DESIRED TREE COVER WHEN NATURAL REGENERATION IS INSUFFICIENT.	

MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTION 1D

(Provides for utility corridors)

71,084 Acres

A. Management Prescription Summary

1. General Description and Goals:

Management emphasis is for major oil and gas pipelines, major water transmission and slurry pipelines, electrical transmission lines, and transcontinental telephone lines. Management activities within these linear corridors strive to be compatible with the management goals of the management areas through which they pass.

B. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
VISUAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (A04)	1. DESIGN AND CONSTRUCT UTILITIES TO HARMONIZE WITH THE LANDSCAPE.	a. USE _NATIONAL FOREST LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT_, VOLUME 2-UTILITIES FOR PRINCIPLES AND CONCEPTS.
RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES AND USE ADMINISTRATION (A14 AND 15)	1. MANAGE DISPERSED RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES IN A MANNER CONSISTENT OR COMPATIBLE WITH ADJACENT MANAGEMENT AREAS. 2. CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE PLANS FOR UTILITIES WILL PROVIDE FOR CULTURAL RESOURCE MITIGATION MEASURES IN RESPONSE TO FEDERAL AGENCY COMMENTS.	
WILDLIFE HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE (C02, 04, 05 AND 06)	1. MANAGE WILDLIFE AND FISH HABITAT IN A MANNER CONSISTENT OR COMPATIBLE WITH ADJACENT MANAGEMENT AREAS. 2. CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE PLANS FOR UTILITIES WILL PROVIDE FOR WLDLIFE AND FISH MITIGATION MEASURES IN RESPONSE TO FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCY COMMENTS.	
RANGE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (D02)	1. MANAGE THE RANGE RESOURCE IN A MANNER CONSISTENT OR COMPATIBLE WITH ADJACENT MANAGEMENT AREAS.	
SILVICULTURAL PRESCRIPTIONS (E03, 06 & 07)	1. MANAGE FOREST COVER TYPES IN A MANNER CONSISTENT OR COMPATIBLE WITH ADJACENT MANAGEMENT AREAS. PROVIDE REQUIRED ELECTRICAL CLEARANCES AND MINIMIZE THE VISUAL IMPACT OF THE UTILITY RIGHT-OF-WAY. 2. UTILIZE FIREWOOD MATERIAL USING BOTH COMMERCIAL AND NONCOMMERCIAL METHODS.	
SPECIAL USE MANAGEMENT (NON -RECREATION) (J01)	1. CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE PLANS WILL BE SUBMITTED FOR ALL MAJOR UTILITY PROJECTS CROSSING NATIONAL FOREST LANDS.	

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

RIGHTS-OF-WAY
AND LAND
ADJUSTMENTS
(J02, 13, 15,
16, 17, AND 18)

1. DESIGNATE EXISTING TRANSPORTATION AND UTILITY
USES, IF THEY ORIGINATE ON OR CROSS NATIONAL FOREST
SYSTEM LANDS, AS RIGHTS-OF-WAY CORRIDORS, CONSISTENT
WITH FOREST PLAN GOALS.

2. IDENTIFY AREAS WHERE DESIGNATION AS TRANSPORTA-
TION AND UTILITY CORRIDORS IN THE FUTURE ARE COMPAT-
IBLE WITH MANAGEMENT AREA GOALS. FOLLOW THE PROCESS
AND DEFINITIONS ESTABLISHED IN FSM 1922.51.

a. DESIGNATE AS UTILITY CORRI-
DORS:

1. ELECTRICAL TRANSMISSIONS -
69 KILOVOLTS OR LARGER.
2. PIPELINES - 10 INCHES IN
DIAMETER OR LARGER.
3. TELECOMMUNICATIONS - ALL
MICROWAVE PATHS AND FIXED
TELECOMMUNICATION ELECTRONIC
SITES.
4. RAILWAYS - 10 MILES IN
LENGTH OR LONGER.
5. HIGHWAYS - ALL INTERSTATE,
FEDERAL, OR STATE HIGHWAYS.
6. TELEPHONE LINES - MAJOR
TRANSCONTINENTAL SYSTEMS.

a. FUTURE TRANSPORTATION AND
UTILITY CORRIDORS ARE EXCLUDED
FROM RESEARCH NATURAL AREAS
(MANAGEMENT AREA 10A).

b. AVOID THE FOLLOWING MANAGE-
MENT AREAS UNLESS STUDIES IN-
DICATE THAT THE IMPACT OF THE
CORRIDOR CAN BE MITIGATED:

1. DEVELOPED RECREATION SITES
AND WINTER SPORTS SITES (MAN-
AGEMENT AREAS 1A AND 1B).
2. MANAGEMENT AREA 3B EMPHA-
SIZING SEMI-PRIMITIVE RECREATION.
3. RIPARIAN AREAS.
4. MUNICIPAL WATER SUPPLY AND
MUNICIPAL WATERSHEDS (MANAGE-
MENT AREA 10E).

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
RIGHTS-OF-WAY
AND LAND
ADJUSTMENTS
(JO2, 13, 15,
16, 17, AND 18)

3. DESIGN, CONSTRUCT AND MAINTAIN ELECTRICAL TRANSMISSION LINES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RULES OF THE NATIONAL ELECTRICAL SAFETY CODE (ANSI). UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED ON THE PLAN AND PROFILE DRAWINGS, ALL CONSTRUCTION AND CLEARANCES OF THE TRANSMISSION LINE SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST EDITION OF THE NATIONAL ELECTRICAL SAFETY CODE (ANSI) ISSUED BY THE AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS INSTITUTE.

4. ALL DESIGN, MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, MAINTENANCE AND TERMINATION PRACTICES EMPLOYED IN CONNECTION WITH OIL PIPELINES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SAFE AND PROVEN ENGINEERING PRACTICES AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED THE FOLLOWING:

- A. U.S.A. STANDARD CODE FOR PRESSURE PIPING, ANSI B 31.4, LIQUID PETROLEUM TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.
- B. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGULATIONS, 49 CFR, PART 195, TRANSPORTATION OF LIQUIDS BY PIPELINE.

5. ALL DESIGN, MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, MAINTENANCE AND TERMINATION PRACTICES EMPLOYED IN CONNECTION WITH GAS PIPELINES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SAFE AND PROVEN ENGINEERING PRACTICES AND SHALL MEET OR EXCEED THE FOLLOWING:

- A. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGULATIONS, ASME GAS PIPING STANDARDS COMMITTEE, GUIDE FOR GAS TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION PIPING SYSTEM (3RD EDITION, APRIL 1976).
- B. 49 CFR, PART 192, TRANSPORTATION OF NATURAL AND OTHER GAS BY PIPELINES: MINIMUM FEDERAL SAFETY STANDARDS.

MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTION 2A

(Emphasis is on semi-primitive motorized recreation opportunities)

6,626 Acres

A. Management Prescription Summary

1. General Description and Goals:

Management emphasis is for semi-primitive motorized recreation opportunities such as snowmobiling, four-wheel driving, and motorcycling both on and off roads and trails. Motorized travel may be restricted or seasonally prohibited to designated routes to protect physical and biological resources.

Visual resources are managed so that management activities are not evident or remain visually subordinate. Past management activities such as historical changes caused by early mining, logging, and ranching may be present which are not visually subordinate but appear to have evolved to their present state through natural process. Landscape rehabilitation is used to restore landscapes to a desirable visual quality. Enhancement aimed at increasing positive elements of the landscape to improve visual variety is also used.

The harvest method by forest cover type is clearcutting in aspen and shelterwood for all other forest cover types.

Mineral and energy resources activities are generally compatible with goals of this management area subject to appropriate stipulations provided in management activities G00-G07 in Forest direction.

B. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
VISUAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (A04)	1. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENT MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES TO PROVIDE A VISUALLY APPEALING LANDSCAPE. ENHANCE OR PROVIDE MORE VIEWING OPPORTUNITIES AND INCREASE VEGETATION DIVERSITY IN SELECTED AREAS.	a. MINIMUM VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVE (VQO) SHALL BE PARTIAL RETENTION b. FS SYSTEM TRAVEL ROUTES ARE SENSITIVITY LEVEL ONE. c. APPLY REHABILITATION PRACTICES WHERE THE ABOVE OBJECTIVES ARE NOT CURRENTLY BEING MET. d. MANAGE VISUAL RESOURCES USING THE ABOVE STANDARDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH FSM 2380.
RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES AND USE ADMINISTRATION (A14 AND 15)	1. EMPHASIZE SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES. INCREASE OPPORTUNITIES FOR PRIMITIVE ROAD AND MOTORIZED TRAIL USE. SPECIFIC LAND AREAS OR TRAVEL ROUTES MAY BE CLOSED SEASONALLY OR YEAR ROUND FOR COMPATIBILITY WITH ADJACENT AREA MANAGEMENT, TO PREVENT RESOURCE DAMAGE, FOR ECONOMIC REASONS, TO PREVENT CONFLICTS OF USE, AND FOR USER SAFETY. 2. MANAGE USE TO ALLOW LOW TO MODERATE CONTACT WITH OTHER GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS.	a. SPECIFY OFF-ROAD VEHICLE RESTRICTIONS BASED ON ORV USE MANAGEMENT (FSM 2355). a. MAXIMUM USE AND CAPACITY LEVELS ARE: -TRAIL AND CAMP ENCOUNTERS DURING PEAK USE DAYS ARE LESS THAN 30 PARTIES PER DAY. -TRAIL AND AREA-WIDE USE CAPACITY: ----- ROS CLASS - SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED ----- USE LEVEL VERY LOW LOW MODERATE HIGH ----- ON TRAILS PAOT/ MILE 2.0 3.0 9.0 11.0 ----- AREA-WIDE

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
RECREATION
OPPORTUNITIES
AND USE
ADMINISTRATION
(A14 AND 15)

PAOT/
ACRE .004 .008 .05 .08

REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVEL CO-
EFFICIENTS AS NECESSARY TO RE-
FLECT USABLE ACRES, PATTERNS OF
USE, AND GENERAL ATTRACTIVENESS
OF THE SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT AREA
TYPE AS DESCRIBED IN THE ROS
USERS GUIDE, CHAPTER 25.
REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVELS
WHERE UNACCEPTABLE CHANGES TO
THE BIOPHYSICAL RESOURCES WILL
OCCUR.

3. PROHIBIT MOTORIZED VEHICLE USE OFF FOREST SYSTEM
ROADS AND TRAILS (EXCEPT SNOWMOBILES OPERATING ON
SNOW) WHERE NEEDED TO PROTECT SOILS, VEGETATION, OR
SPECIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT.

4. MAINTAIN UNDESIGNATED SITES IN FRISSELL CONDITION
CLASS 1 THROUGH 3 WHERE UNRESTRICTED CAMPING IS ALLOWED.

a. CAMPSITE CONDITION CLASS BASED
UPON FRISSELL, S.S.; JOURNAL OF
FORESTRY, MAY, 1978.

5. MANAGE SITE USE AND OCCUPANCY TO MAINTAIN SITES WITH-
IN FRISSELL CONDITION CLASSES 1 THROUGH 3 EXCEPT FOR
DESIGNATED SITES WHICH MAY BE CLASS 4. CLOSE AND
RESTORE CLASS 5 SITES.

6. FACILITIES PROVIDED INCLUDE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL
1 AND 2 CAMPGROUNDS, TRAILS SUITABLE FOR MOTORIZED
TRAILBIKE USE, LOCAL ROADS WITH PRIMITIVE SURFACE,
AND PARKING LOTS AT TRAIL HEADS. PROVIDE
SIGNING COMPATIBLE WITH INTENDED USE.

a. SEE FSM 2331, FSM 7732,
FSH 7709.12 (TRAILS
HANDBOOK), FSH 7109.11A
AND 11B (SIGN HANDBOOK).

RECREATION
MANAGEMENT
(PRIVATE AND
OTHER PUBLIC
SECTOR)
(A16)

1. ENCOURAGE DEVELOPMENT OF PRIVATE SECTOR SERVICES
SUPPORTING RECREATION.

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES												
RANGE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (D02)	1. KEEP LIVESTOCK DISTRIBUTION AND STOCKING RATES COMPATIBLE WITH RECREATION USE. LOCATE STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENTS TO MEET VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVES.													
SILVICULTURAL PRESCRIPTIONS (E03, 06 & 07)	1. MANAGE TREE STANDS USING BOTH COMMERCIAL AND NONCOMMERCIAL METHODS. ENHANCE VISUAL QUALITY, DIVERSITY, AND INSECT AND DISEASE CONTROL. 2. MANAGE FOREST COVER TYPES USING THE FOLLOWING HARVEST METHODS: - CLEARCUT IN ASPEN. - SELECTION AND SHELTERWOOD CUTS IN PONDEROSA PINE, MIXED CONIFER AND ENGELMANN SPRUCE-SUBALPINE FIR.	a. APPLY HARVEST TREATMENTS TO FOREST COVER TYPES AS SPECIFIED BELOW ON AT LEAST 80 PERCENT OF THE FOREST COVER TYPE. UP TO 20 PERCENT OF THE TYPE MAY BE TREATED USING OTHER HARVEST METHODS SPECIFIED IN FOREST DIRECTION. b. SILVICULTURAL STANDARDS: (THESE STANDARDS MAY BE EXCEEDED ON AREAS MANAGED FOR OLD GROWTH) 1. CLEARCUT: > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > FOREST COVER TYPE ----- <table> <tr> <th></th><th>ASPEN</th><th>OTHER FOREST COVER TYPES</th></tr> <tr> <td>ROTA- TION AGE</td><td>80-120 YRS</td><td>100 OR MORE YRS</td></tr> <tr> <td>GROW- ING STOCK LEVEL</td><td>N/A</td><td>60 TO 120</td></tr> <tr> <td>THINNING CYCLE</td><td>N/A</td><td>20 TO 30 YRS</td></tr> </table> 2. TWO-STEP SHELTERWOOD: > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > FOREST COVER TYPE -----		ASPEN	OTHER FOREST COVER TYPES	ROTA- TION AGE	80-120 YRS	100 OR MORE YRS	GROW- ING STOCK LEVEL	N/A	60 TO 120	THINNING CYCLE	N/A	20 TO 30 YRS
	ASPEN	OTHER FOREST COVER TYPES												
ROTA- TION AGE	80-120 YRS	100 OR MORE YRS												
GROW- ING STOCK LEVEL	N/A	60 TO 120												
THINNING CYCLE	N/A	20 TO 30 YRS												

ENGELMANN	
SPRUCE-SUB-	OTHER
ALPINE FIR,	FOREST
PONDEROSA	COVER
PINE & MIXED	TYPES
CONIFER	

ROTATION AGE	100-180 YRS	100 OR MORE YRS
GROWING STOCK LEVEL	80-160	60-120
THINNING CYCLE	20-30 YRS	20-30 YRS
FIRST CUT (SEED CUT): REMOVE 40 TO 70 PERCENT OF THE BASAL AREA OR CUT TO: BA 25-60 BA 20-60		
SECOND CUT (REMOVAL CUT): REMOVE ALL OVERSTORY WHEN REGENERATED STAND MEETS MINIMUM STOCKING STANDARDS.		
3. THREE-STEP SHELTERWOOD: > > > > > > > > > > > > > > FOREST COVER TYPE		
	ENGLEMANN SPRUCE-SUB- ALPINE FIR, PONDEROSA PINE & MIXED CONIFER	OTHER FOREST COVER TYPES
ROTATION AGE	100-180 YRS	100 OR MORE YRS
GROWING STOCK LEVEL	80-160	60-120

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

```

- - - - -
THINNING      20-30 YRS      20-30 YRS
CYCLE
- - - - -
FIRST CUT (PREPARATORY CUT):
REMOVE 10 TO 40 PERCENT OF THE
BASAL AREA OR
CUT TO:  BA 60-80      BA 50-80
- - - - -
SECOND CUT (SEED CUT):
REMOVE 40 TO 50 PERCENT OF THE
REMAINING BASAL AREA OR
CUT TO:    BA 25-50      BA 20-50
           10-20 YRS    10-20 YRS
           AFTER PRE-    AFTER
           PARATORY CUT  PREPARA-
                           TORY CUT
- - - - -
THIRD CUT (REMOVAL CUT):
REMOVE ALL OVERSTORY WHEN
REGENERATED STAND MEETS
MINIMUM STOCKING STANDARDS.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >

```

3. APPLY INTERMEDIATE TREATMENTS TO MAINTAIN GROWING
STOCK LEVEL STANDARDS.

4. UTILIZE FIREWOOD MATERIAL USING BOTH COMMERCIAL
AND NONCOMMERCIAL METHODS.

SPECIAL USE
MANAGEMENT (NON-
RECREATION)
(J01)

1. PERMIT SPECIAL USES WHICH ARE COMPLEMENTARY AND COMPAT-
IBLE WITH THE KIND AND DEVELOPMENT LEVEL OF THE ASSOCIATED
FOREST SERVICE FACILITIES WITHIN THE AREA.

a. REFERENCE THE ROS USERS GUIDE.

TRANSPORTATION
SYSTEM
MANAGEMENT
(L01 & 20)

1. ROADS WILL NOT EXCEED DESIGN GUIDES SPECIFIED IN FSM
7721.3 FOR LOCAL ROADS.
MAINTAIN OPEN LOCAL ROADS AT MAINTENANCE LEVEL 2.

a. DO NOT EXCEED AN AVERAGE
OPEN LOCAL ROAD DENSITY OF
2 MILES/SQUARE MILE IN FOURTH-
ORDER WATERSHEDS.

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

TRAIL
SYSTEM
MANAGEMENT
(L23)

1. MAINTAIN EXISTING MOTORIZED ROUTES OR CONSTRUCT NEW ROUTES NEEDED AS PART OF THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM. PROVIDE LOOP ROUTES OF ONE-HALF TO ONE DAY'S TRAVEL TIME WITH AT LEAST ONE-HALF THE TOTAL ROUTE LOCATED WITHIN THE SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED ROS CLASS AND UTILIZING PRIMITIVE LOCAL ROADS AND/OR TRAILS SUITABLE FOR MOTORIZED TRAIL BIKE TRAVEL.

a. DO NOT EXCEED AN AVERAGE MOTORIZED TRAIL DENSITY OF 4 MILES PER SQUARE MILE ON FOURTH-ORDER WATERSHEDS.

b. DO NOT EXCEED AN AVERAGE MOTORIZED TRAIL DENSITY OF 2 MILES PER SQUARE MILE IN NONFORESTED AREAS OF FOURTH-ORDER WATERSHEDS.

MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTION 2B

(Emphasis is on rural and roaded-natural recreation opportunities)

27,855 Acres

A. Management Prescription Summary

1. General Description and Goals:

Management emphasis is for rural and roaded-natural recreation opportunities. Motorized and nonmotorized recreation activities such as driving for pleasure, viewing scenery, picnicking, fishing, snowmobiling, and cross-country skiing are possible. Conventional use of high-way-type vehicles is provided for in design and construction of facilities. Motorized travel may be prohibited or restricted to designated routes to protect physical and biological resources. Visual resources are managed so that management activities maintain or improve the quality of recreation opportunities. Management activities are not evident, remain visually subordinate, or may be dominant, but harmonize and blend with the natural setting.

Landscape rehabilitation is used to restore landscapes to a desirable visual quality. Enhancement aimed at increasing positive elements of the landscape to improve visual variety is also used.

The harvest method by forest cover type is clearcutting in aspen, shelterwood in ponderosa pine, mixed conifer, and Engelmann spruce-subalpine fir.

B. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINESVISUAL RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(A04)

1. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENT MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES TO PROVIDE A VISUALLY APPEALING LANDSCAPE. ENHANCE OR PROVIDE MORE VIEWING OPPORTUNITIES AND INCREASE VEGETATION DIVERSITY IN SELECTED AREAS.

a. MINIMUM VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVE (VQO) SHALL BE PARTIAL RETENTION

b. ARTERIAL AND COLLECTOR ROADS AND TRAILS ARE SENSITIVITY LEVEL 1.

c. MANAGE VISUAL RESOURCES USING THE ABOVE STANDARDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH FSM 2380.

RECREATION
OPPORTUNITIES
AND USE
ADMINISTRATION
(A14 AND 15)

1. PROVIDE ROADED NATURAL OR RURAL RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES ALONG FOREST ARTERIAL, COLLECTOR AND LOCAL ROADS WHICH ARE OPEN TO PUBLIC MOTORIZED TRAVEL. MANAGE RECREATION USE TO ALLOW MODERATE TO HIGH INCIDENCE OF CONTACT WITH OTHER GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS.

WHERE ARTERIAL, COLLECTOR OR LOCAL ROADS OR AREAS ARE CLOSED TO PUBLIC MOTORIZED RECREATION TRAVEL, PROVIDE FOR DISPERSED NON-MOTORIZED RECREATION WITH A MODERATE TO HIGH INCIDENCE OF CONTACT WITH OTHER GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS IN A ROADED NATURAL OR RURAL SETTING.

a. MAXIMUM USE AND CAPACITY LEVELS ARE:

-TRAIL AND CAMP ENCOUNTERS DURING PEAK USE DAYS MAY EXCEED 30 PARTIES PER DAY.

-TRAIL AND AREA-WIDE USE CAPACITY:

ROS CLASS - ROADED NATURAL				
USE LEVEL	VERY LOW	MODER- LOW	ATE	HIGH
ON TRAILS				
PAOT/MILE	-	-	-	-
AREA-WIDE				
PAOT/ACRE	.04	.08	1.2	2.5

ROS CLASS - RURAL				
USE LEVEL	VERY LOW	MODER- LOW	ATE	HIGH
ON TRAILS				
PAOT/MILE	-	-	-	-
AREA-WIDE				
PAOT/ACRE	.5	.8	5.0	7.5

REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVEL CO-				
USE LEVEL	VERY LOW	MODER- LOW	ATE	HIGH
ON TRAILS				
PAOT/MILE	-	-	-	-
AREA-WIDE				
PAOT/ACRE	.5	.8	5.0	7.5

REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVEL CO-				
USE LEVEL	VERY LOW	MODER- LOW	ATE	HIGH
ON TRAILS				
PAOT/MILE	-	-	-	-
AREA-WIDE				
PAOT/ACRE	.5	.8	5.0	7.5

REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVEL CO-

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
RECREATION
OPPORTUNITIES
AND USE
ADMINISTRATION
(A14 AND 15)

EFFICIENTS AS NECESSARY TO REFLECT
USABLE ACRES, PATTERNS OF USE,
AND GENERAL ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE
SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT AREA TYPE AS
DESCRIBED IN THE ROS USERS GUIDE,
CHAPTER 25.
REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVELS
WHERE UNACCEPTABLE CHANGES TO
THE BIOPHYSICAL RESOURCES WILL
OCCUR.

- b. CLOSE LOCAL ROADS TO
PUBLIC USE. DESIGNATE
ROUTES AND AREAS WHICH
CAN BE PERIODICALLY OPEN-
ED TO:
- GATHERING FIREWOOD.
- OPERATING OVERSNOW VEHICLES.

2. MAINTAIN UNDESIGNATED SITES IN FRISSELL CONDITION
CLASS 1 THROUGH 3 WHERE UNRESTRICTED CAMPING IS ALLOWED.

3. MANAGE SITE USE AND OCCUPANCY TO MAINTAIN SITES WITH-
IN FRISSELL CONDITION CLASSES 1 THROUGH 3 EXCEPT FOR
DESIGNATED SITES WHICH MAY BE CLASS 4. CLOSE AND
RESTORE CLASS 5 SITES.

4. FACILITIES PROVIDED INCLUDE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL
1 AND 2 CAMPGROUNDS, TRAILS SUITABLE FOR MOTORIZED
TRAILBIKE USE, LOCAL ROADS WITH PRIMITIVE SURFACE,
AND PARKING LOTS AT TRAIL HEADS. PROVIDE
SIGNING COMPATIBLE WITH INTENDED USE.

- a. SPECIFY OFF-ROAD VEHICLE
RESTRICTIONS BASED ON ORV
USE MANAGEMENT (FSM 2355).

- b. SEE FSM 2331, FSM 7732,
FSH 7709.12 (TRAILS
HANDBOOK), FSH 7109.11A
AND 11B (SIGN HANDBOOK).

5. PROHIBIT MOTORIZED VEHICLE USE OFF FOREST SYSTEM
ROADS AND TRAILS (EXCEPT SNOWMOBILES OPERATING ON
SNOW) WHERE NEEDED TO PROTECT SOILS, VEGETATION, OR
SPECIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT.

a. SPECIFY OFF-ROAD VEHICLE
RESTRICTIONS BASED ON ORV
USE MANAGEMENT (FSM 2355).

1. ENCOURAGE DEVELOPMENT OF PRIVATE SECTOR SERVICES SUPPORTING RECREATION.

1. KEEP LIVESTOCK DISTRIBUTION AND STOCKING RATES COMPATIBLE WITH RECREATION USE. LOCATE STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENTS TO MEET VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVES.

1. MANAGE TREE STANDS USING BOTH COMMERCIAL AND NONCOMMERCIAL METHODS. ENHANCE VISUAL QUALITY, DIVERSITY, AND INSECT AND DISEASE CONTROL.

2. MANAGE FOREST COVER TYPES USING THE FOLLOWING HARVEST METHODS:

- CLEARCUT IN ASPEN.
- SELECTION AND SHELTERWOOD CUTS IN PONDEROSA PINE, MIXED CONIFER AND ENGELMANN SPRUCE-SUBALPINE FIR.

a. APPLY HARVEST TREATMENTS TO FOREST COVER TYPES AS SPECIFIED BELOW ON AT LEAST 80 PERCENT OF THE FOREST COVER TYPE. UP TO 20 PERCENT OF THE TYPE MAY BE TREATED USING OTHER HARVEST METHODS SPECIFIED IN FOREST DIRECTION.

b. SILVICULTURAL STANDARDS:
(THESE STANDARDS MAY BE EXCEEDED
ON AREAS MANAGED FOR OLD GROWTH)

1. CLEARCUT:

FOREST COVER TYPE

ASPEN		OTHER FOREST COVER TYPES
ROTA- TION	80-120 YRS	100 OR MORE

CONTINUATION OF.
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

AGE		YRS
GROWING STOCK LEVEL	N/A	60 TO 120
THINNING CYCLE	N/A	20 TO 30 YRS
2. TWO-STEP SHELTERWOOD:		
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >	FOREST COVER TYPE	
	ENGELMANN SPRUCE-SUB-ALPINE FIR, PONDEROSA PINE & MIXED CONIFER	OTHER FOREST COVER TYPES
ROTATION AGE	100-180 YRS	100 OR MORE YRS
GROWING STOCK LEVEL	80-160	60-120
THINNING CYCLE	20-30 YRS	20-30 YRS
FIRST CUT (SEED CUT):		
REMOVE 40 TO 70 PERCENT OF THE BASAL AREA OR		
CUT TO:	BA 25-60	BA 20-60
SECOND CUT (REMOVAL CUT):		
REMOVE ALL OVERSTORY WHEN REGENERATED STAND MEETS MINIMUM STOCKING STANDARDS.		
3. THREE-STEP SHELTERWOOD:		
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >	FOREST COVER TYPE	

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

ENGLEMANN
SPRUCE-SUB-
ALPINE FIR,
PONDEROSA
PINE & MIXED
CONIFER

OTHER
FOREST
COVER
TYPES

ROTA- TION AGE	100-180 YRS	100 OR MORE YRS
GROWING STOCK LEVEL	80-160	60-120
THINNING CYCLE	20-30 YRS	20-30 YRS
FIRST CUT (PREPARATORY CUT): REMOVE 10 TO 40 PERCENT OF THE BASAL AREA OR CUT TO: BA 60-80 BA 50-80		
SECOND CUT (SEED CUT): REMOVE 40 TO 50 PERCENT OF THE REMAINING BASAL AREA OR CUT TO: BA 25-50 BA 20-50 10-20 YRS 10-20 YRS AFTER PRE- AFTER PARATORY CUT PREPARA- TORY CUT		
THIRD CUT (REMOVAL CUT): REMOVE ALL OVERSTORY WHEN REGENERATED STAND MEETS MINIMUM STOCKING STANDARDS. > > > > > > > > > > > > > >		

3. APPLY INTERMEDIATE TREATMENTS TO MAINTAIN GROWING
STOCK LEVEL STANDARDS.

4. UTILIZE FIREWOOD MATERIAL USING BOTH COMMERCIAL
AND NONCOMMERCIAL METHODS.

MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTION 02B

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
SPECIAL USE MANAGEMENT (NON -RECREATION) (J01)	1. PERMIT SPECIAL USES WHICH ARE COMPLEMENTARY AND COMPAT- IBLE WITH THE KIND AND DEVELOPMENT LEVEL OF THE ASSOCIATED FOREST SERVICE FACILITIES WITHIN THE AREA.	a. REFERENCE THE ROS USERS GUIDE.
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT (L01 & 20)	1. MANAGE PUBLIC USE OF ROADS WITH TECHNIQUES SUCH AS: SEASONAL CLOSURES, TIME OF DAY CLOSURES, ETC.	
TRAIL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT (L23)	1. MAINTAIN EXISTING MOTORIZED ROUTES OR CONSTRUCT NEW ROUTES NEEDED AS PART OF THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM. DEVELOP LOOP ROUTES AND COORDINATE THEM TO COMPLEMENT SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED OPPORTUNITIES IN ADJACENT SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED ROS CLASS AREAS.	a. DO NOT EXCEED MOTORIZED TRAIL AND LOCAL ROAD DENSITY OF 4 MILES PER SQUARE MILE ON NONFORESTED AREAS.

MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTION 3A

(Emphasis is on providing nonmotorized recreation
with development of other resources)

88,281 Acres

A. Management Prescription Summary

1. General Description and Goals:

Management emphasis is for nonmotorized recreation outside of wilderness. Recreation opportunities such as hiking, horseback riding, hunting and cross-country skiing are available. Seasonal or permanent restrictions on human use may be applied to provide seclusion for wildlife such as nesting for raptorial birds, big game rearing areas, and mammals (mountain lion, elk) with large home ranges. Visual resources are managed so that management activities are not visually evident or remain visually subordinate.

Investments in compatible resource uses such as livestock grazing and mineral exploration and development occur; but roads are closed to public use. Commercial and noncommercial tree harvest occur. The harvest method by forest cover type is clearcutting in aspen, shelterwood in ponderosa pine, Engelmann spruce-subalpine fir, and mixed conifers.

B. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

GENERAL DIRECTION

STANDARDS & GUIDELINES

VISUAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (A04)

1. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENT MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES TO PROVIDE A VISUALLY APPEALING LANDSCAPE. ENHANCE OR PROVIDE MORE VIEWING OPPORTUNITIES AND INCREASE VEGETATION DIVERSITY IN SELECTED AREAS.

a. MINIMUM VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVE (VQQ) SHALL BE PARTIAL RETENTION

b. FS SYSTEM TRAVEL ROUTES ARE SENSITIVITY LEVEL ONE.

c. APPLY REHABILITATION PRACTICES WHERE THE ABOVE OBJECTIVES ARE NOT CURRENTLY BEING MET.

d. MANAGE VISUAL RESOURCES USING THE ABOVE STANDARDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH FSM 2380.

RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES AND USE ADMINISTRATION (A14 AND 15)

1. EMPHASIZE SEMI-PRIMITIVE NONMOTORIZED RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES. DO NOT ALLOW MOTORIZED RECREATION ACTIVITIES. MOTORIZED TRAVEL ALONG SPECIFIC TRAVEL ROUTES IS PERMITTED TO ACCOMPLISH RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.

2. MANAGE USE TO ALLOW LOW TO MODERATE CONTACT WITH OTHER GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS.

a. PROHIBIT OR RESTRICT MOTORIZED VEHICLE USE (R2 FSH 2309.26).

a. MAXIMUM USE AND CAPACITY:
-TRAIL AND CAMP ENCOUNTERS DURING PEAK USE DAYS ARE LESS THAN 30 OTHER PARTIES PER DAY.
-TRAIL AND AREA-WIDE USE CAPACITY:

ROS CLASS - SEMI-PRIMITIVE
NONMOTORIZED

USE LEVEL	VERY LOW	MODER- LOW	HIGH ATE
ON TRAILS PAOT/ MILE	2.0	3.0	9.0 11.0
AREA-WIDE PAOT/			

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
CONTINUATION OF: RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES AND USE ADMINISTRATION (A14 AND 15)		<p>ACRE .004 .008 .05 .08</p> <p>-----</p> <p>REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVEL CO-EFFICIENTS AS NECESSARY TO REFLECT USABLE ACRES, PATTERNS OF USE, AND GENERAL ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT AREA TYPE AS DESCRIBED IN THE ROS USERS GUIDE, CHAPTER 25. REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVELS WHERE UNACCEPTABLE CHANGES TO THE BIOPHYSICAL RESOURCES WILL OCCUR.</p>
	<p>3. PROVIDE FACILITIES SUCH AS FOOT AND HORSE TRAILS, SINGLE LANE LOCAL INTERMITTENT ROADS WITH PRIMITIVE SURFACE USED AS TRAILS, DEVELOPMENT LEVEL 1 AND 2 CAMPGROUNDS, AND NECESSARY SIGNING.</p>	<p>a. SEE FSM 2331, FSM 7732, FSH 7709.12 (TRAILS HANDBOOK), FSH 7109.11A AND 11B (SIGN HANDBOOK).</p>
	<p>4. MANAGE SITE USE AND OCCUPANCY TO MAINTAIN SITES WITHIN FRISSELL CONDITION CLASSES 1 THROUGH 3 EXCEPT FOR DESIGNATED SITES WHICH MAY BE CLASS 4. CLOSE AND RESTORE CLASS 5 SITES.</p>	
RECREATION MANAGEMENT (PRIVATE AND OTHER PUBLIC SECTOR) (A16)	<p>1. ENCOURAGE DEVELOPMENT OF PRIVATE SECTOR SERVICES SUPPORTING RECREATION.</p>	
WILDLIFE HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE (C02, 04, 05 AND 06)	<p>1. MAINTAIN WILDLIFE HABITAT EFFECTIVENESS. PERMANENT OPENINGS MAY BE EMPLOYED. REDUCE DISTURBANCE TO WILDLIFE SO THAT NO SIGNIFICANT LONG-TERM NEGATIVE WILDLIFE EFFECTS RESULT.</p> <p>2. PROVIDE DEER AND ELK COVER.</p>	

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINESRANGE RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(D02)1. KEEP LIVESTOCK DISTRIBUTION AND STOCKING RATES
COMPATIBLE WITH RECREATION USE. LOCATE STRUCTURAL
IMPROVEMENTS TO MEET VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVES.SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)1. MANAGE TREE STANDS USING BOTH COMMERCIAL AND
NONCOMMERCIAL METHODS. ENHANCE VISUAL QUALITY,
DIVERSITY, AND INSECT AND DISEASE CONTROL.2. MANAGE FOREST COVER TYPES USING THE FOLLOWING HARVEST
METHODS:

- CLEARCUT IN ASPEN.
- SELECTION AND SHELTERWOOD CUTS IN PONDEROSA PINE, MIXED
CONIFER AND ENGELMANN SPRUCE-SUBALPINE FIR.

a. APPLY HARVEST TREATMENTS TO
FOREST COVER TYPES AS SPECIFIED
BELOW ON AT LEAST 80 PERCENT OF
THE FOREST COVER TYPE. UP TO
20 PERCENT OF THE TYPE MAY BE
TREATED USING OTHER HARVEST
METHODS SPECIFIED IN FOREST
DIRECTION..

b. SILVICULTURAL STANDARDS:
(THESE STANDARDS MAY BE EXCEEDED
ON AREAS MANAGED FOR OLD GROWTH)

1. CLEARCUT:

>
FOREST COVER TYPE

	ASPEN	OTHER FOREST COVER TYPES
ROTA- TION AGE	80-120 YRS	100 OR MORE YRS
GROW- ING STOCK LEVEL	N/A	60 TO 120
THINNING CYCLE	N/A	20 TO 30 YRS
2. TWO-STEP SHELTERWOOD:		
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >		
FOREST COVER TYPE		

ENGELMANN	
SPRUCE-SUB-	OTHER
ALPINE FIR,	FOREST
PONDEROSA	COVER
PINE & MIXED	TYPES
CONIFER	

ENGLEMANN	
SPRUCE-SUB-	OTHER
ALPINE FIR,	FOREST
PONDEROSA	COVER
PINE & MIXED	TYPES
CONIFER	

GROWING STOCK LEVEL	80-160	60-120
---------------------	--------	--------

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIESGENERAL
DIRECTIONSTANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(E03, 06 & 07)

```

- - - - -
THINNING    20-30 YRS    20-30 YRS
CYCLE
- - - - -
FIRST CUT (PREPARATORY CUT):
REMOVE 10 TO 40 PERCENT OF THE
BASAL AREA OR
CUT TO:  BA 60-80      BA 50-80
- - - - -
SECOND CUT (SEED CUT):
REMOVE 40 TO 50 PERCENT OF THE
REMAINING BASAL AREA OR
CUT TO:   BA 25-50      BA 20-50
          10-20 YRS    10-20 YRS
          AFTER PRE-   AFTER
          PARATORY CUT PREPARA-
                              TORY CUT
- - - - -
THIRD CUT (REMOVAL CUT):
REMOVE ALL OVERSTORY WHEN
REGENERATED STAND MEETS
MINIMUM STOCKING STANDARDS.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >

```

3. APPLY INTERMEDIATE TREATMENTS TO MAINTAIN GROWING STOCK LEVEL STANDARDS.

4. UTILIZE FIREWOOD MATERIAL USING BOTH COMMERCIAL AND NONCOMMERCIAL METHODS.

WATER RESOURCE
IMPROVEMENT AND
MAINTENANCE
(F05 AND 06)

1. PERMANENT OPENINGS MAY BE EMPLOYED TO ENHANCE WATER PRODUCTION.

SPECIAL USE
MANAGEMENT (NON-
RECREATION)
(J01)

1. PERMIT SPECIAL USES WHICH ARE COMPLEMENTARY AND COMPATIBLE WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF THE MANAGEMENT AREA AND WHICH DO NOT CHANGE THE ROS CLASSIFICATION.

2. PERMIT SPECIAL USES WHICH ARE COMPLEMENTARY AND COMPATIBLE WITH THE KIND AND DEVELOPMENT LEVEL OF THE ASSOCIATED FOREST SERVICE FACILITIES WITHIN THE AREA.

a. REFERENCE THE ROS USERS GUIDE.

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

LOCAL ROAD
CONSTRUCTION AND
RECONSTRUCTION
(L11, 12, & 13)

1. ALLOW CONSTRUCTION OF LOCAL ROADS FOR NON-RECREATION PURPOSES.
CLOSE LOCAL ROADS TO PUBLIC MOTORIZED USE, AND
PROHIBIT OFF-ROAD VEHICLE (ORV) USE.
MAINTAIN LOCAL ROADS TO LEVEL 1 DURING PERIODS
WHEN ACCESS FOR RESOURCE UTILIZATION IS NOT REQUIRED.

MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTION 3B

(Emphasis is on providing nonmotorized recreation
without development of other resources)

17,691 Acres

A. Management Prescription Summary

1. General Description and Goals:

Management emphasis is for semi-primitive nonmotorized recreation. Recreation opportunities such as hiking, horseback riding, hunting and cross-country skiing are available. Seasonal or permanent restrictions on human use may be applied to provide seclusion for wildlife such as nesting for raptorial birds, big game rearing areas, and mammals (mountain lion, elk) with large home ranges. Visual resources are managed so that management activities are not visually evident or remain visually subordinate.

Investments in locatable mineral exploration and development occur, but roads are closed to public use. Mineral leasing is allowed with stipulation of no surface occupancy. Prescribed fires are employed to manage vegetation. Timber Harvest is not permitted. Permitted and recreational livestock grazing occurs, but new permanent structures other than corrals, fences, and water developments are not allowed.

B. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

GENERAL DIRECTION

STANDARDS & GUIDELINES

VISUAL RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(A04)

1. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENT MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES SO THAT THE IMPACT OF MAN IS NOT APPARENT AND THE AREA APPEARS IN A CONDITION AFFECTED ONLY BY NATURAL BIOTIC SUCCESSION.

a. MINIMUM VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVE (VQO) SHALL BE RETENTION.

RECREATION
OPPORTUNITIES
AND USE
ADMINISTRATION
(A14 AND 15)

1. EMPHASIZE SEMI-PRIMITIVE NONMOTORIZED RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES. DO NOT ALLOW MOTORIZED RECREATION ACTIVITIES. MOTORIZED TRAVEL ALONG SPECIFIC TRAVEL ROUTES IS PERMITTED TO ACCOMPLISH RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.

a. PROHIBIT OR RESTRICT MOTORIZED VEHICLE USE (R2 FSH 2309.26).

2. MANAGE USE TO ALLOW LOW TO MODERATE CONTACT WITH OTHER GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS.

a. MAXIMUM USE AND CAPACITY.
-TRAIL AND CAMP ENCOUNTERS DURING PEAK USE DAYS ARE LESS THAN 30 OTHER PARTIES PER DAY.
-TRAIL AND AREA-WIDE USE CAPACITY:

ROS CLASS - SEMI-PRIMITIVE NONMOTORIZED				
USE LEVEL	VERY LOW	MODER- LOW	HIGH ATE	
ON TRAILS				
PAOT/ MILE	2.0	3.0	9.0	11.0
AREA-WIDE				
PAOT/ ACRE	.004	.008	.05	.08

REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVEL COEFFICIENTS AS NECESSARY TO REFLECT USABLE ACRES, PATTERNS OF USE, AND GENERAL ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT AREA TYPE AS DESCRIBED IN THE ROS USERS GUIDE, CHAPTER 25.
REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVELS WHERE UNACCEPTABLE CHANGES TO THE BIOPHYSICAL RESOURCES WILL OCCUR.

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
CONTINUATION OF: RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES AND USE ADMINISTRATION (A14 AND 15)	<p>3. PROVIDE FACILITIES SUCH AS FOOT AND HORSE TRAILS, SINGLE LANE LOCAL INTERMITTENT ROADS WITH PRIMITIVE SURFACE USED AS TRAILS, DEVELOPMENT LEVEL 1 AND 2 CAMPGROUNDS, AND NECESSARY SIGNING.</p> <p>4. MAINTAIN UNDESIGNATED SITES IN FRISSELL CONDITION CLASS 1 THROUGH 3 WHERE UNRESTRICTED CAMPING IS ALLOWED.</p> <p>5. MANAGE SITE USE AND OCCUPANCY TO MAINTAIN SITES WITHIN FRISSELL CONDITION CLASSES 1 THROUGH 3 EXCEPT FOR DESIGNATED SITES WHICH MAY BE CLASS 4. CLOSE AND RESTORE CLASS 5 SITES.</p>	a. SEE FSM 2331, FSM 7732, FSH 7709.12 (TRAILS HANDBOOK), FSH 7109.11A AND 11B (SIGN HANDBOOK).
RECREATION MANAGEMENT (PRIVATE AND OTHER PUBLIC SECTOR) (A16)	<p>1. MANAGE OUTFITTER-GUIDE OPERATIONS IN THE SAME MANNER AS OTHER VISITORS. PERMIT CAMPING ONLY IN SITES SPECIFIED IN OUTFITTER-GUIDE PERMITS. KEEP OUTFITTER-GUIDE ACTIVITIES HARMONIOUS WITH ACTIVITIES OF NON-GUIDED VISITORS. INCLUDE OUTFITTER-GUIDE OPERATIONS IN CALCULATIONS OF LEVEL-OF-USE CAPACITIES.</p> <p>2. PROHIBIT COMPETITIVE CONTEST EVENTS, GROUP DEMONSTRATIONS, CEREMONIES, AND OTHER SIMILAR EVENTS.</p>	
WILDLIFE AND FISH RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (C01)	<p>1. MANAGE HUMAN ACTIVITY SO THAT WILDLIFE AND PLANT SPECIES POPULATION AND DISTRIBUTION OCCURS NATURALLY.</p>	
RANGE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (DQ2)	<p>1. FOLLOW FOREST DIRECTION FOR THIS MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY WITH THE FOLLOWING EXCEPTION: A. DO NOT PROVIDE FOR HEAVY-USE PASTURES.</p> <p>2. PROHIBIT NEW RANGE IMPROVEMENT STRUCTURES OTHER THAN CORRALS, FENCES OR WATER DEVELOPMENTS ESSENTIAL TO SUSTAIN CURRENT PERMITTED NUMBERS.</p> <p>3. PERMIT INCIDENTAL GRAZING BY RECREATION LIVESTOCK WITHIN ACCEPTABLE USE STANDARDS.</p>	a. LIMIT UTILIZATION OF FORAGE TO 40 PERCENT AND TRAMPLING OF ALL CURRENT ANNUAL HERBACEOUS VEGETATION GROWTH TO 50 PERCENT.

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
CONTINUATION OF: RANGE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (D02) SILVICULTURAL PRESCRIPTIONS (E03, 06 & 07)	4. PROHIBIT RECREATIONAL STOCK WITHIN 100 FEET OF LAKE SHORES AND STREAMBANKS EXCEPT FOR WATERING AND THROUGH-TRAVEL. 1. DO NOT IMPLEMENT SILVICULTURAL PRACTICES (OTHER THAN PRESCRIBED FIRE) TO MANAGE FORESTED VEGETATION.	
SPECIAL USE MANAGEMENT (NON -RECREATION) (J01)	1. PROHIBIT MAN-MADE STRUCTURES AND FACILITIES WITH THE EXCEPTION OF LOCATABLE MINERALS ACTIVITIES.	
SOIL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (KA1)	1. RESTORE SOIL DISTURBANCES CAUSED BY HUMAN USE (PAST MINING, GRAZING, TRAIL CONSTRUCTION AND USE, CAMPING) TO SOIL LOSS TOLERANCE LEVELS COMMENSURATE WITH THE NATURAL ECOLOGICAL PROCESSES FOR THE TREATMENT AREA.	a. FOLLOW PROCEDURES SPECIFIED IN AGRICULTURAL HANDBOOK 537 FOR UTILIZING THE UNIVERSAL SOIL LOSS EQUATION. (CAUTIONS CONTAINED IN WO 2550 LETTER DATED 5/28/82 SHOULD BE NOTED.) THE GUIDANCE FOR K AND T FACTORS ARE IN THE NATIONAL SOILS HANDBOOK 407.1 (A)(3) (XVII).
		b. PROVIDE FRISSELL CONDITION CLASSES 1 AND 2 CAMPSITES ONLY.
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT (L01 & 20)	1. CONVERT ROADS TO TRAILS OR, IF THEY ARE NOT NEEDED AS PART OF THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM, OBLITERATE THEM TO MEET THE VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVE OF RETENTION.	
FIRE PLANNING AND SUPPRESSION (P01)	1. MAINTAIN FIRE-DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS USING PRESCRIBED FIRES FROM PLANNED AND UNPLANNED IGNITIONS. RECLAIM AREAS DISTURBED AS PART OF FIRE CONTROL ACTIVITIES TO MEET THE VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVE OF RETENTION.	
PROTECTION (P40)	1. CONTROL PROBLEM PREDATORS ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS IN COOPERATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES (FSM 2610).	

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
PROTECTION
(P40)

2. RECOMEND AGAINST OR DENY CONCENT TO BLM FOR ISSU-
ANCE OF LEASES OR PERMITS THAT ALLOW FOR SURFACE
OCCUPANCY.

IV-84

MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTION 4A

(Emphasis is on fish habitat improvement)

2,474 Acres

A. Management Prescription Summary

1. General Description and Goals:

Emphasis is on fish habitat improvement where aquatic habitat is below productive potential. Habitat enhancement techniques may be used on lake, reservoir, river or stream habitats and their adjacent riparian ecosystems.

The goals of management are to maintain or improve aquatic habitat condition for fish at or above a good habitat condition rating, maintain stable stream channels, meet water quality standards for cold water fisheries, provide healthy, self-perpetuating riparian plant communities and provide habitats for viable populations of wildlife.

Management techniques that may be used include fencing and planting in riparian ecosystems, drop structures, bank stabilization structures, boulder placement, pool blasting, removal of fish barriers, construction of fish barriers, selective tree removal, lake aeration, aquatic weed control, non-game fish control, dam rehabilitation and maintenance of instream flows and conservation pools.

Livestock grazing is at a level that will assure maintenance of the vigor and regenerative capacity of the riparian plant communities as well as maintaining shade and bank stability for streams. Vehicular travel is limited on roads and trails at times when excessive stream sedimentation would result. New road construction is restricted within riparian areas unless no feasible alternative exists. Developed recreation facility construction for overnight use is prohibited within the 100-year floodplain.

Forest riparian ecosystems are treated to improve wildlife and fish habitat diversity through specified silvicultural objectives.

B. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES																																													
VISUAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (A04)	1. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENT MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES WHICH SUSTAIN INHERENT VISUAL VALUES OF RIPARIAN AREAS AND BLEND WITH THE SURROUNDING NATURAL LANDSCAPES.	a. MINIMUM VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVE (VQO) SHALL BE PARTIAL RETENTION OR MODIFICATION.																																													
RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES AND USE ADMINISTRATION (A14 AND 15)	1. SEMI-PRIMITIVE NONMOTORIZED, SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED, ROADED NATURAL AND RURAL RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES CAN BE PROVIDED. 2. RECREATION OPPORTUNITY SPECTRUM CLASSES FROM RURAL TO SEMI-PRIMITIVE NONMOTORIZED MAY BE PROVIDED IN THIS MANAGEMENT AREA. PROVIDE ROADED NATURAL RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES WITHIN 1/2 MILE OF FOREST ARTERIAL, COLLECTOR AND LOCAL ROADS WITH BETTER THAN PRIMITIVE SURFACES WHICH ARE OPEN TO PUBLIC TRAVEL. PROVIDE SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED RECREATION OPPORTUNI- TIES WITH A LOW TO MODERATE INCIDENCE OF CONTACT WITH OTHER GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS WITHIN 1/2 MILE OF DESIGNATED LOCAL ROADS WITH PRIMITIVE SURFACES AND TRAILS OPEN TO MOTORIZED RECREATION USE. WHERE LOCAL ROADS ARE CLOSED TO PUBLIC MOTORIZED RECREATION TRAVEL, PROVIDE FOR DISPERSED NON-MOTORIZED RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES. MANAGE RECREATION USE TO PROVIDE FOR THE INCIDENCE OF CONTACT WITH OTHER GROUPS AND INDIVI- DUALS APPROPRIATE FOR THE ESTABLISHED ROS CLASS. PROVIDE SEMI-PRIMITIVE NONMOTORIZED RECREATION OP- PORTUNITIES IN ALL AREAS MORE THAN 1/2 MILE AWAY FROM ROADS AND TRAILS OPEN TO MOTORIZED RECREATION USE.	a. MAXIMUM USE AND CAPACITY LEVELS ARE: RECREATION USE AND CAPACITY RANGE DURING THE SNOW-FREE PERIOD (PAOT/ACRE): TRAIL USE AND CAPACITY RANGE (PAOT/MILE OF TRAIL): <table><tr><td></td><td colspan="4">CAPACITY RANGE</td></tr><tr><td>USE LEVEL</td><td>VERY LOW</td><td>LOW</td><td>MODER- ATE</td><td>HIGH</td></tr><tr><td>ROS CLASS</td><td colspan="4">SEMI-PRIMITIVE NONMOTORIZED</td></tr><tr><td>ON TRAILS PAOT/MILE</td><td>2.0</td><td>3.0</td><td>9.0</td><td>11.0</td></tr><tr><td>AREA-WIDE PAOT/ACRE</td><td>.004</td><td>.008</td><td>.05</td><td>.08</td></tr><tr><td>ROS CLASS</td><td colspan="4">SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED</td></tr><tr><td>ON TRAILS PAOT/MILE</td><td>2.0</td><td>3.0</td><td>9.0</td><td>11.0</td></tr><tr><td>AREA-WIDE PAOT/ACRE</td><td>.004</td><td>.008</td><td>.05</td><td>.08</td></tr><tr><td>ROS CLASS</td><td colspan="4">ROADED NATURAL</td></tr></table>		CAPACITY RANGE				USE LEVEL	VERY LOW	LOW	MODER- ATE	HIGH	ROS CLASS	SEMI-PRIMITIVE NONMOTORIZED				ON TRAILS PAOT/MILE	2.0	3.0	9.0	11.0	AREA-WIDE PAOT/ACRE	.004	.008	.05	.08	ROS CLASS	SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED				ON TRAILS PAOT/MILE	2.0	3.0	9.0	11.0	AREA-WIDE PAOT/ACRE	.004	.008	.05	.08	ROS CLASS	ROADED NATURAL			
	CAPACITY RANGE																																														
USE LEVEL	VERY LOW	LOW	MODER- ATE	HIGH																																											
ROS CLASS	SEMI-PRIMITIVE NONMOTORIZED																																														
ON TRAILS PAOT/MILE	2.0	3.0	9.0	11.0																																											
AREA-WIDE PAOT/ACRE	.004	.008	.05	.08																																											
ROS CLASS	SEMI-PRIMITIVE MOTORIZED																																														
ON TRAILS PAOT/MILE	2.0	3.0	9.0	11.0																																											
AREA-WIDE PAOT/ACRE	.004	.008	.05	.08																																											
ROS CLASS	ROADED NATURAL																																														

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
RECREATION
OPPORTUNITIES
AND USE
ADMINISTRATION
(A14 AND 15)

ON TRAILS				
PAOT/MILE	-	-	-	-
AREA-WIDE				
PAOT/ACRE	.04	.08	1.2	2.5
ROS CLASS	RURAL			
ON TRAILS				
PAOT/MILE	-	-	-	-
AREA-WIDE				
PAOT/ACRE	.5	.8	5.0	7.5
REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVEL COEFFICIENTS AS NECESSARY TO REFLECT USABLE ACRES, PATTERNS OF USE, AND GENERAL ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE SPECIFIC MANAGEMENT AREA TYPE AS DESCRIBED IN THE ROS USERS GUIDE, CHAPTER 25.				
REDUCE THE ABOVE USE LEVELS WHERE UNACCEPTABLE CHANGES TO THE BIO-PHYSICAL RESOURCES WILL OCCUR.				

b. SPECIFY OFF-ROAD VEHICLE
RESTRICTIONS BASED ON ORV
USE MANAGEMENT (FSM 2355).

c. SEE FSM 2331, FSM 7732,
FSH 7709.12 (TRAILS
HANDBOOK), FSH 7109.11A
AND 11B (SIGN HANDBOOK).

3. MAINTAIN UNDESIGNATED SITES IN FRISSELL CONDITION
CLASS 1 THROUGH 3 WHERE UNRESTRICTED CAMPING IS ALLOWED.

MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTION 04A

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES																
CONTINUATION OF: RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES AND USE ADMINISTRATION (A14 AND 15)	4. MANAGE SITE USE AND OCCUPANCY TO MAINTAIN SITES WITH- IN FRISSELL CONDITION CLASSES 1 THROUGH 3 EXCEPT FOR DESIGNATED SITES WHICH MAY BE CLASS 4. CLOSE AND RESTORE CLASS 5 SITES.																	
	5. PROHIBIT MOTORIZED VEHICLE USE OFF FOREST SYSTEM ROADS AND TRAILS (EXCEPT SNOWMOBILES OPERATING ON SNOW) WHERE NEEDED TO PROTECT SOILS, VEGETATION, OR SPECIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT.																	
WILDLIFE HABITAT IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE (C02, 04, 05 AND 06)	1. PROVIDE HABITAT DIVERSITY TO MEET OR EXCEED UTAH DWR POPULATION GOALS FOR ALL AQUATIC VERTEBRATE SPECIES.	a. MAINTAIN OR IMPROVE OVERALL STREAM HABITAT CONDITON AT OR ABOVE 70 PERCENT OF OPTIMUM (USE R-4 GAWS AQUATIC HABITAT SURVEYS HANDBOOK, OR R-1 COWFISH HABITAT CAPABILITY MODEL).																
	2. COORDINATE LAKE AND STREAM HABITAT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS WITH THE UTAH DWR, WHERE AQUATIC HABITATS ARE BELOW PRODUCTIVE POTENTIAL.																	
	3. MAINTAIN A CURRENT FISH HABITAT INVENTORY IN CO- OPERATION WITH UTAH DWR.																	
	4. MAINTAIN INSTREAM FLOWS IN COOPERATION WITH UTAH DWR TO SUPPORT A SUSTAINED YIELD OF NATURAL FISHERIES RESOURCES.	a. INSTREAM FLOWS WILL BE DETER- MINED BY R-4 GAWS AQUATIC HABITAT SURVEYS PROCEDURES OR OTHER ACCEPTED METHODOLOGY.																
RANGE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (D02)	1. MAINTAIN PROPER STOCKING AND LIVESTOCK DISTRIBUTION TO PROTECT RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEMS.	a. LIVESTOCK GRAZING IN RIPARIAN AREAS WILL BE CONTROLLED AT THE FOLLOWING LEVELS OF UTILIZATION:																
		<table> <tr> <th>GRAZING SYSTEM</th><th>VEGETATION CONDITION CLASS</th><th>TOTAL FORAGE UTILIZATION BY WEIGHT</th></tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">1. GRASS/GRASSLIKE FORB VEGETATIVE TYPE:</td></tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">CONTINUOUS</td><td>GOOD</td><td>40%</td></tr> <tr> <td>FAIR</td><td>30%</td></tr> <tr> <td>POOR</td><td>20%</td></tr> <tr> <td>REST-</td><td>HEAVY USE</td><td></td></tr> </table>	GRAZING SYSTEM	VEGETATION CONDITION CLASS	TOTAL FORAGE UTILIZATION BY WEIGHT	1. GRASS/GRASSLIKE FORB VEGETATIVE TYPE:			CONTINUOUS	GOOD	40%	FAIR	30%	POOR	20%	REST-	HEAVY USE	
GRAZING SYSTEM	VEGETATION CONDITION CLASS	TOTAL FORAGE UTILIZATION BY WEIGHT																
1. GRASS/GRASSLIKE FORB VEGETATIVE TYPE:																		
CONTINUOUS	GOOD	40%																
	FAIR	30%																
	POOR	20%																
REST-	HEAVY USE																	

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
CONTINUATION OF RANGE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (D02)		ROTATION PASTURE (1) 60%
		LIGHT USE
		PASTURE 40%
	DEFERRED-	HEAVY USE
	ROTATION	PASTURE (2) 50%
		LIGHT USE
		PASTURE 35%
	2. WILLOW/GRASS/GRASSLIKE	
	VEGETATIVE TYPE:	
	CONTINUOUS	GOOD 55%
		FAIR 40%
		POOR 30%
	3. WILLOW-FOREST	
	VEGETATIVE TYPE:	
	REST-	HEAVY USE
	ROTATION	PASTURE (1) 70%
		LIGHT USE
		PASTURE 50%
	DEFERRED-	HEAVY USE
	ROTATION	PASTURE (2) 60%
		LIGHT USE
		PASTURE 40%

(1) TRAMPLED AREAS AND STREAMBANK DAMAGE CAUSED DURING HEAVY USE YEAR SHOULD BE HEALED OR STABILIZED WITHIN THE FOLLOWING REST YEAR.		
(2) BARE SOIL CAUSED BY DISTUR- BANCE IN A HEAVY USE PASTURE SHOULD BE STABILIZED OR HEALED PRIOR TO USE THE FOLLOWING YEAR.		
BROWSE UTILIZATION WITHIN THE RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEM WILL NOT EXCEED 50% OF NEW LEADER PRODUCTION.		
THE LIMITING FACTOR ON A GIVEN RIPARIAN AREA WILL BE WHICHEVER UTILIZATION STANDARD IS REACHED FIRST, EITHER TOTAL FORAGE OR BROWSE.		

MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTION 04A

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
CONTINUATION OF: RANGE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (D02)	2. PROHIBIT TRAILING OF LIVESTOCK ALONG THE LENGTH OF RIPARIAN AREAS EXCEPT WHERE EXISTING STOCK DRIVEWAYS OCCUR. REHABILITATE EXISTING STOCK DRIVEWAYS WHERE DAMAGE IS OCCURRING IN RIPARIAN AREAS. RELOCATE THEM OUTSIDE RIPARIAN AREAS IF POSSIBLE, AND IF NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE RIPARIAN AREA GOALS.	
SILVICULTURAL PRESCRIPTIONS (E03, 06 & 07)	1. MANAGE FOREST COVER TYPES TO PERPETUATE TREE COVER AND PROVIDE HEALTHY STANDS, HIGH WATER QUALITY AND WILDLIFE AND FISH HABITAT. 2. MANAGE FOREST COVER TYPES USING THE FOLLOWING HARVEST METHODS: - CLEARCUT IN ASPEN, AND - SELECTION CUTS, GROUP OR SINGLE TREE, IN ALL OTHER COVER TYPES.	a. SILVICULTURAL STANDARDS: (THESE STANDARDS MAY BE EXCEEDED ON AREAS MANAGED FOR OLD GROWTH) 1. CLEARCUT: FOREST COVER TYPE ASPEN ROTATION 80-120 AGE YRS. 2. SELECTION (GROUP OR SINGLE TREE): ALL OTHER FOREST COVER TYPES ROTATION 90-160 AGE CUTTING CYCLE 20-30 YRS FOR GROUP SELECTION, SIZE OF OPEN- INGS ARE LESS THAN THREE ACRES.

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
SILVICULTURAL
PRESCRIPTIONS
(EO3, 06 & 07)

3. APPLY INTERMEDIATE TREATMENTS TO MAINTAIN GROWING STOCK LEVEL STANDARDS.
4. ADJUST STOCKING LEVELS BY SITE QUALITY. HIGHER STOCKING SHOULD OCCUR ON BETTER SITES.
5. ESTABLISH A SATISFACTORY STAND EITHER NATURALLY OR THROUGH ARTIFICIAL REGENERATION METHODS WITHIN A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD AFTER DISTURBANCE.
6. PROHIBIT LOG LANDING AND DECKING AREAS WITHIN THE RIPARIAN AREA.
7. CLEARCUTS MAY BE APPLIED TO DWARF MISTLETOE INFECTED STANDS OF ANY FOREST COVER TYPE.

WATER RESOURCE
IMPROVEMENT AND
MAINTENANCE
(FO5 AND 06)

1. PROPOSED NEW LAND-USE FACILITIES (ROADS, CAMPGROUNDS, BUILDINGS) WILL NOT NORMALLY BE LOCATED WITHIN FLOODPLAIN BOUNDARIES FOR THE 100-YEAR FLOOD. PROTECT PRESENT AND ALL NECESSARY FUTURE FACILITIES THAT CANNOT BE LOCATED OUT OF THE 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN BY STRUCTURAL MITIGATION (DEFLECTION STRUCTURES, RIPRAP, ETC.).
2. PREVENT STREAM CHANNEL INSTABILITY, LOSS OF CHANNEL CROSS-SECTIONAL AREAS, AND LOSS OF WATER QUALITY RESULTING FROM ACTIVITIES THAT ALTER VEGETATIVE COVER.
3. DETERMINE THE EFFECTS ON WATER QUALITY AND SEDIMENT YIELDS FROM VEGETATION MANIPULATION AND ROAD CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS THROUGH THE USE OF APPROPRIATE MODELING AND QUANTIFICATION PROCEDURES.

a. IMPLEMENT MITIGATION MEASURES WHEN PRESENT OR UNAVOIDABLE FUTURE FACILITIES ARE LOCATED IN THE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN TO ENSURE THAT STATE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS, BANK STABILITY CRITERIA, FLOOD HAZARD REDUCTION, AND INSTREAM FLOW STANDARDS ARE MET DURING AND IMMEDIATELY AFTER CONSTRUCTION.

a. LIMIT CHANGES IN CHANNEL RATING OR CLASSIFICATION SCORES TO AN INCREASE OF 10 PERCENT OR LESS. USE CHANNEL STABILITY CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY COOPER, 1978, AND PFANKUCH, 1975. USE CHANNEL CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY ROSGEN, 1980.

b. MAINTAIN AT LEAST 80 PERCENT OF POTENTIAL GROUND COVER WITHIN 100 FEET FROM THE

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
WATER RESOURCE
IMPROVEMENT AND
MAINTENANCE
(F05 AND 06)

EDGES OF ALL PERENNIAL STREAMS,
LAKES AND OTHER WATERBODIES, OR
TO THE OUTER MARGIN OF THE RIP-
ARIAN ECOSYSTEM, WHERE WIDER
THAN 100 FEET.

4. AVOID CHANNELIZATION OF NATURAL STREAMS. WHERE CHANNELIZATION IS NECESSARY FOR FLOOD CONTROL OR OTHER PURPOSES, USE STREAM GEOMETRY RELATIONSHIPS TO RE-ESTABLISH MEANDERS, WIDTH/DEPTH RATIOS, ETC. CONSISTENT WITH EACH MAJOR STREAM TYPE.
5. TREAT AREAS DISTURBED BY MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES TO REDUCE EROSION TO NATURAL RATES.
6. STABILIZE STREAMBANKS, WHICH ARE DAMAGED BY MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES, WITH METHODS THAT EMPHASIZE REVEGETATION.
7. DESIGN AND LOCATE SETTLING PONDS TO REDUCE DOWN-STREAM SEDIMENT YIELD AND TO PREVENT WASHOUT DURING HIGH WATER. LOCATE SETTLING PONDS OUTSIDE OF THE ACTIVE CHANNEL. RESTORE ANY CHANNEL CHANGES TO HYDRAULIC GEOMETRY STANDARDS FOR EACH STREAM TYPE.
8. INCLUDE WILDLIFE AND FISH HABITAT, AESTHETIC, AND SAFETY GOALS WHEN PLANNING PROJECTS THAT RESULT IN VEGETATION TYPE CONVERSION.
9. REQUIRE CONCURRENT MONITORING TO ENSURE THAT MITIGATIVE MEASURES ARE EFFECTIVE AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH STATE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS.

SOIL RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT
(KA1)

1. REHABILITATE DISTURBED SOILS AREAS WHERE ADVERSE IMPACTS WOULD OCCUR ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING PRIORITIES:
 - AQUATIC ECOSYSTEMS;
 - RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEMS; AND
 - RIPARIAN AREAS OUTSIDE OF AQUATIC AND RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEMS.

MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	GENERAL DIRECTION	STANDARDS & GUIDELINES
CONTINUATION OF: SOIL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (KA1)	<p>2. PREVENT SOIL SURFACE COMPACTION AND DISTURBANCE IN RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEMS. ALLOW USE OF HEAVY CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT FOR CONSTRUCTION, RESIDUE REMOVAL, ETC. ONLY DURING PERIODS WHEN THE SOIL IS LEAST SUSCEPTIBLE TO COMPACTION OR RUTTING.</p> <p>3. MAINTAIN OR ENHANCE THE LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY OF SOILS WITHIN THE RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEM.</p>	
MINING LAW COMPLIANCE AND ADMINISTRATION (LOCATABLES) (G01)	<p>1. MINIMIZE DETRIMENTAL DISTURBANCE TO THE RIPARIAN AREA BY MINERAL ACTIVITIES. INITIATE TIMELY AND EFFECTIVE REHABILITATION OF DISTURBED AREAS AND RESTORE RIPARIAN AREAS TO A STATE OF PRODUCTIVITY COMPARABLE TO THAT BEFORE DISTURBANCE.</p> <p>2. LOCATE MINERAL REMOVAL ACTIVITIES AWAY FROM THE WATER'S EDGE OR OUTSIDE THE RIPARIAN AREA.</p>	<p>a. PROHIBIT THE DEPOSITING OF SOIL MATERIAL FROM DRILLING, PROCESSING, OR SITE PREPARATION IN NATURAL DRAINAGEWAYS.</p> <p>b. LOCATE THE LOWER EDGE OF DISTURBED OR DEPOSITED SOIL BANKS OUTSIDE THE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN.</p> <p>c. PROHIBIT STOCKPILING OF TOPSOIL OR ANY OTHER DISTURBED SOIL IN THE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN.</p> <p>d. PROHIBIT MINERAL PROCESSING (MILLING) ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN.</p> <p>e. DISCONTINUE HEAVY EQUIPMENT USE WHEN SOIL COMPACTION, RUTTING, AND PUDDLING IS PRESENT.</p> <p>a. LOCATE DRILLING MUD PITS OUTSIDE THE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN UNLESS ALTERNATE LOCATIONS ARE MORE ENVIRONMENTALLY DAMAGING. IF LOCATION IS UNAVOIDABLE, SEAL AND DIKE ALL PITS TO PREVENT LEAKAGE.</p> <p>b. DRAIN AND RESTORE ROADS, PADS, AND DRILL SITES IMMEDIATELY AFTER</p>

MANAGEMENT
ACTIVITIES

GENERAL
DIRECTION

STANDARDS &
GUIDELINES

CONTINUATION OF:
MINING LAW
COMPLIANCE AND
ADMINISTRATION
(LOCATABLES)
(G01)

USE IS DISCONTINUED. REVEGETATE
TO 80 PERCENT GROUND COVER IN THE
FIRST YEAR. PROVIDE SURFACE
PROTECTION DURING STORMFLOW AND
SNOWMELT RUNOFF EVENTS.

3. DESIGN AND LOCATE PLACER MINE SETTLING PONDS TO
PREVENT WASHOUT DURING HIGH WATER. LOCATE SETTLING
PONDS OUTSIDE OF THE ACTIVE CHANNEL. RESTORE ANY
CHANNEL CHANGES TO HYDRAULIC GEOMETRY STANDARDS FOR
EACH STREAM TYPE.

a. PERMIT DIVERSION ACTIVITIES
WITHIN THE RIPARIAN ZONE WHERE
TECHNOLOGY IS AVAILABLE TO
MAINTAIN WATER QUALITY STANDARDS,
SEDIMENT THRESHOLD LIMITS, AND
INSTREAM FLOW STANDARDS.

4. CONFINE HEAVY EQUIPMENT USE TO AREAS NECESSARY FOR
MINERAL EXTRACTION.

5. LOCATE MINING CAMPS OUTSIDE THE ACTIVE FLOODPLAIN.

6. REQUIRE CONCURRENT MONITORING TO ENSURE THAT
MITIGATIVE MEASURES ARE EFFECTIVE AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH
STATE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS.

TRANSPORTATION
SYSTEM
MANAGEMENT
(L01 & 20)

1. LOCATE ROADS AND TRAILS OUTSIDE RIPARIAN AREAS
UNLESS ALTERNATIVE ROUTES HAVE BEEN REVIEWED AND RE-
JECTED AS BEING MORE ENVIRONMENTALLY DAMAGING.

a. DO NOT PARALLEL STREAMS WHEN
ROAD LOCATION MUST OCCUR IN RIPAR-
IAN AREAS EXCEPT WHERE ABSOLUTELY
NECESSARY. CROSS STREAMS AT RIGHT
ANGLES. LOCATE CROSSINGS AT
POINTS OF LOW BANK SLOPE AND
FIRM SURFACES.

2. CREATE ARTIFICIAL SEDIMENT TRAPS WITH BARRIERS
WHERE NATURAL VEGETATION IS INADEQUATE TO PROTECT
WATERWAYS OR LAKES FROM SIGNIFICANT ACCELERATED
SEDIMENTATION.

3. MINIMIZE DETRIMENTAL DISTURBANCE TO THE RIPARIAN
AREA BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. INITIATE TIMELY AND
EFFECTIVE REHABILITATION OF DISTURBED AREAS AND RESTORE
RIPARIAN AREAS SO THAT A VEGETATIVE GROUND COVER OR
SUITABLE SUBSTITUTE PROTECTS THE SOIL FROM EROSION
AND PREVENTS INCREASED SEDIMENT YIELD.